EXHIBIT C

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

DEPOSITION OF STANLEY ZASLAU, M.D. THURSDAY, MARCH 17, 2016

The Deposition of STANLEY ZASLAU, M.D., a
Witness herein, called by the Plaintiff, taken
pursuant to Notice of Deposition and the West
Virginia Rules of Civil Procedure, by and before
Faye Ann Lehman, a Commissioner in and for the
State of West Virginia, at The Waterfront Place
Hotel, 2 Waterfront Place, Morgantown, West
Virginia 26501, commencing at 11:30 a.m. on the day

- - -

and date above set forth.

| | Page 2 | | Page 4 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | APPEARANCES: | 1 | Q. Am I right that you brought a suitcase with |
| 2 | On behalf of the Plaintiff: | 2 | you today? |
| 4 | Edward A. Wallace, Esquire Wexler Wallace LLP | 3 | A. Yes. |
| | 55 West Monroe Street, Suite 3300 | 4 | Q. And what's in the suitcase? |
| 5 | Chicago, Illinois 60603 eaw@wexlerwallace.com | 5 | A. The suitcase has medical records and |
| 6 | | 6 | literature, as well as thumb drives that I've |
| 7 | On behalf of the Defendants: Susan M. Robinson, Esquire | 7 | reviewed in preparation. |
| 8 | Thomas Combs & Spann, PLLC 300 Summers Street, Suite 1380 | 8 | Q. And why did you bring the suitcase full of |
| 9 | Charleston, West Virginia 25301 | 9 | that material today? |
| | srobinson@tcspllc.com | 10 | A. So I can refer to it as necessary. |
| 10 | INDEX | 11 | Q. Did you do anything in connection to a |
| 11 12 | WITNESS PAGE STANLEY ZASLAU, M.D. | 12 | request for documents from you having to do with |
| 13 | Direct Examination by Mr. Wallace 3 | 13 | the reports that you've issued in this litigation? |
| 14 | Cross-Examination by Ms. Robinson 154 Redirect Examination by Mr. Wallace 162 | 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 16 | EXHIBITS | 15 | Q. What did you do? |
| | No. 1 Notice to take Deposition 3 No. 2 Expert Report, Guinn case 7 | 16 | A. I read it and reviewed them and discussed |
| 17 | No. 3 Expert Report, Hendrix case 8 No. 4 C.V. and Testimony List 8 | 17 | them with counsel. |
| 18 | No. 5 TVT IFU ETH.MESH.05225354 - 05225385 111 | 18 | Q. And is it fair to say that you've made a |
| 19 | No. 6 TVT IFU, 2015 123 | 19 | diligent and thorough search for any documents that |
| 20 | (No Bates) No. 7 AUGS/SUFU Position Statement 131 | 20 | you've been asked to bring today? |
| | No. 8 Email chain | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | ETH.MESH.00301741 - 00301742 135 No. 9 Email chain | 22 | Q. Are you withholding anything? |
| 22 | ETH.MESH.01822361 - 01822363 139 No. 10 PA Consulting Group PowerPoint 141 | 23 | A. No. |
| 23 24 | (No Bates) | 24 | Q. Can you just identify more specifically |
| | Page 3 | | Page 5 |
| 1 | PROCEEDINGS | 1 | what you've brought so we can just note it for the |
| 2 | | 2 | record? |
| 3 | STANLEY ZASLAU, M.D., | 3 | A. I brought articles that I've reviewed in |
| 4 | the witness, having been first duly sworn, was | 4 | preparation from a variety of different sources. I |
| 5 | | | |
| | examined and testified as follows: | 5 | |
| 6 | | 5 6 | brought thumb drives with medical records and other |
| | (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked | | brought thumb drives with medical records and other articles that were provided to me by Butler & Snow, |
| 6 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.) | 6 | brought thumb drives with medical records and other |
| 6 7 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked | 6 7 | brought thumb drives with medical records and other articles that were provided to me by Butler & Snow, and I have brought medical records and depositions |
| 6 7 8 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.) DIRECT EXAMINATION | 6 7 8 | brought thumb drives with medical records and other articles that were provided to me by Butler & Snow, and I have brought medical records and depositions that I've reviewed for this case and discussion. |
| 6 7 8 9 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALLACE: | 6 7 8 9 | brought thumb drives with medical records and other articles that were provided to me by Butler & Snow, and I have brought medical records and depositions that I've reviewed for this case and discussion. Q. And if I understand you correctly, you were |
| 6 7 8 9 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALLACE: Q. I'm going to hand you a document that's been | 6 7 8 9 | brought thumb drives with medical records and other articles that were provided to me by Butler & Snow, and I have brought medical records and depositions that I've reviewed for this case and discussion. Q. And if I understand you correctly, you were asked to look at materials and then provide an |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALLACE: Q. I'm going to hand you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 1. Tell me if you recognize it. | 6 7 8 9 10 | brought thumb drives with medical records and other articles that were provided to me by Butler & Snow, and I have brought medical records and depositions that I've reviewed for this case and discussion. Q. And if I understand you correctly, you were asked to look at materials and then provide an opinion in this case, right? |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALLACE: Q. I'm going to hand you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 1. Tell me if you recognize it. A. I do. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 | brought thumb drives with medical records and other articles that were provided to me by Butler & Snow, and I have brought medical records and depositions that I've reviewed for this case and discussion. Q. And if I understand you correctly, you were asked to look at materials and then provide an opinion in this case, right? A. Yes. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALLACE: Q. I'm going to hand you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 1. Tell me if you recognize it. A. I do. Q. Does it have your name on it? | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | brought thumb drives with medical records and other articles that were provided to me by Butler & Snow, and I have brought medical records and depositions that I've reviewed for this case and discussion. Q. And if I understand you correctly, you were asked to look at materials and then provide an opinion in this case, right? A. Yes. Q. And if I'm correct, you've provided a |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALLACE: Q. I'm going to hand you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 1. Tell me if you recognize it. A. I do. Q. Does it have your name on it? A. Yes. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | brought thumb drives with medical records and other articles that were provided to me by Butler & Snow, and I have brought medical records and depositions that I've reviewed for this case and discussion. Q. And if I understand you correctly, you were asked to look at materials and then provide an opinion in this case, right? A. Yes. Q. And if I'm correct, you've provided a |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALLACE: Q. I'm going to hand you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 1. Tell me if you recognize it. A. I do. Q. Does it have your name on it? A. Yes. Q. Can you state and spell your name for me. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | brought thumb drives with medical records and other articles that were provided to me by Butler & Snow, and I have brought medical records and depositions that I've reviewed for this case and discussion. Q. And if I understand you correctly, you were asked to look at materials and then provide an opinion in this case, right? A. Yes. Q. And if I'm correct, you've provided a what is called a general opinion with respect to the safety and efficacy of the TVT device, right? |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALLACE: Q. I'm going to hand you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 1. Tell me if you recognize it. A. I do. Q. Does it have your name on it? A. Yes. Q. Can you state and spell your name for me. A. My name is Stanley, S-T-A-N-L-E-Y, Zaslau, | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | brought thumb drives with medical records and other articles that were provided to me by Butler & Snow, and I have brought medical records and depositions that I've reviewed for this case and discussion. Q. And if I understand you correctly, you were asked to look at materials and then provide an opinion in this case, right? A. Yes. Q. And if I'm correct, you've provided a what is called a general opinion with respect to the safety and efficacy of the TVT device, right? A. Yes. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALLACE: Q. I'm going to hand you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 1. Tell me if you recognize it. A. I do. Q. Does it have your name on it? A. Yes. Q. Can you state and spell your name for me. A. My name is Stanley, S-T-A-N-L-E-Y, Zaslau, Z-A-S-L-A-U. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | brought thumb drives with medical records and other articles that were provided to me by Butler & Snow, and I have brought medical records and depositions that I've reviewed for this case and discussion. Q. And if I understand you correctly, you were asked to look at materials and then provide an opinion in this case, right? A. Yes. Q. And if I'm correct, you've provided a what is called a general opinion with respect to the safety and efficacy of the TVT device, right? A. Yes. Q. But you've also reviewed medical records and |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALLACE: Q. I'm going to hand you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 1. Tell me if you recognize it. A. I do. Q. Does it have your name on it? A. Yes. Q. Can you state and spell your name for me. A. My name is Stanley, S-T-A-N-L-E-Y, Zaslau, Z-A-S-L-A-U. Q. Do you know why you're here today? | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | brought thumb drives with medical records and other articles that were provided to me by Butler & Snow, and I have brought medical records and depositions that I've reviewed for this case and discussion. Q. And if I understand you correctly, you were asked to look at materials and then provide an opinion in this case, right? A. Yes. Q. And if I'm correct, you've provided a what is called a general opinion with respect to the safety and efficacy of the TVT device, right? A. Yes. Q. But you've also reviewed medical records and other information relating to two claimants, Susan |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALLACE: Q. I'm going to hand you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 1. Tell me if you recognize it. A. I do. Q. Does it have your name on it? A. Yes. Q. Can you state and spell your name for me. A. My name is Stanley, S-T-A-N-L-E-Y, Zaslau, Z-A-S-L-A-U. Q. Do you know why you're here today? A. Yes. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | brought thumb drives with medical records and other articles that were provided to me by Butler & Snow, and I have brought medical records and depositions that I've reviewed for this case and discussion. Q. And if I understand you correctly, you were asked to look at materials and then provide an opinion in this case, right? A. Yes. Q. And if I'm correct, you've provided a what is called a general opinion with respect to the safety and efficacy of the TVT device, right? A. Yes. Q. But you've also reviewed medical records and other information relating to two claimants, Susan Guinn and Ms. Hendrix; is that right? |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALLACE: Q. I'm going to hand you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 1. Tell me if you recognize it. A. I do. Q. Does it have your name on it? A. Yes. Q. Can you state and spell your name for me. A. My name is Stanley, S-T-A-N-L-E-Y, Zaslau, Z-A-S-L-A-U. Q. Do you know why you're here today? A. Yes. Q. Why is that? | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | brought thumb drives with medical records and other articles that were provided to me by Butler & Snow, and I have brought medical records and depositions that I've reviewed for this case and discussion. Q. And if I understand you correctly, you were asked to look at materials and then provide an opinion in this case, right? A. Yes. Q. And if I'm correct, you've provided a what is called a general opinion with respect to the safety and efficacy of the TVT device, right? A. Yes. Q. But you've also reviewed medical records and other information relating to two claimants, Susan Guinn and Ms. Hendrix; is that right? A. Yes. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALLACE: Q. I'm going to hand you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 1. Tell me if you recognize it. A. I do. Q. Does it have your name on it? A. Yes. Q. Can you state and spell your name for me. A. My name is Stanley, S-T-A-N-L-E-Y, Zaslau, Z-A-S-L-A-U. Q. Do you know why you're here today? A. Yes. Q. Why is that? A. I'm being deposed by you. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | brought thumb drives with medical records and other articles that were provided to me by Butler & Snow, and I have brought medical records and depositions that I've reviewed for this case and discussion. Q. And if I understand you correctly, you were asked to look at materials and then provide an opinion in this case, right? A. Yes. Q. And if I'm correct, you've provided a what is called a general opinion with respect to the safety and efficacy of the TVT device, right? A. Yes. Q. But you've also reviewed medical records and other information relating to two claimants, Susan Guinn and Ms. Hendrix; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And you understand that my questions today, |

Page 6 Page 8 And you understand that after we're done 1 will be the Hendrix report. 1 2 today, that someone will be asking you questions 2 (Deposition Exhibit No. 3 was marked for 3 3 about your case-specific report for Ms. Guinn, identification.) 4 4 Have you seen Exhibit 3 before? right? Q. 5 5 Yes. A. Yes. A. 6 Okay. Thank you. With respect to your 6 What is it? Q. Q. 7 general TVT report, it's my understanding from 7 This is a general report for a retropubic A. 8 8 TVT, but also is case specific for the Hendrix looking at the documents or the reports that you 9 9 provided that your general opinion is the same -case. 10 it was issued in the Guinn case and the Hendrix 10 Q. So, in other words, just so we're clear, 11 even if we're not taking the Hendrix deposition 11 case, but it's the same for both, right? 12 12 today, you know that you're here by agreement to A. Yes. 13 In other words, it's meant to apply to TVT 13 give opinions on your general TVT opinion? Q. 14 specifically without regard to who the plaintiff 14 15 15 O. And your general TVT opinion is contained in 16 Well, if it were a TVT-O case, there's more 16 Exhibit 3? 17 material regarding TVT-O in some than others. I 17 A. Yes. 18 don't believe that the reports are exact copies of Let's mark as a group exhibit your CV and 18 19 19 one another. There are sections that are similar, your testimony list as Exhibit 4. 20 but certainly, other relevant research for TVT-O 2.0 (Deposition Exhibit No. 4 was marked for 21 that was more recent. 21 identification.) MS. ROBINSON: Did you say CV and? 22 Why don't we do this, then, why don't we 22 mark both of the reports separately, just for the 23 23 MR. WALLACE: Testimony list. 24 record, and then the exhibits, as I understand 24 MS. ROBINSON: As Exhibit 4? Page 7 Page 9 1 them, are generally the same. 1 MR. WALLACE: Yeah. For example, your CV's the same, right? 2 2 BY MR. WALLACE: 3 Yes. 3 O. Do you recognize Group Exhibit 4? A. 4 Q. Your list of testimony is the same? 4 A. 5 5 O. What is that? A. 6 Why don't we mark the Guinn report as 6 This is a testimony -- a history for the 7 7 Exhibit 2, please. last four years and my current CV. 8 (Deposition Exhibit No. 2 was marked 8 I notice that certain areas are blacked out. 9 for identification.) 9 Is that your doing or your counsel's doing? 10 Do you recognize Exhibit 2? 10 A. Q. Counsel's doing. 11 11 Yes. With respect to the testimony list, please A. 12 Q. What is it? 12 take a look at it. Um-hmm. 13 It is the report for the Guinn case. 13 Α. 14 And, again, at the beginning of that, it 14 Q. It says "2014 - Edwards versus JNJ"? has a section that also provides general opinions? 15 15 Yes. Α. 16 16 That's a mesh case, correct? A. Q. And if I'm correct, Ms. Guinn had a TVT-O 17 17 Q. A. 18 implanted? 18 And do you recall meeting Mark Mueller in Q. 19 A. 19 giving your deposition in that case? 20 But you're here to testify about the TVT 20 A. Yes. 21 today, right? 2.1 Have you reviewed that transcript recently? Q. 22 A. Yes. 22 I have not. A. 2.3 Q. Now, if you look at the -- we're going to 23 When's the last time you looked at it? Q. 24 mark the next exhibit, and that is Exhibit 3, which 24 Six months ago or more. A.

| | | Stairiey Za | Бтас | , H.D. |
|----|-------|-------------------------------------------------|------|----------------------------------------------------|
| | | Page 10 | | Page 12 |
| 1 | Q. | And why six months ago did you look at it? | 1 | Q. What was did you link the mesh to the |
| 2 | A. | Because that's when I got it. | 2 | cause of Ms. Stewart's injuries? |
| 3 | Q. | And so, since then, in connection with | 3 | A. I don't remember the specifics of that |
| 4 | prep | paring your reports in this case, you haven't | 4 | because it was some time ago. I'd have to go back |
| 5 | refe | renced it? | 5 | and look to the details of it. |
| 6 | A. | I have not. | 6 | Q. Do you recall giving testimony on behalf of |
| 7 | Q. | The Weaver versus WVUH case; what is that? | 7 | Bard in that case? |
| 8 | A. | That was a case a prostatectomy case, a | 8 | A. No. I was purely the treating physician, |
| 9 | pros | state cancer case that I was involved in that I | 9 | opposed by that counsel. |
| 10 | was | since waived from its involvement and settled. | 10 | Q. The final one on the list is Burkhart, |
| 11 | Q. | Oh, so, you were a party to that case? | 11 | B-U-R-K-H-A-R-T versus Life Chiropractic? |
| 12 | A. | Yes. | 12 | A. Right. |
| 13 | Q. | And you testified in that case? | 13 | Q. What sort of testimony did you offer in that |
| 14 | A. | Yes. | 14 | case? |
| 15 | Q. | At deposition? | 15 | A. It's a treating physician and expert in a |
| 16 | A. | At deposition only. | 16 | case of neurogenic bladder. It's not a mesh-based |
| 17 | Q. | And you were later dismissed from the case? | 17 | case. It's a urinary retention case, unrelated to |
| 18 | A. | Yes. | 18 | any pelvic floor issues. |
| 19 | Q. | And you didn't pay anything, did you? | 19 | Q. So you were retained by one of the parties |
| 20 | A. | I did not pay anything. | 20 | in the case? |
| 21 | Q. | The Stewart versus Bard case in 2014, what | 21 | A. As a treating physician, but also served as |
| 22 | is th | nat? | 22 | an expert. |
| 23 | A. | Yes. I am the treating physician. | 23 | Q. On whose behalf? |
| 24 | Q. | Is it a mesh case? | 24 | A. Patient. |
| | | Page 11 | | Page 13 |
| 1 | A. | Yes. | 1 | Q. And so you testified that there was a |
| 2 | Q. | Is it a case that's pending in the MDL | 2 | causal link between the actions of the defendant |
| 3 | befo | ore Judge Goodwin, if you know? | 3 | and the plaintiff's injuries? |
| 4 | A. | I do not believe so. | 4 | A. That's correct. |
| 5 | Q. | Do you know where it is pending? | 5 | Q. If I also recall, you have offered testimony |
| 6 | A. | I do not. | 6 | in the past having to do with a case involving the |
| 7 | Q. | Who, if anyone, has been dealing with you in | 7 | Veterans Administration, right? |
| 8 | that | case? | 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | A. | Matt Teague, T-E-A-G-U-E. | 9 | Q. So you remember what I'm talking about? |
| 10 | Q. | He's from Beasley Allen; do you know? | 10 | A. Um-hmm. |
| 11 | A. | Yes. | 11 | Q. Can you just give a brief description of |
| 12 | Q. | So you've had interaction with him about | 12 | that, please? |
| 13 | that | case? | 13 | A. This was a patient who had an unrecognized |
| 14 | A. | Yes. | 14 | ureteral injury at the time of an anterior repair. |
| 15 | Q. | Did you provide a report in connection with | 15 | There may have been more procedures in addition to |
| 16 | that | case? | 16 | that, but she had a complex pelvic prolapse case. |
| 17 | A. | No. | 17 | It was unrecognized. She was referred for |
| 18 | Q. | But you did give testimony? | 18 | treatment to our facility, for which we treated |
| 19 | A. | I gave a deposition for that. | 19 | her. And it turns out her ureter was ligated |
| 20 | Q. | And did you offer opinions in that | 20 | during the initial surgery, and subsequently that |
| 21 | dep | osition? | 21 | case had been tried, lost, and then been tried |
| | | | | |

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

several other times. I'm not sure how that all

facility that was a federal facility, so I don't

played out, but it was -- the physician worked in a

22

23

24

A. Whatever questions were asked of me, but I

was certainly not an expert. I was a treating

22

23

24

physician.

- 1 know if it was a federal case without a jury.
- 2 Q. But as far as you know, the testimony that
- 3 you've given in the last four years are listed in
- 4 Group Exhibit 4?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And is your CV that you provided in
- 7 connection with your report up to date?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. How much time did you spend preparing your
- 10 TVT report?
- 11 A. About 30 hours.
- 12 Q. Are those hours documented anywhere?
- 13 A. I just keep a running list of them at the
- 14 end of the month. I'm involved in multiple cases,
- so there's a lot of time that's spent each month
- in reviewing records and writing reports and
- opinions for a variety of things. So it's a summed
- 18 opinion --
- 19 Q. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt.
- 20 A. It's a summed, you know, time together.
- 21 Q. Do you -- based upon your answer, do you
- 22 have any way to estimate the number of hours or
- 23 percentage of working time that you spend each
- 24 month on mesh-related matters?

Page 16

Page 17

- in that -- between you and the lawyers or between
- 2 you and Ethicon?
- 3 A. I work with the Butler & Snow group. I
- 4 don't work with anyone else or any other mesh
- 5 companies, meaning, like, you know, Boston
- 6 Scientific or any of those others. I do not.
- 7 I've only worked exclusively with them.
- 8 Q. Just to be clear, Susan is sitting next to
- 9 you. You'd assume she's part of the group, right?
- 10 A. Susan's part of the group.
- 11 Q. Okay, very fine lawyer I might add. I just
- 12 wanted to make that clear.
- How much time did you spend preparing for
- 14 your deposition today?
- 15 A. I spent probably about 20 hours of just
- 16 deposition prep.
- 17 Q. Over how much time?
- 18 A. Over the last two to three weeks.
- 19 Q. And who did you meet with, if anyone?
- 20 A. I've spoken with Susan, and I've spoken with
- 21 other counsel, part of Butler & Snow, part of the
- 22 group.
- 23 Q. Who?
- 24 A. Paul Rosenblatt.

Page 15

- 1 A. I'd say the bulk of my free time is based on
- 2 that. I don't have any other things that are going
- 3 on. It doesn't mean I'm doing this every day, you
- 4 know, all hours of the day, but I would estimate
- 5 that I spend about two hours a day on average doing
- 6 this related work.
- 7 So I may spend up to 60 hours a month doing
- 8 something, but it can average between ten hours a
- 9 month, if things are quiet; but if there's multiple
- 10 cases -- here's these three going on -- and
- 11 reports, so I could spend upwards of 30 or more
- 12 hours a month.
- 13 Q. Are these the only two cases in which you're
- 14 working on?
- 15 A. These are the only cases I'm working on
- 16 right now that I've written reports for, but I've
- 17 reviewed many other cases that are in various other
- 18 stages or processes.
- 19 Q. And you've reviewed those cases for Ethicon?
- 20 A. For attorneys for Ethicon.
- 21 Q. At the end of the day, though, it's -- your
- bills are paid by them?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have a retainer agreement that exists

1 Q. Who else?

- 2 A. And I spoke with an attorney, Susan Pope,
- 3 for the Goodwin case.
- 4 MS. ROBINSON: Guinn.
- 5 A. Well, no. Susan Pope is the Goodwin case.
- 6 I've been working on that as well. So you're
- 7 talking about -- she knows about this as well.
- 8 There's a lot of folks, as you know, that's
- 9 involved in this.
- 10 Q. That's right. Just so we're clear, because
- you talk a little fast, when you say "the Goodwin
- 12 case," you're not talking about Judge Goodwin.
- 13 There's another case that you're referring to that
- exists out there that relates to Ethicon?
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 Q. And the lawyer you identified as Susan Pope
- is connected to that case?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. You're not from West Virginia, are you?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Where are you from?
- 22 A. New York.
- 23 Q. Brooklyn?
- 24 A. Um-hmm.

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

- 1 Q. And prior to coming to West Virginia, you
- 2 were a resident in New York, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And if I'm correct, you finished your
- 5 residency in --
- 6 A. 2000.
- 7 Q. In 2000?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And came to West Virginia in 2001 as an
- 10 assistant clinical professor, right?
- 11 A. Assistant professor, yes.
- 12 Q. What's that mean?
- 13 A. Well, I'll tell you, in between I spent a
- 14 year in -- achieving advanced training in
- 15 neurourology and voiding dysfunction in Brooklyn at
- 16 Long Island College Hospital as an assistant
- 17 attending. So essentially an additional year of
- 18 training, a fellowship year. And then I came for
- 19 an academic position at WVU as an assistant
- 20 professor.
- 21 Q. Right. An assistant attending, though, just
- 22 to be clear, is not a fellowship?
- 23 A. It is not. That's correct. But this year
- was meant to be additional training, so additional

Page 20

- 1 A. When you are an assistant professor, you're
- a full member of the faculty, and so your
- 3 responsibility is patient care, surgery, and call
- 4 responsibilities. But my focus and goal was to
- 5 build a center for voiding and sexual dysfunction
- 6 for the people of West Virginia, using knowledge
- 7 from my additional year of training and from Mount
- 8 Sinai and bring that here and build something that
- 9 didn't exist in our state.
- So that's when I came here, and I started
- 11 to build that center of excellence for the center
- 12 for voiding and sexual dysfunction.
- Q. And to be clear, that had nothing to do with
- 14 mesh, right, between 2001 and 2004?
- 15 A. No. I mean, well, these were things that we
- 16 had done at the time, certainly. We've done mesh
- since 1998 as a resident, but coming here to build
- this had nothing to do with mesh.
- 19 Q. That's all I'm trying to make clear, that
- 20 the work that you did between 2001 to 2004 to try
- and build this center that you're describing was
- 22 not mesh-related?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. And as I understand it, you became -- you

Page 19

- 1 training in neurourology. Back at that time, there
- 2 were very few formal fellowships, so if you wanted
- 3 additional training in this area, you could be
- 4 employed by a hospital and focus in those areas, so
- 5 that's what I did for that year, and then brought
- 6 that knowledge to WVU the following year.
- 7 Q. Who recruited you?
- 8 A. To where?
- 9 Q. West Virginia.
- 10 A. There was an advertisement looking for
- someone who had done the things that I do, and I
- 12 had contacted Dr. Stanley Kandzari, who was the
- 13 chief of urology at the time, and we spoke, and I
- 14 came to interview, and I thought it was a great
- 15 opportunity.
- 16 Q. And you've been here ever since?
- 17 A. Um-hmm.
- 18 MS. ROBINSON: Say "yes."
- 19 A. Yes
- Q. Let's go back to what your role was in 2001
- 21 for a moment.
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. I don't think that's clear.
- What did you do as an assistant professor?

Page 21

- 1 had various titles all the way up to being named as
- 2 a professor in 2010, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And I take it that between 2001 and 2010,
- 5 you received pay raises?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And that with the change in titles that you
- 8 received in those intervening years, that that's
- 9 typically when the pay raises occurred, right?
- 10 A. No. They can happen annually. You know,
- 11 we're salaried employees, so our salary is
- 12 dependent upon normative numbers that are used
- 13 nationally for academic professors, and so there
- may be a raise each year. Usually it's equivalent
- to what would be a standard of living for that
- salary level. And the pay raise from -- actually,
- from rank is actually very little. It's really
- more -- the way our system is based, you can be a
- 19 full professor, but you're really compensated more
- based on your clinical productivity and the
- 21 national norms.
- 22 Q. Between 2001 and 2010, would you consider
- 23 yourself in private practice?
- 24 A. No.

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

Page 22 Page 24 1 Q. What would you consider yourself working in? 1 course several evenings a week, just to kind of wet 2 Academic practice. Full-time academic. 2 your whistle and get your feet wet with this. And 3 3 then the 2005 was an online program with some weeks So at no time between 2001, when you came to 4 West Virginia, to 2010 were you ever in private 4 on campus to achieve the MBA over a one-year 5 5 practice? period. 6 6 And would you agree with me that that Not at all. Q. A. 7 Q. And to carry that forward, between 2010 to 7 relates to the business of healthcare? today, at no time have you been in private 8 8 It relates partially to the business of 9 practice, correct? 9 healthcare. It also relates to the understanding 10 A. That's correct. 10 of how to improve workflow and how to make a better You would consider yourself an academic 11 healthcare system. It's not all about money, you 11 12 practice from 2001 to the present? 12 know. Certainly money, you think MBA, you think 13 Yes. 13 money, but it's also about improving your processes A. 14 And as an academic, are you paid by -- and 14 and improving patient experiences and physician 15 forgive me for not knowing, but are you essentially 15 experiences through a system. 16 paid by the taxpayers? Well, in discussing money for a second, why 16 17 Our salary comes partially from the state, 17 don't we move on. 18 and our salary also comes from the university. 18 As someone in academics, you're well aware 19 19 Which is the university is funded in part by of the issue of bias, right? 20 the state, correct? 20 A. Um-hmm. 21 Well, the university part is more the 21 Q. What does that mean to you? 22 medical school part, which is the practice plan. 22 Bias is a curbed opinion or a swayed opinion A. 23 So there's a portion of our salary is state 23 based on some circumstance. 24 funding and a portion of our salary is practice 24 And I don't want to retread old ground Page 23 Page 25 1 funding. 1 that you've already testified about, but it's my 2 But whether or not you treat patients, 2 understanding that you agree that it's not a good 3 you're still not engaged in what you would call 3 idea to induce physicians to buy a product on 4 private practice, right? 4 anything other than science and good medicine, 5 5 A. Not at all. right? 6 6 Thank you. You've listed that you're a MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. 7 7 certified Six Sigma Black Belt? A. Say that again. 8 Um-hmm. 8 Well, let me preface where I'm going. I A. 9 9 Q. What is that? don't want to retread your prior testimony, for 10 10 Six Sigma is a methodology of improving example, that you gave in the Edwards case. But I 11 process and flow through a variety of business 11 just want to explore this issue of bias with you a 12 systems. I took that as an online course in 12 little bit more, so that's why I'm asking this 13 conjunction with completing my MBA in 2005, just to 13 question. So what I'm saying is -- let me start have knowledge of that system to help better 14 14 over. 15 further our healthcare system, our WVU healthcare 15 You agree with me it's not a good idea to 16 system to be more economical, to be more oriented, 16 induce physicians to buy products from a medical 17 so that we can undertake tasks in a logical manner, 17 device manufacturer based on anything but science 18 reduce waste, improve productivity across the 18 and good medicine, right? 19 institution. 19 A. I don't know what you mean by "induce." 20 Q. And just so we're clear, you mentioned an 20 What does that mean? 21 MBA program. You took courses both at -- in West Well, you used the word "induce" in the 2.1 22 Virginia and at the University of Tennessee of 22 Edwards deposition, so what do you think it means? 23 23 Knoxville with respect to an MBA, right? I don't remember the details of that. If 24 Yeah. The WVU program was just a one-month 24 you'd like to show me that, I can read you what I

Page 26 Page 28 1 had written. 1 respect to the study, right? 2 Q. I don't want you to read me what you wrote. 2 MS. ROBINSON: Object to the form. 3 3 Well, paid by who and for what? I want you to tell me what you think "induce" A. 4 means as it relates to physicians and healthcare 4 You don't understand what I'm asking? 5 and bias. 5 No. No. I don't understand what you're 6 6 trying to say. Try again. Can you do that? 7 A. I'm not sure where you're going with this, 7 Because we're being polite to each other, I 8 and I don't know --8 will try again. 9 9 And I'm not trying to be flippant at all. Do you believe it is appropriate for a 10 It doesn't matter where I'm going at this point. 10 medical device manufacturer to pay, for example, a 11 11 doctor who is running a clinical trial based upon What I'm asking you for is your understanding of 12 the word "induce." 12 the outcome of that clinical trial? 13 It's your understanding. It's not mine. 13 MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. 14 And you've used the word before, in all fairness. 14 Not based on the outcome. Based on the 15 materials that are needed to conduct the study, if 15 16 So just exploring generally this idea of 16 it were a product and they provide a product for Q. 17 bias, which I think you've had some things to say 17 it. If it were cost-related to undertaking the 18 about and agree with me that it's not a good idea 18 study and coordinators or research or imaging 19 19 to, for example, take a physician on an exotic trip studies or things like that, yes. But based on an 20 simply to get them to buy a product, right? 20 outcome, a defined outcome that you have to achieve 21 MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. 21 a certain thing beforehand, that's a different 22 22 Well, now, I understand what you're saying story. 23 with the word "induce." You know, induce could be 23 Q. What do you mean by "that's a different to hint or to suggest or to gently nudge and say, 24 24 story"? Page 27 Page 29 1 "We'd like you to do something." So when you use 1 Well, I don't think it's appropriate for 2 someone to be paid for something and require 2 the word "induce" in terms of trips or large 3 monetary things, then, no, it shouldn't be done. 3 them to achieve a certain outcome. In other 4 Now, if induce means that we'd like to pay 4 words, "You have to have a 100 percent success rate 5 5 for your expenses to go to a meeting to learn of some procedure, or we're not going to fund your 6 6 something, I think that's a reasonable thing. procedure." I don't think that that's appropriate. 7 7 Well, what if you're paid a bonus if you get In fact, you've heard about trips where 8 physicians have been taken in an attempt to get 8 a 100 percent success rate? 9 9 them to induce -- to get them to buy a certain A. You could be paid a bonus. 10 10 product from a company, right? Q. Do you think that's appropriate? 11 11 I've heard about that, yes. It could be, based on outcomes that were 12 Q. And you don't agree with that? 12 discussed, mutually discussed before a trial began, 13 A. I don't think that that should exist in 13 if people had done that. And that's just the 14 healthcare. 14 industry paying for something. That's not Q. And you would agree with me that a company, 15 15 published in a journal in that way. 16 for example, a medical device manufacturer, 16 Yeah, I mean, now, if something like that is 17 17 shouldn't pay for a study based upon the outcome of published and that support is not mentioned, that's 18 that study? 18 inappropriate. But certainly, they can be funded 19 A. I don't know the specifics of what you mean 19 based on the agreement between two people. 20 by that. What do you mean by that? 20 So you think if somebody was paid a bonus if 2.1 21 Well, let me give you an example. they had, for example, no complications, they 22 22 If you're running a study at your center, received a substantial bonus, you think the fact that there is a bonus and the amount of that bonus 23 2.3 you shouldn't be paid based upon the outcome. The 24 outcome should be whatever it's going to be with should be disclosed in the study once it's

Page 30 Page 32 1 published? 1 percent success rate with respect to that clinical 2 MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. I 2 trial and the results of that trial are published, 3 3 don't know if that correctly states his that there needs to be full disclosure with respect 4 4 to the bonus in that amount, right? testimony. 5 5 MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. Asked Yeah, I don't know. These are things that б 6 have to be discussed between industry and the and answered. 7 individuals undertaking the study. They can 7 A. I told you that their involvement needs to 8 discuss, "This is the sum of money that we're 8 be disclosed. 9 giving you, and these are the outcomes that we're 9 When you said "their involvement," you mean 10 looking for, and if these are achieved, these may 10 the bonus? 11 11 be compensated in some way." I can't put a A. The financial support. I'm not saying that 12 12 they have to list the amount of what it is. I monetary value. I would not do that, and I 13 wouldn't engage in that, personally. 13 think they need to list that significant funding 14 Why not? 14 was provided from this company for this research, 15 15 Because I want to be involved in randomized or so and so has this agreement -- this 16 trials. I want to be the one doing the 16 investigator has this agreement with this industry. 17 randomizing. If I'm sponsored, I just want to be 17 In other words -- let's go to this case. 18 sponsored for expenses or things that are related 18 Ethicon, if they're paying for a study, that needs 19 19 to that. That's just my bias. That's my opinion. to be disclosed? 20 Q. Okay. So let's go back and answer my 20 MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. 21 question, though. What I was trying to get at was 21 I don't know. If they're paying for the 22 whether or not you thought -- whether or not I was 22 study in what way? So they're sponsoring the --23 correct in understanding you, that if you are paid 23 a study in question? It should be disclosed if 24 a bonus based for a 100 percent success rate in a 24 they're sponsoring a study. Page 31 Page 33 1 clinical trial that is later published, that the 1 Q. You've given testimony about ghostwriting 2 amount of that bonus and the fact that there is a 2 before, right? 3 bonus should be disclosed in the publication? 3 A. 4 Actually, now, with disclosure statements 4 Q. And what do you think of it? 5 5 for our own societies, those numbers are disclosed, I think that writers can help others write 6 if somebody's receiving research money and how much 6 manuscripts or papers, and certainly that happens. 7 7 Writers can help others. What do you mean? that is and for exactly what that is. 8 I'm not talking about now. I'm talking 8 Be more specific, please. 9 9 about your opinion as it exists. What do you think In other words, you have a paper that's 10 10 about it? written. It may be written by someone else at 11 11 another level. It may be from industry. It may A. I think that now, that that's how things 12 should be. 12 be -- may be someone who's your lab instructor who 13 Q. Do you think that it's appropriate to do 13 you work with, or your lab, you know, faculty member, and they're writing a paper and you're part that five years ago? 14 14 15 I think that's appropriate to do that 15 of it and you'll offer your contributions and 16 five years ago. 16 opinions to it. But you need to have -- take 17 Why? 17 ownership for that material if you're part of it. 18 Because when you interpret information, you 18 What do you mean by taking ownership? 19 want to know, was it sponsored, and if so, what 19 Well, if your name is on it, that means that 20 level of sponsorship was it and what was the 20 you would agree with what's being said. 21 involvement of the participants. 21 If a company representative has made 22 editorial changes to an article, should that be Q. And all I'm trying to get at is that you and 2.2 23 23 I agree that if a physician who is conducting a disclosed in the final publication of the article? 24 clinical trial is paid a bonus based upon a 100 24 How do we know -- I don't know who they were

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

Case 2:12-md-02327 Document 2026-3 Filed 04/21/16 Page 11 of 65 PageID #: 34220 Zaslau, M.D. Page 34 Page 36 and how they did that or why they did that. 1 bit differently before. So let me state what I 1 2 My question's much more simple. I'm not 2 understand, and you tell me whether I'm right or 3 3 asking why they did it or those sorts of things. wrong. 4 I'm just asking you a much more basic question. 4 I'll make it simple. Before 2004, you were 5 5 Can you answer it? primarily a Bard Uretex user when you were the 6 б surgeon performing the stress urinary incontinence They probably -- it would depend on the 7 specifics of what they edited. You know, did they 7 surgery, correct? 8 8 edit and say that no one had any erosions in a A. That's correct. 9 case when they actually had ten erosions? Then 9 And TVT was used, for example, at some 10 that's faulty. Depends on what they edited. Is it 10 points when you were a resident? 11 11 a minor edit? Is it a complete misrepresentation Sometimes when I was a resident and also 12 12 working with a -- gynecology colleagues who we'd of something? 13 So, in other words, if they provide 13 worked with as well. 14 information or alter information, that probably 14 And that was my next question, so let me ask 15 should be disclosed? 15 that in the next sentence. 16 16 What changed in 2004 that caused you to MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. 17 If they alter information that would have a 17 start using Ethicon products? 18 18 significant impact on someone's interpretation, Well, the Prolift mesh had come out as well. 19 that would be important to know. 19 The obturator approach had certainly changed the 20 Let's move on. You were a Bard Uretex 20 traditional way of doing TVTs to an easier, more 21 user, correct? 21 simplistic way of approaching things, and if we can 22 Um-hmm. 22 avoid potential for bladder injury, I think that A. 23 Is Uretex spelled U-R-E-T-E-X? 23 would be good because with the Uretex, it was a Q. 24 little higher incidence of that. A. Yes. 24 Page 35 Page 37 1 And what did you use that product for? 1 Also, I thought the quality of the mesh O. 2 A. I used that for suburethral slings. 2 would be better and easier to implant. I like the 3 Q. To treat stress urinary incontinence in 3 concept of trocar-based that's similar to Prolift 4 women? 4 in that the material -- the materials were easy to 5 5 A. Yes. use, easy to work with. 6 6 And when I use the acronym SUI, you know I'm What was it about the quality of a TVT mesh 7 7 referring to stress urinary incontinence, correct? versus a Bard Uretex mesh that caused you to change 8 Um-hmm. 8 A. your --9 9 Q. And it's fair to say that before 2004 you A. I thought it was softer. I thought upon 10 10 were a Bard Uretex user and not a TVT user, right? implantation I liked how the mesh would sit in its I used them both. 11 11 Α. appropriate place, and it was very easy to do. It 12 Q. Are you sure about that? 12 was very easy and quick. It avoided the risk of 13 A. Yep. 13 bladder injury, especially when the obturator Have you ever testified differently? 14 14 Q. approach changed a lot of the things that we do. 15 I've -- I used a lot more Bard than I had 15 Using the obturator fossa really has cut down on 16 used TVT for a short period of time, but I used TVT 16 risk of bladder injuries, risk of postoperative 17 as a resident and in some of the other cases that 17 pain, risk of voiding dysfunction.

18 were done in working with our gynecology faculty,

- 19 if they were going to use that or the resident
- 20 wanted to use that, we would use that. But I did
- 21 use Bard for quite a bit of time from 2001 to 2004
- 2.2 or so.
- 23 Q. And I'm not suggesting anything, but it
- 24 sounds like you may have explained things a little

18 In fact, you'd agree with me that the TVT-O

19 was invented to avoid some of those risks that

the TVT presented, which included bladder injuries? 20

21 It certainly would improve those risks, yes.

2.2 Q. Do you have partners in your academic

23 practice?

24 A. Yes.

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

| | Page 38 | | Page 40 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | Q. How many? | 1 | A. I have not, no. |
| 2 | A. We have, myself now included, five. | 2 | Q. Now, with respect to any of these surgeries |
| 3 | Q. And what does having a partner mean to you? | 3 | that you performed, including those with |
| 4 | A. It means you work together. | 4 | polypropylene mesh, it's important for you to have |
| 5 | Q. You share information? | 5 | scientific data before you implant that product, |
| 6 | A. About patient care. | 6 | right? |
| 7 | Q. Do you share information about | 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | complications? | 8 | MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. |
| 9 | A. We do. | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | Q. And do you share information that may come | 10 | Q. Or perform the procedure, if it's only |
| 11 | up in literature? | 11 | procedure-based? |
| 12 | A. Yeah. Well, part of, you know, journal | 12 | A. We'll perform it, yes. |
| 13 | clubs and conferences, yes. | 13 | Q. And you wouldn't just implant a device or do |
| 14 | Q. In other words, you share healthcare | 14 | a procedure on a woman without having that data, |
| 15 | information with each other and expect your | 15 | correct? |
| 16 | partners to candidly share information with you | 16 | MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. |
| 17 | when they discuss it? | 17 | A. The data is important, but understanding the |
| 18 | A. Um-hmm. | 18 | procedure that you're going to do and how does it |
| 19 | Q. How many years of experience do you have | 19 | relate to things that you've done already, |
| 20 | with these partners? | 20 | experience from lectures and national meetings on |
| 21 | A. With our longest one, 15. | 21 | this, is certainly important, as well, so it's a |
| 22 | Q. You believe Ethicon's a partner of yours, | 22 | combination of things. |
| 23 | right? | 23 | Q. And those the combination of those |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | things, including the data supporting the safety |
| | Daga 20 | | |
| | Page 391 | | Page 41 |
| 1 | Page 39 | 1 | Page 41 |
| 1 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that | 1 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to |
| 2 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may | 2 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? |
| 2 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to | 2 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. |
| 2 3 4 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? | 2 3 4 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, |
| 2 3 4 5 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? A. Right. | 2 3 4 5 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information?A. Right.Q. What other surgeries have you done to treat | 2 3 4 5 6 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare partner, Ethicon, gave you the necessary |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? A. Right. Q. What other surgeries have you done to treat stress urinary incontinence? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare partner, Ethicon, gave you the necessary information to make the right decision when |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? A. Right. Q. What other surgeries have you done to treat stress urinary incontinence? A. I've done pubovaginal slings. I've done Raz | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare partner, Ethicon, gave you the necessary information to make the right decision when deciding to use the TVT, right? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? A. Right. Q. What other surgeries have you done to treat stress urinary incontinence? A. I've done pubovaginal slings. I've done Raz needle suspensions, Pereyra and Stamey needle | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare partner, Ethicon, gave you the necessary information to make the right decision when deciding to use the TVT, right? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? A. Right. Q. What other surgeries have you done to treat stress urinary incontinence? A. I've done pubovaginal slings. I've done Raz needle suspensions, Pereyra and Stamey needle suspensions. We've done certainly slings. We've | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare partner, Ethicon, gave you the necessary information to make the right decision when deciding to use the TVT, right? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. A. I would want to know the pertinent |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? A. Right. Q. What other surgeries have you done to treat stress urinary incontinence? A. I've done pubovaginal slings. I've done Raz needle suspensions, Pereyra and Stamey needle suspensions. We've done certainly slings. We've done injections of material into the bladder neck. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare partner, Ethicon, gave you the necessary information to make the right decision when deciding to use the TVT, right? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. A. I would want to know the pertinent information that relates to me, yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? A. Right. Q. What other surgeries have you done to treat stress urinary incontinence? A. I've done pubovaginal slings. I've done Raz needle suspensions, Pereyra and Stamey needle suspensions. We've done certainly slings. We've done injections of material into the bladder neck. I've assisted with MMKs and Burches when we do open | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare partner, Ethicon, gave you the necessary information to make the right decision when deciding to use the TVT, right? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. A. I would want to know the pertinent information that relates to me, yes. Q. And what type of information did you look at |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? A. Right. Q. What other surgeries have you done to treat stress urinary incontinence? A. I've done pubovaginal slings. I've done Raz needle suspensions, Pereyra and Stamey needle suspensions. We've done certainly slings. We've done injections of material into the bladder neck. I've assisted with MMKs and Burches when we do open things with the gynecologists, only if they've | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare partner, Ethicon, gave you the necessary information to make the right decision when deciding to use the TVT, right? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. A. I would want to know the pertinent information that relates to me, yes. Q. And what type of information did you look at to satisfy yourself that the TVT was safe and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? A. Right. Q. What other surgeries have you done to treat stress urinary incontinence? A. I've done pubovaginal slings. I've done Raz needle suspensions, Pereyra and Stamey needle suspensions. We've done certainly slings. We've done injections of material into the bladder neck. I've assisted with MMKs and Burches when we do open things with the gynecologists, only if they've asked me to help them for some pelvic reason. But | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare partner, Ethicon, gave you the necessary information to make the right decision when deciding to use the TVT, right? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. A. I would want to know the pertinent information that relates to me, yes. Q. And what type of information did you look at to satisfy yourself that the TVT was safe and effective when you started using it in your own |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? A. Right. Q. What other surgeries have you done to treat stress urinary incontinence? A. I've done pubovaginal slings. I've done Raz needle suspensions, Pereyra and Stamey needle suspensions. We've done certainly slings. We've done injections of material into the bladder neck. I've assisted with MMKs and Burches when we do open things with the gynecologists, only if they've asked me to help them for some pelvic reason. But really, just you're helping them and it's their | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare partner, Ethicon, gave you the necessary information to make the right decision when deciding to use the TVT, right? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. A. I would want to know the pertinent information that relates to me, yes. Q. And what type of information did you look at to satisfy yourself that the TVT was safe and effective when you started using it in your own surgeries in or about 2004? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? A. Right. Q. What other surgeries have you done to treat stress urinary incontinence? A. I've done pubovaginal slings. I've done Raz needle suspensions, Pereyra and Stamey needle suspensions. We've done certainly slings. We've done injections of material into the bladder neck. I've assisted with MMKs and Burches when we do open things with the gynecologists, only if they've asked me to help them for some pelvic reason. But really, just you're helping them and it's their procedure. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare partner, Ethicon, gave you the necessary information to make the right decision when deciding to use the TVT, right? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. A. I would want to know the pertinent information that relates to me, yes. Q. And what type of information did you look at to satisfy yourself that the TVT was safe and effective when you started using it in your own surgeries in or about 2004? A. I'd look at the instructions. I'd look at |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? A. Right. Q. What other surgeries have you done to treat stress urinary incontinence? A. I've done pubovaginal slings. I've done Raz needle suspensions, Pereyra and Stamey needle suspensions. We've done certainly slings. We've done injections of material into the bladder neck. I've assisted with MMKs and Burches when we do open things with the gynecologists, only if they've asked me to help them for some pelvic reason. But really, just you're helping them and it's their procedure. Q. Do you ever have a complication with a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare partner, Ethicon, gave you the necessary information to make the right decision when deciding to use the TVT, right? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. A. I would want to know the pertinent information that relates to me, yes. Q. And what type of information did you look at to satisfy yourself that the TVT was safe and effective when you started using it in your own surgeries in or about 2004? A. I'd look at the instructions. I'd look at the presentations that were done at the AUA about |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? A. Right. Q. What other surgeries have you done to treat stress urinary incontinence? A. I've done pubovaginal slings. I've done Raz needle suspensions, Pereyra and Stamey needle suspensions. We've done certainly slings. We've done injections of material into the bladder neck. I've assisted with MMKs and Burches when we do open things with the gynecologists, only if they've asked me to help them for some pelvic reason. But really, just you're helping them and it's their procedure. Q. Do you ever have a complication with a patient under general anesthesia? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare partner, Ethicon, gave you the necessary information to make the right decision when deciding to use the TVT, right? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. A. I would want to know the pertinent information that relates to me, yes. Q. And what type of information did you look at to satisfy yourself that the TVT was safe and effective when you started using it in your own surgeries in or about 2004? A. I'd look at the instructions. I'd look at the presentations that were done at the AUA about that time, what abstracts were presented, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? A. Right. Q. What other surgeries have you done to treat stress urinary incontinence? A. I've done pubovaginal slings. I've done Raz needle suspensions, Pereyra and Stamey needle suspensions. We've done certainly slings. We've done injections of material into the bladder neck. I've assisted with MMKs and Burches when we do open things with the gynecologists, only if they've asked me to help them for some pelvic reason. But really, just you're helping them and it's their procedure. Q. Do you ever have a complication with a patient under general anesthesia? A. Such as you can have any complication, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare partner, Ethicon, gave you the necessary information to make the right decision when deciding to use the TVT, right? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. A. I would want to know the pertinent information that relates to me, yes. Q. And what type of information did you look at to satisfy yourself that the TVT was safe and effective when you started using it in your own surgeries in or about 2004? A. I'd look at the instructions. I'd look at the presentations that were done at the AUA about that time, what abstracts were presented, information from other colleagues on an academic |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? A. Right. Q. What other surgeries have you done to treat stress urinary incontinence? A. I've done pubovaginal slings. I've done Raz needle suspensions, Pereyra and Stamey needle suspensions. We've done certainly slings. We've done injections of material into the bladder neck. I've assisted with MMKs and Burches when we do open things with the gynecologists, only if they've asked me to help them for some pelvic reason. But really, just you're helping them and it's their procedure. Q. Do you ever have a complication with a patient under general anesthesia? A. Such as you can have any complication, sure. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare partner, Ethicon, gave you the necessary information to make the right decision when deciding to use the TVT, right? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. A. I would want to know the pertinent information that relates to me, yes. Q. And what type of information did you look at to satisfy yourself that the TVT was safe and effective when you started using it in your own surgeries in or about 2004? A. I'd look at the instructions. I'd look at the presentations that were done at the AUA about that time, what abstracts were presented, information from other colleagues on an academic level, you know, if we had a regional meeting and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? A. Right. Q. What other surgeries have you done to treat stress urinary incontinence? A. I've done pubovaginal slings. I've done Raz needle suspensions, Pereyra and Stamey needle suspensions. We've done certainly slings. We've done injections of material into the bladder neck. I've assisted with MMKs and Burches when we do open things with the gynecologists, only if they've asked me to help them for some pelvic reason. But really, just you're helping them and it's their procedure. Q. Do you ever have a complication with a patient under general anesthesia? A. Such as you can have any complication, sure. Q. I guess I'm asking a more specific question. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare partner, Ethicon, gave you the necessary information to make the right decision when deciding to use the TVT, right? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. A. I would want to know the pertinent information that relates to me, yes. Q. And what type of information did you look at to satisfy yourself that the TVT was safe and effective when you started using it in your own surgeries in or about 2004? A. I'd look at the instructions. I'd look at the presentations that were done at the AUA about that time, what abstracts were presented, information from other colleagues on an academic level, you know, if we had a regional meeting and these things were discussed, certainly, these |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? A. Right. Q. What other surgeries have you done to treat stress urinary incontinence? A. I've done pubovaginal slings. I've done Raz needle suspensions, Pereyra and Stamey needle suspensions. We've done certainly slings. We've done injections of material into the bladder neck. I've assisted with MMKs and Burches when we do open things with the gynecologists, only if they've asked me to help them for some pelvic reason. But really, just you're helping them and it's their procedure. Q. Do you ever have a complication with a patient under general anesthesia? A. Such as you can have any complication, sure. Q. I guess I'm asking a more specific question. Have you ever had a complication during | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare partner, Ethicon, gave you the necessary information to make the right decision when deciding to use the TVT, right? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. A. I would want to know the pertinent information that relates to me, yes. Q. And what type of information did you look at to satisfy yourself that the TVT was safe and effective when you started using it in your own surgeries in or about 2004? A. I'd look at the instructions. I'd look at the presentations that were done at the AUA about that time, what abstracts were presented, information from other colleagues on an academic level, you know, if we had a regional meeting and these things were discussed, certainly, these things would come into play. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q. And you expect the same from Ethicon, that if it has information about its products that may impact on your delivery of healthcare, you want to know that information? A. Right. Q. What other surgeries have you done to treat stress urinary incontinence? A. I've done pubovaginal slings. I've done Raz needle suspensions, Pereyra and Stamey needle suspensions. We've done certainly slings. We've done injections of material into the bladder neck. I've assisted with MMKs and Burches when we do open things with the gynecologists, only if they've asked me to help them for some pelvic reason. But really, just you're helping them and it's their procedure. Q. Do you ever have a complication with a patient under general anesthesia? A. Such as you can have any complication, sure. Q. I guess I'm asking a more specific question. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | and efficacy of the procedure, would apply to either a procedure or an implant, right? A. Combination of things, yes. Q. And with respect to the use of Ethicon mesh, you would want to know that your healthcare partner, Ethicon, gave you the necessary information to make the right decision when deciding to use the TVT, right? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. A. I would want to know the pertinent information that relates to me, yes. Q. And what type of information did you look at to satisfy yourself that the TVT was safe and effective when you started using it in your own surgeries in or about 2004? A. I'd look at the instructions. I'd look at the presentations that were done at the AUA about that time, what abstracts were presented, information from other colleagues on an academic level, you know, if we had a regional meeting and these things were discussed, certainly, these |

| | Page 42 | | Page 44 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | from Bard to switching from GYNECARE is actually | 1 | how to do things. That shaped the knowledge that |
| 2 | very easy. It's an easier product to do. It | 2 | we have. |
| 3 | follows the same things I've been doing. At that | 3 | Q. Take a step back for a moment, though. |
| 4 | point in 2004, I've been doing this for eight | 4 | You know that there are thousands of women |
| 5 | 5 years already, including my residency. So here, | | that have claimed injuries from the implant of |
| 6 all of a sudden, there's a better product, it's | | 6 | vaginal mesh, right? |
| 7 | easier to use, and it's very straightforward. | 7 | MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. |
| 8 | Q. Did you rely on information from Ethicon | 8 | A. Many people have claimed that, yes. |
| 9 | besides the instructions for use? | 9 | Q. Would you, as you sit here today, agree with |
| 10 | A. No. | 10 | me that perhaps that mesh was too widely distribu- |
| 11 | Q. Why not? | 11 | ted to physicians and hospitals and put in too many |
| 12 | A. Didn't need to. | 12 | women too fast by experienced or I'm sorry, by |
| 13 | Q. Why not? | 13 | physicians who were not as experienced as you? |
| 14 | A. Because it's just a variation of what I've | 14 | MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. |
| 15 | been doing for eight years. You know, whatever | 15 | A. No. |
| 16 | complication was going to happen, I would've seen | 16 | Q. Why not? |
| 17 | it over the eight years or heard about it or had | 17 | A. I think that these physicians who implant, |
| 18 | those patients sent up to me. | 18 | or whatever surgery they did, need to have a full |
| 19 | One of the things about being a referral | 19 | understanding based on their skills and their |
| 20 | center, where we are, is the a lot of | 20 | training about what they're going to do, and they |
| 21 | challenging patients that others have operated on, | 21 | should be able to look at a product I mean, |
| 22 | so many of the issues that I would expect to see, | 22 | mesh is no different than Prolene sutures we've |
| 23 | I had seen already. | 23 | closed abdomens with and the same Prolene sutures |
| 24 | Q. So you thought you already knew what you | 24 | we've done MMKs with or Burches. So they should |
| | | | |
| | Page 43 | | Page 45 |
| 1 | Page 43 were doing and you understood the material and the | 1 | Page 45 know that based on their skills and training that |
| 1 2 | | 1 2 | |
| | were doing and you understood the material and the | | know that based on their skills and training that |
| 2 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? | 2 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something |
| 2 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. | 2 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves |
| 2 3 4 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. Q. Did you look to peer review journals for | 2 3 4 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves |
| 2 3 4 5 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. Q. Did you look to peer review journals for data on the safety and efficacy of the TVT device? | 2 3 4 5 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves forward to whether they're going to adopt something |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. Q. Did you look to peer review journals for data on the safety and efficacy of the TVT device? A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves forward to whether they're going to adopt something or not adopt something. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. Q. Did you look to peer review journals for data on the safety and efficacy of the TVT device? A. Yes. Q. What journals? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves forward to whether they're going to adopt something or not adopt something. Q. That's not an answer to my question, though. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. Q. Did you look to peer review journals for data on the safety and efficacy of the TVT device? A. Yes. Q. What journals? A. "Journal of Urology," the "Gold Journal," many of the urogynecology journals, abstracts presented at the AUA, updates to Campbell's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves forward to whether they're going to adopt something or not adopt something. Q. That's not an answer to my question, though. My question was whether or not you believe that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. Q. Did you look to peer review journals for data on the safety and efficacy of the TVT device? A. Yes. Q. What journals? A. "Journal of Urology," the "Gold Journal," many of the urogynecology journals, abstracts | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves forward to whether they're going to adopt something or not adopt something. Q. That's not an answer to my question, though. My question was whether or not you believe that mesh let me back up for a second. I think you and I agree, don't we, that physicians should be skilled in a procedure before |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. Q. Did you look to peer review journals for data on the safety and efficacy of the TVT device? A. Yes. Q. What journals? A. "Journal of Urology," the "Gold Journal," many of the urogynecology journals, abstracts presented at the AUA, updates to Campbell's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves forward to whether they're going to adopt something or not adopt something. Q. That's not an answer to my question, though. My question was whether or not you believe that mesh let me back up for a second. I think you and I agree, don't we, that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. Q. Did you look to peer review journals for data on the safety and efficacy of the TVT device? A. Yes. Q. What journals? A. "Journal of Urology," the "Gold Journal," many of the urogynecology journals, abstracts presented at the AUA, updates to Campbell's "Urology," "Female Urology," Shlomo Raz's initial texts to learn about these procedures. A variety of different sources. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves forward to whether they're going to adopt something or not adopt something. Q. That's not an answer to my question, though. My question was whether or not you believe that mesh let me back up for a second. I think you and I agree, don't we, that physicians should be skilled in a procedure before |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. Q. Did you look to peer review journals for data on the safety and efficacy of the TVT device? A. Yes. Q. What journals? A. "Journal of Urology," the "Gold Journal," many of the urogynecology journals, abstracts presented at the AUA, updates to Campbell's "Urology," "Female Urology," Shlomo Raz's initial texts to learn about these procedures. A variety of different sources. Q. In other words, you felt after looking at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves forward to whether they're going to adopt something or not adopt something. Q. That's not an answer to my question, though. My question was whether or not you believe that mesh let me back up for a second. I think you and I agree, don't we, that physicians should be skilled in a procedure before they do that procedure, correct? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. Q. Did you look to peer review journals for data on the safety and efficacy of the TVT device? A. Yes. Q. What journals? A. "Journal of Urology," the "Gold Journal," many of the urogynecology journals, abstracts presented at the AUA, updates to Campbell's "Urology," "Female Urology," Shlomo Raz's initial texts to learn about these procedures. A variety of different sources. Q. In other words, you felt after looking at this data and having the experience of implanting | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves forward to whether they're going to adopt something or not adopt something. Q. That's not an answer to my question, though. My question was whether or not you believe that mesh let me back up for a second. I think you and I agree, don't we, that physicians should be skilled in a procedure before they do that procedure, correct? A. Physicians should be skilled in a procedure, yes. Q. So we agree on that. What I'm asking you, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. Q. Did you look to peer review journals for data on the safety and efficacy of the TVT device? A. Yes. Q. What journals? A. "Journal of Urology," the "Gold Journal," many of the urogynecology journals, abstracts presented at the AUA, updates to Campbell's "Urology," "Female Urology," Shlomo Raz's initial texts to learn about these procedures. A variety of different sources. Q. In other words, you felt after looking at this data and having the experience of implanting mesh for eight years that the use of mesh and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves forward to whether they're going to adopt something or not adopt something. Q. That's not an answer to my question, though. My question was whether or not you believe that mesh let me back up for a second. I think you and I agree, don't we, that physicians should be skilled in a procedure before they do that procedure, correct? A. Physicians should be skilled in a procedure, yes. Q. So we agree on that. What I'm asking you, though, is something different, which is, do you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. Q. Did you look to peer review journals for data on the safety and efficacy of the TVT device? A. Yes. Q. What journals? A. "Journal of Urology," the "Gold Journal," many of the urogynecology journals, abstracts presented at the AUA, updates to Campbell's "Urology," "Female Urology," Shlomo Raz's initial texts to learn about these procedures. A variety of different sources. Q. In other words, you felt after looking at this data and having the experience of implanting | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves forward to whether they're going to adopt something or not adopt something. Q. That's not an answer to my question, though. My question was whether or not you believe that mesh let me back up for a second. I think you and I agree, don't we, that physicians should be skilled in a procedure before they do that procedure, correct? A. Physicians should be skilled in a procedure, yes. Q. So we agree on that. What I'm asking you, though, is something different, which is, do you believe that mesh was too widely distributed and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. Q. Did you look to peer review journals for data on the safety and efficacy of the TVT device? A. Yes. Q. What journals? A. "Journal of Urology," the "Gold Journal," many of the urogynecology journals, abstracts presented at the AUA, updates to Campbell's "Urology," "Female Urology," Shlomo Raz's initial texts to learn about these procedures. A variety of different sources. Q. In other words, you felt after looking at this data and having the experience of implanting mesh for eight years that the use of mesh and specifically Ethicon mesh in your hands was safe? A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves forward to whether they're going to adopt something or not adopt something. Q. That's not an answer to my question, though. My question was whether or not you believe that mesh let me back up for a second. I think you and I agree, don't we, that physicians should be skilled in a procedure before they do that procedure, correct? A. Physicians should be skilled in a procedure, yes. Q. So we agree on that. What I'm asking you, though, is something different, which is, do you believe that mesh was too widely distributed and put in too many women too fast by inexperienced |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. Q. Did you look to peer review journals for data on the safety and efficacy of the TVT device? A. Yes. Q. What journals? A. "Journal of Urology," the "Gold Journal," many of the urogynecology journals, abstracts presented at the AUA, updates to Campbell's "Urology," "Female Urology," Shlomo Raz's initial texts to learn about these procedures. A variety of different sources. Q. In other words, you felt after looking at this data and having the experience of implanting mesh for eight years that the use of mesh and specifically Ethicon mesh in your hands was safe? A. Yes. Q. And you thought that, in part at least, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves forward to whether they're going to adopt something or not adopt something. Q. That's not an answer to my question, though. My question was whether or not you believe that mesh let me back up for a second. I think you and I agree, don't we, that physicians should be skilled in a procedure before they do that procedure, correct? A. Physicians should be skilled in a procedure, yes. Q. So we agree on that. What I'm asking you, though, is something different, which is, do you believe that mesh was too widely distributed and put in too many women too fast by inexperienced physicians, which is perhaps one of the reasons why |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. Q. Did you look to peer review journals for data on the safety and efficacy of the TVT device? A. Yes. Q. What journals? A. "Journal of Urology," the "Gold Journal," many of the urogynecology journals, abstracts presented at the AUA, updates to Campbell's "Urology," "Female Urology," Shlomo Raz's initial texts to learn about these procedures. A variety of different sources. Q. In other words, you felt after looking at this data and having the experience of implanting mesh for eight years that the use of mesh and specifically Ethicon mesh in your hands was safe? A. Yes. Q. And you thought that, in part at least, based upon your own understanding and confidence | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves forward to whether they're going to adopt something or not adopt something. Q. That's not an answer to my question, though. My question was whether or not you believe that mesh let me back up for a second. I think you and I agree, don't we, that physicians should be skilled in a procedure before they do that procedure, correct? A. Physicians should be skilled in a procedure, yes. Q. So we agree on that. What I'm asking you, though, is something different, which is, do you believe that mesh was too widely distributed and put in too many women too fast by inexperienced physicians, which is perhaps one of the reasons why we're seeing all these claims? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. Q. Did you look to peer review journals for data on the safety and efficacy of the TVT device? A. Yes. Q. What journals? A. "Journal of Urology," the "Gold Journal," many of the urogynecology journals, abstracts presented at the AUA, updates to Campbell's "Urology," "Female Urology," Shlomo Raz's initial texts to learn about these procedures. A variety of different sources. Q. In other words, you felt after looking at this data and having the experience of implanting mesh for eight years that the use of mesh and specifically Ethicon mesh in your hands was safe? A. Yes. Q. And you thought that, in part at least, based upon your own understanding and confidence and your skill level? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves forward to whether they're going to adopt something or not adopt something. Q. That's not an answer to my question, though. My question was whether or not you believe that mesh let me back up for a second. I think you and I agree, don't we, that physicians should be skilled in a procedure before they do that procedure, correct? A. Physicians should be skilled in a procedure, yes. Q. So we agree on that. What I'm asking you, though, is something different, which is, do you believe that mesh was too widely distributed and put in too many women too fast by inexperienced physicians, which is perhaps one of the reasons why we're seeing all these claims? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. Q. Did you look to peer review journals for data on the safety and efficacy of the TVT device? A. Yes. Q. What journals? A. "Journal of Urology," the "Gold Journal," many of the urogynecology journals, abstracts presented at the AUA, updates to Campbell's "Urology," "Female Urology," Shlomo Raz's initial texts to learn about these procedures. A variety of different sources. Q. In other words, you felt after looking at this data and having the experience of implanting mesh for eight years that the use of mesh and specifically Ethicon mesh in your hands was safe? A. Yes. Q. And you thought that, in part at least, based upon your own understanding and confidence and your skill level? A. Yes. But also, you know, each year another | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves forward to whether they're going to adopt something or not adopt something. Q. That's not an answer to my question, though. My question was whether or not you believe that mesh let me back up for a second. I think you and I agree, don't we, that physicians should be skilled in a procedure before they do that procedure, correct? A. Physicians should be skilled in a procedure, yes. Q. So we agree on that. What I'm asking you, though, is something different, which is, do you believe that mesh was too widely distributed and put in too many women too fast by inexperienced physicians, which is perhaps one of the reasons why we're seeing all these claims? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. A. I don't think it had to do with the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | were doing and you understood the material and the procedure enough to go forward? A. Um-hmm. Q. Did you look to peer review journals for data on the safety and efficacy of the TVT device? A. Yes. Q. What journals? A. "Journal of Urology," the "Gold Journal," many of the urogynecology journals, abstracts presented at the AUA, updates to Campbell's "Urology," "Female Urology," Shlomo Raz's initial texts to learn about these procedures. A variety of different sources. Q. In other words, you felt after looking at this data and having the experience of implanting mesh for eight years that the use of mesh and specifically Ethicon mesh in your hands was safe? A. Yes. Q. And you thought that, in part at least, based upon your own understanding and confidence and your skill level? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | know that based on their skills and training that this is just a different application of something that they've been doing for years. And their knowledge, their skills, that should be what moves forward to whether they're going to adopt something or not adopt something. Q. That's not an answer to my question, though. My question was whether or not you believe that mesh let me back up for a second. I think you and I agree, don't we, that physicians should be skilled in a procedure before they do that procedure, correct? A. Physicians should be skilled in a procedure, yes. Q. So we agree on that. What I'm asking you, though, is something different, which is, do you believe that mesh was too widely distributed and put in too many women too fast by inexperienced physicians, which is perhaps one of the reasons why we're seeing all these claims? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. |

Page 46 Page 48 1 experienced. I don't think that they selected 1 some other type of incontinence? 2 their patients carefully based on their skills and 2 A. That's correct. 3 3 And you believe that in seeing these training. I think mesh is -- has done some amazing 4 things for people. You're only talking about all 4 complications, that that behavior by these 5 5 physicians may be, in fact, a cause of those the negative things. I don't have anything б 6 complications, right? negative to say about it. Mesh has shaped the way 7 7 we do surgery. Mesh has changed outcomes for A. That's correct. 8 8 And I said to you, why do you believe those people that never would have the outcomes that Q. 9 9 they do in a positive way. complications come about? 10 You mentioned that they made a mistake in 10 You have to look at the revisions of mesh, 11 11 the selection of their patients. the mesh removals to determine that. And when you 12 12 look at, in our practice, in which we've removed What do you mean by that? 13 The IFU is very clear. It's very clear. 13 probably close to 200 patients' mesh since we 14 The first iteration of the IFU is very obvious. 14 started counting them, paying attention to that, 15 15 Mesh is used in the treatment of stress urinary very few patients have had erosions. Most 16 incontinence. It's a treatment for stress urinary 16 patients, when you look at the original indication, 17 incontinence. It's not a prevention. It's not 17 they had mixed incontinence, or they had urge 18 18 incontinence, or the sling was placed prophylacgoing to -- it's not going to treat something 19 19 that's not there. It doesn't say it's a treatment tically. And when you do that -- and that's 20 for urge incontinence or mixed incontinence. It's 20 well documented. When you do these procedures, or 21 a treatment for stress incontinence. 21 any stress incontinence procedure for that matter, 22 22 It's very clear. Many people who have it's more likely to fail. And then, of course, 23 implanted slings have not considered its true 23 there's the patient comorbidities. Okay. 24 indication. And when you implant mesh in those 24 Let's stop there before we go on to Page 47 Page 49 1 people, they have -- they are more likely than less 1 comorbidities. 2 likely to have problems. 2 You said it's well documented. What do you 3 Why? 3 mean by that? 4 MS. ROBINSON: Can we go off the record 4 Well, the literature has described for years 5 5 for a second? about who is more or less likely to do well when 6 6 MR. WALLACE: Yeah. Go ahead. they have a sling placed. And it's well documented 7 7 that patients with urge incontinence -- pure urge (Brief break.) 8 BY MR. WALLACE: 8 incontinence, that is -- patients with mixed 9 9 Q. Just answer the why, and then we'll take a incontinence, patients with multiple comorbidities, 10 10 break. patients who were having multiple procedures done 11 11 A. Just reorient me to the -- you know. at the same time, like a hysterectomy and anterior 12 My understanding of your testimony is that 12 repair and a sling, are more likely to do poorly as 13 you believe, as a physician who's implanted mesh 13 opposed to someone who just has a mesh placed or a 14 14 for a number of years, that one of the reasons why sling placed for stress incontinence. 15 15 there are so many complications with mesh is Are there any peer review journals or 16 because of patient selection, correct? 16 articles that come to mind when you make that 17 17 18 And that you believe, in part, that 18 A. About which statement? 19 physicians, when they're doing patient selection, 19 The statement you just made about that 20 have sometimes implanted mesh in women that didn't 20 literature or that it's well documented. 21 need it? 21 A. Yes. 22 22 A. That's correct. Q. Which ones? 23 23 The Schimpf, the variety of Cochrane Q. And including in women that may not be 24 suffering from stress urinary incontinence but 24 reviews, the reviews from the "Journal of Urology"

- as well. There are a variety of different reviews.
- 2 Q. Okay. Let's take a break.
- 3 (Brief break at 12:29 p.m.)
- 4 (Back on the record at 12:37 p.m.)
- 5 BY MR. WALLACE:
- 6 Q. You mentioned some of the other non-mesh
- 7 procedures that you've done where you use sutures.
- 8 Do you recall talking about that earlier
- 9 today?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Are -- is using a mesh and doing, for
- example, a Burch procedure present the same risk?
- 13 A. Some are the same risk, but others are
- 14 different.
- 15 O. What are the differences?
- 16 A. Well, mesh is placed under the urethra,
- whereas Burch suspends it from above. So the
- 18 complaints could be different. Burch patients may
- complain more of voiding dysfunction, difficulty
- 20 with their stream, urgency, frequency, obstructive
- 21 kind of symptoms. Yes, you can see that with TVT
- mesh-based pubovaginal slings, as well, but it's
- 23 more urethral-related, more towards where the
- 24 urethral meatus is.

1 at the table, did you control for all the

- 2 different products that were examined?
- 3 A. You can't control for all of them. There's

Page 52

Page 53

- 4 too many products. There's too many things.
- 5 Because it's a meta-analysis, so what do you want
- 6 to pull out? Which study you're going to pull out?
- 7 Q. Right. But you're relying on Schimpf, for
- 8 example, to give me your answer --
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. -- so I'm trying to figure out how specific
- 11 you are. If you're just giving me a general
- opinion based upon a meta-analysis, that's fair.
- 13 I just need to know that.
- 14 A. No. Most of the studies that were within
- Schimpf, when you're looking at a pubovaginal
- sling, for an example, there's only one of the
- 17 studies that used mesh for its pubovaginal sling.
- 18 All the other ones used autologous or cadaveric
- 19 material. So that's a fair comparison. You don't
- 20 have to pull anything out for that information,
- 21 okay? And the other ones, you know, use Burch or
- 22 MMK or other type of procedures. They're all
- pretty standard, how they're done, so there's
- 24 really not a lot of factoring.

Page 51

- 1 You can have more dyspareunia with
- 2 vaginal-based procedures as opposed to Burch-based
- 3 procedures, but that -- often they're combined
- 4 with other procedures, so they may be having an
- 5 anterior repair and a hysterectomy and an
- 6 incontinence procedure, so you have to look at the
- 7 whole picture of what they're having done as
- 8 opposed to just the individual A versus B.
- 9 Q. But you would agree with me that there is a
- 10 risk of greater dyspareunia with a vaginal mesh
- 11 procedure?
- 12 A. Not necessarily. Again, it's the patient
- 13 factor. So you operate on someone who's
- 14 postmenopausal, you can have dyspareunia with
- either procedure, just because they're
- 16 postmenopausal.
- 17 Q. But you can have greater dyspareunia with a
- vaginally-placed mesh product?
- 19 A. Not necessarily. I think that actually the
- risk can be pretty similar.
- 21 Q. And what science or data do you base that
- 22 on?
- 23 A. Schimpf. It's to say that all procedures --
- Q. Did you control, in Schimpf, when you looked

- Q. So in other words -- and let's suppose
- 2 you're wrong, that your reading of Schimpf is
- 3 wrong, that it isn't a straight comparison, in
- 4 fact. Let's talk about autologous slings, for
- 5 example.

1

9

- 6 Do you think, when you use the word
- 7 "autologous" slings, are you saying that it only
- 8 had to do with fascia?
 - MS. ROBINSON: Object to form.
- 10 A. Autologous, by definition, means self, so
- that means you got the fascia from that person.
- 12 Q. So when you're using the word "autologous"
- 13 fascial slings in connection with the Schimpf
- 14 article, you are not, for example, referring to
- 15 Gore-Tex?
- 16 A. Right. One of the papers within Schimpf, of
- the ones that look at pubovaginal slings, that
- 18 used -- I think it was Gore-Tex. I have to look
- specifically. I don't remember off the top of my
- 20 head. But the other ones used either cadaveric or
- 21 autologous fascia.
- 22 Q. Do you believe that the risks of using a
- 23 suture that may erode present the same risks of a
- 24 mesh that may erode?

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

Page 54 Page 56 1 They both can be very significant, yes. 1 A. 2 Q. Do they present the same risks? 2 Q. You would agree with me that there is no 3 3 center, nor was there ever any healthcare center, I think they present similar risks. It 4 depends on where the sutures are placed. You can 4 that specialized in the removal of sutures in the 5 do an MMK or a Burch and you can put a stitch right 5 treatment of stress urinary incontinence, correct? 6 through the middle of the urethra and have a stone 6 MS. ROBINSON: Objection to the form. 7 form on it or right through the bladder. And we've 7 That has that written on their logo, you 8 removed cases of people who have had Burches or 8 know, on their advertisement, on their billboard? 9 MMKs and had erosion and had stones forming on 9 I've never seen that, no. 10 their suture. So yeah, sutures that are 10 Putting aside whether or not somebody has it 11 inappropriately placed can certainly do that. Mesh 11 on a billboard, you would agree with me that that's 12 that's inappropriately placed or a pubovaginal 12 never existed? 13 sling that's inappropriately placed can all have 13 I've never seen it. 14 significant effects. 14 Now, when a woman comes to you for treatment 15 You said that there are different risks with 15 of stress urinary incontinence, do you typically examine her? 16 mesh. What are they? 16 17 A. I don't know that they're different -- well, 17 A. Yes. 18 some of the things relate to how they're implanted. 18 Do you do urodynamics testing? Q. 19 19 You wouldn't expect someone who had an MMK versus A. 20 a patient who had an obturator sling to have FIE 20 Q. And if the patient, for example, wants to 21 pain because of, just inherent to how a needle has 21 bring in her spouse, is that okay to do? 22 passed. So they may have a different subset of 22 A. During what, during urodynamics testing? 23 side effects based on the approach or what's been 23 Q. During your pelvic exam, for example? 24 performed for them. Both can have voiding 24 Oftentimes they're in the room behind the Page 55 Page 57 1 symptoms. Both can have pain. Both can have 1 curtain, of course, but they can be present. 2 dyspareunia, but they may be for different reasons, 2 You don't have a problem with that? 3 or they may be for the same reason. You know, they 3 A. 4 may be -- like I said, they have atrophic 4 Q. And you believe it might provide some 5 vaginitis. They're postmenopausal. They're not 5 comfort to the woman that's being examined, right? 6 6 on any estrogen. Or they have other risk factors, MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. 7 7 you know, age, parity, smoking, things like That's up to her. I will ask each patient, 8 that. 8 after I do an examination of them or recommend a 9 9 Q. Are you aware of these mesh pain clinics procedure for them, I will ask them, "Do I need to 10 10 that have come about in the last few years? speak to your family?" And "Do you want me to 11 11 speak to your family?" And if they want me to, A mesh pain clinic? 12 For example, there's a clinic in North 12 then I will, and discuss what's going on. 13 Carolina that specializes in the removal of mesh 13 And the patient has a right to refuse 14 14 treatment at any time? now. 15 15 Are you familiar with that? A. Yes, they do. 16 16 What is informed consent? 17 Are you familiar with the work that's going 17 Informed consent is a process by which a on at UCLA? 18 18 physician will speak to a patient regarding a 19 19 Which is what? procedure or a test that they're going to have and 20 20 That there are clinics that specialize in discuss with them the risks, the benefits, things 21 the removal of mesh? 21 that may happen along the way, and have them sign a 22 22 A. generic form to document that that discussion was 23 23 Q. Are you aware of any of the work that's had. That's only one part of informed consent.

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

There's also things that are implied, that are

24

24

being done in Atlanta in that area?

Page 58 Page 60 1 assumed, and that are discussed about with patients 1 MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. 2 that are not written on a form consent. 2 For any patient who I'm treating for stress 3 3 If you had diagnosed a woman with SUI and incontinence, that they should come back on an 4 4 have decided that surgical intervention is annual basis, but in particular, people who've had 5 appropriate, what options do you give that woman? 5 surgery. If someone is treated with observation 6 I explain to them all of the options from 6 and they want to come back, that's fine. I give 7 nonsurgical treatments to surgical treatments. 7 them an appointment. But for any patient that has 8 8 any surgical procedure, I want to follow them And what surgical options do you give her? 9 9 That depends on what their situation is. If annually. 10 someone has sphincteric incontinence, they could be 10 Q. Do you have a standard informed consent form 11 11 offered injectable treatment. If they have that you ask patients to read? 12 hypermobility, they can be offered a sling via 12 I have a standard informed consent form. We 13 autologous, via -- we're talking pubovaginal. They 13 use the hospital informed consent form. But I read 14 can be offered a pubovaginal sling via autologous 14 to them an additional statement or statements that 15 or cadaveric fascia. They can be offered a 15 becomes a part of their medical record, about 16 suburethral sling via mesh, and then now, for the 16 slings, the position statement on slings, the 17 most part, I do them by the obturator approach. 17 complications, and the problems that can happen 18 Or they can be offered observation. 18 with them. And I do that for every procedure. 19 19 So you offer all those options to someone And how long have you been doing that? Q. 20 that's been treated or diagnosed with stress 20 A. I've been doing that since 2010. 21 urinary incontinence? 21 Q. So you'd agree with me that prior to 2010, 22 A. Yes. 22 you weren't reading that additional statement? 23 O. And you would agree with me that some of 23 No. I was telling them all these things, 24 those surgical options, like Burch and non-mesh 24 but, unfortunately, we're in a world now where you Page 59 Page 61 1 sling procedures, are perfectly appropriate, within 1 need to do more than that. 2 What -- as opposed to unfortunate, you 2 the standard of care? 3 They can be offered to the patient, sure. 3 accused me of only looking at the negative things 4 When you tell a woman that she can receive a 4 earlier. Isn't it more positive to look at it in a 5 5 mesh polypropylene sling, what are you telling her? way that you're giving better informed consent now 6 6 Explain to them the details of the based upon the circumstances? 7 7 MS. ROBINSON: Objection. procedure. Explain to them the risks of the 8 procedure, certainly, obvious things like bleeding, 8 No. I think I'm giving them fine informed 9 9 injury to other structures along the way, including consent. When I have a patient come in to me who's 10 10 the bladder. I discuss with them the risks of referred from -- just referred for a consideration 11 11 erosion and extrusion. I discuss with them the and says that "I need you to take out my mesh 12 risks of pain, and I discuss with them the 12 because it's been recalled," you know, there's a 13 importance of following up with me on an annual 13 problem with interpretation of the world. And I 14 14 basis because they may develop problems not only have patients that come in and say, "Well, I don't 15 want mesh because it's bad for you." 15 initially, but years down the road. 16 And how long have you been telling that to 16 We have a problem. So we need to document 17 17 your patients? better because patients will turn around -- and, 18 Since I started here. 18 you know, they may be contacted, patients are A. 19 Q. 2001? 19 cold-called now. My patients have been cold-called 20 Yes. 20 about a sling, and, you know, they want to know if A. 2.1 21 So it's your testimony that since 2004 you everything's okay. I certainly didn't call them, 22 22 have told your patients that were deciding whether nor would I call them, but someone called them. 23 23 or not to receive a mesh-based polypropylene sling So, you know, now, unfortunately, we're in a 24 those things you just described? society where I know this is a standard procedure

Page 62 Page 64 1 that's done wonderfully for my patients, but I need 1 unsatisfied. 2 to document everything that goes on because these 2 Q. Where's your proof? 3 3 are now big issues. I have a very good track record of -- I'm 4 4 Q. Do you -- in documenting, do you keep a list the only subspecialist who does female pelvic 5 of all your patients that you've seen and put mesh 5 medicine in the state of West Virginia. So if 6 6 in over the years? they're not going to come and follow with me, 7 A. Do I keep a separate list of them? I have 7 they're going to go to Cleveland or Pittsburgh or to another major center. So I'm the only 8 8 access to that, yes. I can look and see who's 9 followed up with me, our EMR list. 9 sub-boarded specialist in my area. I expect people 10 How many -- what percentage of your patients 10 to follow with me. I'm very direct about their 11 11 have failed to follow up with you? need to follow on an annual basis, and when they 12 I don't know. I haven't looked at that and 12 don't follow, then, you know, I can't be held --13 summed that out. 13 you know, I can't expect, you know, them to come 14 Well, so, why don't you look at page 4 of 14 when I tell them to and they don't. 15 15 Exhibit 3. Is that the Hendrix report? But it's very strong. I have people that --16 And I'm sorry, Doctor, let's make sure 16 from back 15 years ago that still follow up because 17 we're looking at the right thing. 17 they know it's important to do and they know when 18 18 The Hendrix report. they have problems to do. 19 19 Okay. Thank you. And I'm pleased to hear that you impress 20 So is it fair to say that your testimony is 20 that upon them. My questions, though, are more 21 that you have not kept an actual log of patient 21 basic. 22 satisfaction over the last 16 years since you've 22 As much as you might ask somebody to follow 23 been in West Virginia treating women for stress 23 up with you, a woman, if she is unsatisfied with 24 24 urinary incontinence? you, may choose not to, right? Page 63 Page 65 1 A. You asked a whole lot of things. First, it 1 A. They might, but they probably won't. 2 was a patient log. Now, you asked about a patient 2 They'll -- initially, they come to you, so it's 3 satisfaction log. I mean, those are two different 3 your opportunity, on the one shot that they're 4 things. 4 unsatisfied, to figure out why. So most people 5 5 Q. Well, answer the question that I just asked, will come back when they're unsatisfied. You'll 6 6 then. get another shot since you did their surgery. 7 7 A. I don't know. I don't know what you're So you disagree with literature suggesting 8 8 that 50 percent of women who are unsatisfied don't asking. 9 9 Q. Well, you say you don't keep a separate log follow up with the implanting physician? 10 10 relating to your patients, right? I think that's -- I know what paper you're 11 11 No. I didn't say that. I said I only keep referring to. But there's others that show that 12 a separate log of patients who've had implants. I 12 they do follow up with their implanting physician. 13 know all the patients that we see because our EMR 13 Q. And in your experience, just based upon your 14 can follow those patients. I can look at the 14 review of your EMR system, you think that there's 15 diagnosis code, I can look at a procedure code, and 15 excellent follow-up at your facility? 16 I can acquire information like that. But I have 16 I do think so, yes. 17 looked at our long-term results of patients -- I 17 But you can't give me a number or percentage 18 have not published it -- and slings that I have 18 today of the women that have failed to follow-up 19 done 15 years ago of the patients who are still in 19 with you, right? 20 our practice. I can tell how they're doing. 20 A. I can't. 21 21 But you can't sit here today and tell me So in other words, when you see on page 4 of 22 how many of those patients that you've put slings 22 the general TVT report that you've had excellent 2.3 in over the last 16 years are unsatisfied? 23 long-term patient satisfaction over 14 years, you 24 24 can't provide me with any statistical evidence of I would say that very few of them are

Page 66 Page 68 1 that, as you sit here today? 1 Within the last year. 2 No. 2 Q. And you would agree with me that the 3 3 instructions for use are a primary way in which a And you, because we're at your deposition, 4 and you have brought documents, you haven't 4 company can describe the procedure and give you the 5 5 provided anything to us today that would allow us contraindications and the warnings, right? 6 to make those calculations to verify the truth of 6 MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. 7 7 this statement that I just read from page 4, right? A. They can do that based upon information that 8 Right. The statement is a true statement. 8 they know, whether they think it's important to be 9 But to verify that statement, actually, would 9 included in there. 10 require a study. It would require an IRB for me to 10 Right. So if there are certain risks that 11 11 may come with the procedure, it should be in the look at my patients and to see who's satisfied and 12 not satisfied to report that to you. 12 instructions for use, right? 13 Well, you would agree with me, though, that 13 If it's unique to that procedure, then it 14 we can't let anyone just say it's so just because 14 should be included in it. 15 15 I'm a great physician and I say it's so, right? You would agree with me that the implant of 16 Otherwise, anybody could come in the room and say a TVT can cause retropubic bleeding? 16 17 whatever the heck they want, right? 17 The implant of a traditional TVT? 18 Yes. 18 Q. A. Right. 19 Yes, it could. 19 So do you agree with me you can't just come Α 20 in the room and say it's so just because I say it's 20 Q. And it could cause erosion? 21 so? 21 A. Yes, it could. MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. It can cause extrusion? 22 22 Q. 23 We have to assume that people are being 23 Α. Yes, it could. A. 24 truthful in what they say. 24 Q. Can cause fistula formation? Page 67 Page 69 1 1 A. Mm-hmm. But I have the right to cross-examine you Chronic inflammation? 2 and test the veracity of your statements, right? 2 O. 3 A. Sure. 3 I don't know about chronic inflammation. 4 Q. And if I can't do that -- or I'm sorry, I 4 Q. 5 5 can't do that with this statement except just take Because it would depend on the setting of 6 your word for it? б when it is. I mean, to have something that's 7 7 chronically inflamed would most likely be extruded, That's correct. 8 I have no independent data to look to or 8 so some of the things are going to go with other Q. 9 9 test? things that you've mentioned. 10 10 A. Well, my question is, though, an implant of That's correct. 11 Q. You said earlier you read the instructions 11 a TVT can cause chronic inflammation, right? 12 for use? 12 It would depend on the situation. It 13 A. 13 would depend on the clinical presentation of the Um-hmm. 14 Q. And do you read the instructions for use 14 patient and whether that mesh were removed and 15 every time you do a surgery? 15 whether it was resolved of their symptoms thereafter, and it would involve maybe looking at 16 16 A. Initially, sure. 17 When's the last time you put in a TVT? 17 the pathology of that as well. O. 18 Last week. 18 In other words, it can? 19 Did you read the instructions for use before 19 It may. It depends on the circumstance. 20 20 Not in all circumstances, but it may. you did it? 2.1 21 And the chronic pain can be associated with Not before that procedure. I've looked at A. O. 22 22 the implant of a TVT? 2.3 When's the last time you looked at the TVT 23 A. It can be associated with any pelvic floor

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

24

surgery.

24

instructions for use?

Page 70 Page 72 But I'm asking a question specific to the 1 MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. 1 2 TVT, so I'd like to limit our question and answer 2 Q. -- correct? 3 3 They -- the patient may think that. to that. So let me ask it again. A. 4 The implant of a TVT can be associated with 4 Would you agree that there are few studies, 5 5 if any, that track chronic long-term complications chronic pain, correct? 6 It can. 6 associated with the TVT? A. 7 7 No. There's good data looking at long-term Q. And you would agree with me that there's a erosions and long-term -- and most people with 8 8 difference between postoperative pain and chronic 9 9 erosions or extrusions are going to be the ones who pain? 10 A. Yes. 10 have pain. Very few people who have had a 11 carefully-implanted device will have long-term 11 Q. And you would agree with me that the use of 12 the word "transitory" has to do with what would 12 13 typically be associated with postoperative pain, 13 Name one article that tracks chronic 14 right? 14 long-term pain associated with the TVT. 15 15 A. Transitory would refer to postoperative The original work by Olmstead, in his 90 16 pain. 16 patients, he only had a single patient with issues. 17 Q. Transitory does not refer to chronic, 17 Look at the extrusion rates from the Cochrane 18 long-term pain? 18 review. And I'd have to look for specific names of A. 19 19 No. other sources. But there are a variety of others 20 Q. And what is postoperative pain? 20 that looked at erosions and extrusions and most of 21 A. It's pain that occurs postoperatively. 21 which would have pain. 22 And it usually disappears within a few days 22 Okay. But I didn't ask about erosions or 23 or sometimes a couple of weeks? 23 extrusions. I asked you to name one study that 24 MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. tracked chronic long-term pain associated with the 24 Page 71 Page 73 1 It may, it may not. 1 TVT. A. 2 O. Well, when it goes on for a period of 2 I can't name one. 3 sustained time, that interferes with the patient's 3 MS. ROBINSON: Just to be fair, you're 4 quality of life well after the surgery, that's 4 not asking him to look at his records, 5 chronic pain, right? 5 reports, or anything he has sitting in front 6 It could be, yes. 6 of him, right? 7 7 Well, do you have another definition for MR. WALLACE: He can look at anything 8 "chronic pain"? 8 he wants. 9 9 It could be, as you said, pain that affects MS. ROBINSON: Well, if he can look at 10 10 quality of life, pain that affects their activities anything he wants, you might want to take a 11 11 few seconds and look at what he's got. in some way. 12 And that can happen many years after the 12 MR. WALLACE: And just for the record, 13 implant of the medical device, correct? 13 we're letting Dr. Zaslau review his 14 Yes. But usually not. Usually, the pain is 14 materials in an attempt to answer the 15 something that starts postoperatively and 15 question as to whether or not there's one 16 16 study that tracks long-term chronic pain continues. 17 But it can be different from the pain that 17 with the TVT. 18 was postoperative, right? 18 THE WITNESS: I can't think of or see 19 It usually is persistent. 19 one that specifically refers to that for the 20 Well, let's talk about the case of the 20 long-term. 2.1 21 MS. ROBINSON: You also have your TVT. You're aware of women that have been 22 pain-free for years and then suddenly years later 22 studies in front of you as well. 2.3 they develop chronic pain that they associate with 23 THE WITNESS: Right. 24 the TVT implant --24 MS. ROBINSON: And you've spent less

| | Page 74 | | Page 76 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | than a minute here looking through your | 1 Q. | And she knows that that pain may, in fact, |
| 2 | report. | | ngs don't go as planned, could last the rest |
| 3 | MR. WALLACE: You get to ask him | | r life? |
| 4 | questions later. | 4 A. | It can be long-term. |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: In the Tomaselli paper, | | Can you yourself remove all of the mesh |
| 6 | just looking at the long-term pain | | a woman that has had a TVT implanted in her? |
| 7 | complications of which the risks appear to | 7 A. | I wouldn't want to remove all of it. |
| 8 | be very low, but can occur with minimally | 8 Q. | That's not what I'm asking. Can you? |
| 9 | invasive slings. | 9 A. | Depends when it was implanted. |
| 10 | Q. What's the citation of that, please? | 10 Q. | What if it's been implanted for more than |
| 11 | A. Tomaselli 2014. | 11 six m | onths? |
| 12 | Q. How far out is that study? | 12 A. | It's tougher. |
| 13 | A. That is October 2014. | 13 Q. | What do you mean by that? |
| 14 | Q. No. I mean, how long did they follow the | 14 A. | It will integrate within normal tissues. |
| 15 | patients for? | 15 Q. | Have you ever removed the entirety of a TVT |
| 16 | A. It's searched up to it's another | 16 from | a woman? |
| 17 | meta-analysis, but the review is up through June of | 17 A. | No. |
| 18 | 2014. Google databases up through June of 2014. | 18 Q. | And that would be a very morbid procedure, |
| 19 | Also, the Unger paper from April of 2015 looked at | 19 would | dn't it? |
| 20 | vaginal pain and groin pain and found a risk of | 20 A. | No. It would be a very unnecessary |
| 21 | 8 percent for vaginal pain and groin pain of | 21 proce | edure. |
| 22 | 3.4 percent. | 22 Q. | Let's not disputing "necessary" right |
| 23 | Q. How long did they follow those patients? | 23 now. | |
| 24 | A. These are patients from June of 2003 to | 24 Y | You don't think it would be morbid at all? |
| | Page 75 | | Daga 77 |
| | rage 75 | | Page 77 |
| 1 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the | 1 A. | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said |
| 1 2 | | 2 it wou | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. |
| | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the | 2 it wou | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said |
| 2 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. | 2 it wot3 Q. | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. |
| 2 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and | 2 it wot3 Q.4 it's ne | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe |
| 2 3 4 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and a half? | 2 it wor3 Q.4 it's ne5 entire | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe excessary as a physician, would removal of the |
| 2 3 4 5 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and a half? A. Yes. | 2 it wood 3 Q. 4 it's ne 5 entire 6 A. | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe excessary as a physician, would removal of the a TVT be a morbid procedure? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and a half? A. Yes. Q. What qualifies as a long-term study? | 2 it wood 3 Q. 4 it's ne 5 entire 6 A. 7 Q. 8 sling, | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe excessary as a physician, would removal of the TVT be a morbid procedure? It depends how it was placed. Could it be more morbid than an autologous would you call morbid? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and a half? A. Yes. Q. What qualifies as a long-term study? A. We like to see more than five years of data. | 2 it wood 3 Q. 4 it's ne 5 entire 6 A. 7 Q. 8 sling, | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe excessary as a physician, would removal of the TVT be a morbid procedure? It depends how it was placed. Could it be more morbid than an autologous |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and a half? A. Yes. Q. What qualifies as a long-term study? A. We like to see more than five years of data. Q. That doesn't qualify as a long-term study, | 2 it wot 3 Q. 4 it's ne 5 entire 6 A. 7 Q. 8 sling, 9 A. | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe excessary as a physician, would removal of the TVT be a morbid procedure? It depends how it was placed. Could it be more morbid than an autologous would you call morbid? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and a half? A. Yes. Q. What qualifies as a long-term study? A. We like to see more than five years of data. Q. That doesn't qualify as a long-term study, does it? | 2 it wot 3 Q. 4 it's ne 5 entire 6 A. 7 Q. 8 sling, 9 A. | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe excessary as a physician, would removal of the eTVT be a morbid procedure? It depends how it was placed. Could it be more morbid than an autologous would you call morbid? It could be as morbid as an autologous Autologous slings can be very difficult to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and a half? A. Yes. Q. What qualifies as a long-term study? A. We like to see more than five years of data. Q. That doesn't qualify as a long-term study, does it? A. No. Q. So in other words, that article, though you have said it follows some back pain and groin pain, | 2 it wot 3 Q. 4 it's ne 5 entire 6 A. 7 Q. 8 sling, 9 A. 10 sling. 11 remov | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe excessary as a physician, would removal of the eTVT be a morbid procedure? It depends how it was placed. Could it be more morbid than an autologous would you call morbid? It could be as morbid as an autologous Autologous slings can be very difficult to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and a half? A. Yes. Q. What qualifies as a long-term study? A. We like to see more than five years of data. Q. That doesn't qualify as a long-term study, does it? A. No. Q. So in other words, that article, though you have said it follows some back pain and groin pain, it doesn't qualify as a long-term study following | 2 it wood 3 Q. 4 it's ne 5 entire 6 A. 7 Q. 8 sling, 9 A. 10 sling. 11 remov 12 Q. 13 fascia | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe excessary as a physician, would removal of the earty. It depends how it was placed. Could it be more morbid than an autologous would you call morbid? It could be as morbid as an autologous. Autologous slings can be very difficult to eve. I'm talking about the removing the a from the abdomen. Do you follow me? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and a half? A. Yes. Q. What qualifies as a long-term study? A. We like to see more than five years of data. Q. That doesn't qualify as a long-term study, does it? A. No. Q. So in other words, that article, though you have said it follows some back pain and groin pain, it doesn't qualify as a long-term study following chronic pain associated with the TVT even under | 2 it word 3 Q. 4 it's ne 5 entire 6 A. 7 Q. 8 sling, 9 A. 10 sling. 11 remov 12 Q. 13 fascia 14 A. | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe excessary as a physician, would removal of the TVT be a morbid procedure? It depends how it was placed. Could it be more morbid than an autologous would you call morbid? It could be as morbid as an autologous Autologous slings can be very difficult to ve. I'm talking about the removing the a from the abdomen. Do you follow me? No. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and a half? A. Yes. Q. What qualifies as a long-term study? A. We like to see more than five years of data. Q. That doesn't qualify as a long-term study, does it? A. No. Q. So in other words, that article, though you have said it follows some back pain and groin pain, it doesn't qualify as a long-term study following chronic pain associated with the TVT even under your definition, right? | 2 it wood 3 Q. 4 it's ne 5 entire 6 A. 7 Q. 8 sling, 9 A. 10 sling. 11 remov 12 Q. 13 fascia 14 A. 15 Q. | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe excessary as a physician, would removal of the extry be a morbid procedure? It depends how it was placed. Could it be more morbid than an autologous would you call morbid? It could be as morbid as an autologous Autologous slings can be very difficult to exe. I'm talking about the removing the a from the abdomen. Do you follow me? No. Let me back up, because I took a step that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and a half? A. Yes. Q. What qualifies as a long-term study? A. We like to see more than five years of data. Q. That doesn't qualify as a long-term study, does it? A. No. Q. So in other words, that article, though you have said it follows some back pain and groin pain, it doesn't qualify as a long-term study following chronic pain associated with the TVT even under your definition, right? A. Um-hmm. | 2 it wood 3 Q. 4 it's ne 5 entire 6 A. 7 Q. 8 sling, 9 A. 10 sling. 11 remov 12 Q. 13 fascia 14 A. 15 Q. 16 perha | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe excessary as a physician, would removal of the TVT be a morbid procedure? It depends how it was placed. Could it be more morbid than an autologous would you call morbid? It could be as morbid as an autologous Autologous slings can be very difficult to we. I'm talking about the removing the a from the abdomen. Do you follow me? No. Let me back up, because I took a step that ps we can deal with. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and a half? A. Yes. Q. What qualifies as a long-term study? A. We like to see more than five years of data. Q. That doesn't qualify as a long-term study, does it? A. No. Q. So in other words, that article, though you have said it follows some back pain and groin pain, it doesn't qualify as a long-term study following chronic pain associated with the TVT even under your definition, right? A. Um-hmm. Q. When you say "um-hmm," you mean you agree | 2 it word 3 Q. 4 it's ne 5 entire 6 A. 7 Q. 8 sling, 9 A. 10 sling. 11 remov 12 Q. 13 fascia 14 A. 15 Q. 16 perha 17 M | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe beessary as a physician, would removal of the extry be a morbid procedure? It depends how it was placed. Could it be more morbid than an autologous would you call morbid? It could be as morbid as an autologous Autologous slings can be very difficult to be a from the abdomen. Do you follow me? No. Let me back up, because I took a step that ps we can deal with. My let's go back to my simple question. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and a half? A. Yes. Q. What qualifies as a long-term study? A. We like to see more than five years of data. Q. That doesn't qualify as a long-term study, does it? A. No. Q. So in other words, that article, though you have said it follows some back pain and groin pain, it doesn't qualify as a long-term study following chronic pain associated with the TVT even under your definition, right? A. Um-hmm. Q. When you say "um-hmm," you mean you agree with me? | 2 it wood 3 Q. 4 it's ne 5 entire 6 A. 7 Q. 8 sling, 9 A. 10 sling. 11 remov 12 Q. 13 fascia 14 A. 15 Q. 16 perha 17 N. 18 Even | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe excessary as a physician, would removal of the TVT be a morbid procedure? It depends how it was placed. Could it be more morbid than an autologous would you call morbid? It could be as morbid as an autologous Autologous slings can be very difficult to exc. I'm talking about the removing the a from the abdomen. Do you follow me? No. Let me back up, because I took a step that ps we can deal with. My let's go back to my simple question. though you've never removed the entirety of a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and a half? A. Yes. Q. What qualifies as a long-term study? A. We like to see more than five years of data. Q. That doesn't qualify as a long-term study, does it? A. No. Q. So in other words, that article, though you have said it follows some back pain and groin pain, it doesn't qualify as a long-term study following chronic pain associated with the TVT even under your definition, right? A. Um-hmm. Q. When you say "um-hmm," you mean you agree with me? A. Yes. | 2 it wood 3 Q. 4 it's ne 5 entire 6 A. 7 Q. 8 sling, 9 A. 10 sling. 11 remov 12 Q. 13 fascia 14 A. 15 Q. 16 perha 17 N 18 Even 19 TVT | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe excessary as a physician, would removal of the TVT be a morbid procedure? It depends how it was placed. Could it be more morbid than an autologous would you call morbid? It could be as morbid as an autologous Autologous slings can be very difficult to we. I'm talking about the removing the a from the abdomen. Do you follow me? No. Let me back up, because I took a step that ps we can deal with. My let's go back to my simple question. though you've never removed the entirety of a from a woman and disagree as to whether or not |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and a half? A. Yes. Q. What qualifies as a long-term study? A. We like to see more than five years of data. Q. That doesn't qualify as a long-term study, does it? A. No. Q. So in other words, that article, though you have said it follows some back pain and groin pain, it doesn't qualify as a long-term study following chronic pain associated with the TVT even under your definition, right? A. Um-hmm. Q. When you say "um-hmm," you mean you agree with me? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. When you counsel a patient that | 2 it word 3 Q. 4 it's ne 5 entire 6 A. 7 Q. 8 sling, 9 A. 10 sling. 11 remov 12 Q. 13 fascia 14 A. 15 Q. 16 perha 17 M 18 Even 19 TVT 20 that w | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe excessary as a physician, would removal of the eTVT be a morbid procedure? It depends how it was placed. Could it be more morbid than an autologous would you call morbid? It could be as morbid as an autologous Autologous slings can be very difficult to eve. I'm talking about the removing the a from the abdomen. Do you follow me? No. Let me back up, because I took a step that ps we can deal with. My let's go back to my simple question. though you've never removed the entirety of a from a woman and disagree as to whether or not would be necessary, would you agree with me |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and a half? A. Yes. Q. What qualifies as a long-term study? A. We like to see more than five years of data. Q. That doesn't qualify as a long-term study, does it? A. No. Q. So in other words, that article, though you have said it follows some back pain and groin pain, it doesn't qualify as a long-term study following chronic pain associated with the TVT even under your definition, right? A. Um-hmm. Q. When you say "um-hmm," you mean you agree with me? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. When you counsel a patient that is about to be implanted with a TVT, do you tell | 2 it word 3 Q. 4 it's ne 5 entire 6 A. 7 Q. 8 sling, 9 A. 10 sling. 11 remove 12 Q. 13 fascia 14 A. 15 Q. 16 perha 17 M 18 Even 19 TVT 20 that w 21 that it | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe excessary as a physician, would removal of the TVT be a morbid procedure? It depends how it was placed. Could it be more morbid than an autologous would you call morbid? It could be as morbid as an autologous Autologous slings can be very difficult to exc. I'm talking about the removing the a from the abdomen. Do you follow me? No. Let me back up, because I took a step that ps we can deal with. My let's go back to my simple question. though you've never removed the entirety of a from a woman and disagree as to whether or not would be necessary, would you agree with me it is a procedure that would require |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and a half? A. Yes. Q. What qualifies as a long-term study? A. We like to see more than five years of data. Q. That doesn't qualify as a long-term study, does it? A. No. Q. So in other words, that article, though you have said it follows some back pain and groin pain, it doesn't qualify as a long-term study following chronic pain associated with the TVT even under your definition, right? A. Um-hmm. Q. When you say "um-hmm," you mean you agree with me? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. When you counsel a patient that is about to be implanted with a TVT, do you tell her that she may have persistent pain with | 2 it word 3 Q. 4 it's ne 5 entire 6 A. 7 Q. 8 sling, 9 A. 10 sling. 11 remov 12 Q. 13 fascia 14 A. 15 Q. 16 perha 17 N 18 Even 19 TVT 20 that w 21 that it 22 signif | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe excessary as a physician, would removal of the TVT be a morbid procedure? It depends how it was placed. Could it be more morbid than an autologous would you call morbid? It could be as morbid as an autologous Autologous slings can be very difficult to we. I'm talking about the removing the a from the abdomen. Do you follow me? No. Let me back up, because I took a step that ps we can deal with. My let's go back to my simple question. though you've never removed the entirety of a from a woman and disagree as to whether or not would be necessary, would you agree with me is a procedure that would require ficant dissection? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | December of 2013, and the follow-up was the median time is about 18 months. Q. So the median follow-up is about a year and a half? A. Yes. Q. What qualifies as a long-term study? A. We like to see more than five years of data. Q. That doesn't qualify as a long-term study, does it? A. No. Q. So in other words, that article, though you have said it follows some back pain and groin pain, it doesn't qualify as a long-term study following chronic pain associated with the TVT even under your definition, right? A. Um-hmm. Q. When you say "um-hmm," you mean you agree with me? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. When you counsel a patient that is about to be implanted with a TVT, do you tell | 2 it word 3 Q. 4 it's ne 5 entire 6 A. 7 Q. 8 sling, 9 A. 10 sling. 11 remov 12 Q. 13 fascia 14 A. 15 Q. 16 perha 17 M 18 Even 19 TVT 20 that w 21 that it 22 signif 23 A. | I didn't say it wouldn't be morbid. I said aldn't be necessary. Putting aside whether or not you believe excessary as a physician, would removal of the TVT be a morbid procedure? It depends how it was placed. Could it be more morbid than an autologous would you call morbid? It could be as morbid as an autologous Autologous slings can be very difficult to exc. I'm talking about the removing the a from the abdomen. Do you follow me? No. Let me back up, because I took a step that ps we can deal with. My let's go back to my simple question. though you've never removed the entirety of a from a woman and disagree as to whether or not would be necessary, would you agree with me it is a procedure that would require |

Page 78 Page 80 Of the tissue where it was placed. 1 another area of extrusion later, for other reasons, 1 A. 2 Q. Using what tools? 2 such as being postmenopausal, being a smoker, 3 3 Standard surgical equipment. having atrophy. So they can have an erosion at A. Like Metzenbaum scissors, for example? 4 4 Q. another time, from another area that wasn't a 5 5 A. Right. problem before then, but then became a problem б 6 So, in other words, you literally have to years later. Q. 7 try to cut it out, but when you're cutting it out, 7 Q. Do you tell women that they may have to have 8 8 multiple -- or that they may have multiple erosions you're taking tissue with it? 9 Well, it's hard to dissect because it's 9 and multiple surgeries? 10 grown into the tissues. 10 I tell people they need to follow up So you're taking tissue with it when you're 11 11 annually so we can assess them and see what they 12 12 using these Metzenbaum scissors, right? have that's going on. 13 MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. Asked 13 But that doesn't answer my question. 14 and answered. 14 Do you tell women that they may have 15 15 You're taking tissue with it. multiple erosions and multiple surgeries? 16 It does answer your question, because you 16 Q. And that's undesirable? 17 It may or may not be desirable. It depends 17 have to see what they have that's going on to know 18 on what the patient's complaining of or what the 18 what to do for them. That's implied, is that they 19 19 had surgery, they could have problems that require problem is. 20 Well, you'll agree with me that cutting into 20 additional surgery or surgeries. 21 a woman like that is not something that you would 21 Again, I'm going to make my question even 22 22 more simple: Do you when you're consenting a 23 Cutting in to do what, to remove an implant? 23 woman that's about to be implanted with A. 24 polypropylene mesh tell her that she may have Q. Correct. 24 Page 79 Page 81 1 It's a very straightforward thing when it's 1 multiple erosions that require multiple surgeries, 2 done for the right reasons, to remove what mesh 2 yes or no? 3 needs to be removed. 3 Yes. I tell them that they will require --4 Q. Have you ever seen a woman erode in one area 4 that they can require multiple procedures down the 5 5 of the vaginal wall and you remove that mesh, and 6 then she has an erosion in another place later? б Q. Do you tell them that they may have multiple 7 7 Sure. You can have that, yes. erosions? So when you just gave your answer that you 8 I don't tell them that they'd have multiple 8 9 9 only remove what's necessary, how do you know that? erosions. I tell them that they can have erosion 10 You have to follow them and see. It depends, 10 or erosions. 11 again, on why you're removing the mesh. Why are we 11 Do you tell them that they may be on chronic 12 removing this person's mesh that you're talking 12 pain medication for the rest of their lives? 13 about? Is it eroded? Is it extruded? Is it pain? 13 No. I tell them that they may have chronic What are we removing it for? 14 14 pain, and that pain -- they may have pain, and that 15 What do you remove mesh for? 15 pain may be acute or it may persist and be chronic, 16 All the reasons that I just said to you. So 16 and they may require other therapies for that. 17 someone's mesh is extruded, the easiest thing to do 17 You agree that chronic pain is challenging 18 is to remove the extruded portion of it and follow 18 to treat? 19 them and see. 19 A. 20 Have you always -- let me -- I'm sorry. 20 And you would agree with me that improving 21 Are you done with your answer? 21 someone's baseline even 30 percent is sometimes a

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

That's the goal of giving people narcotics,

to improve them by 30 percent. That's the

22

23

24

good outcome?

2.2

23

24

No.

Go right ahead.

So that doesn't mean they can't have

A.

Q.

A.

Page 82 Page 84 definition of pain improvement. 1 is another medication that's used to treat chronic 1 2 And you would agree with me that they're 2 pain? 3 3 still missing 70 percent improvement even with A. Yes, it is. 4 narcotics? 4 In women that have mesh implants, right? Q. 5 5 It depends on the other situation. There's These are all things that have been used, 6 6 multiple reasons why a person can have pain. but most people that I come across don't have 7 I'm just trying to get you to agree with 7 that and are easily fixed of their chronic pain. 8 8 some simple math. I understand that you're a successful 9 I'm not understanding where you're getting 9 doctor, but you would agree with me that you've 10 the simple math from. 10 also seen women that are being treated with these 11 You're improving someone's -- your 11 drugs? 12 12 understanding of the reason why pain medications A. Initially, before they come to me, yes. But you're not saying that it's 13 are given is to improve someone's baseline of pain 13 14 30 percent, and if that happens, that is a good 14 inappropriate to try to use these things to manage 15 15 outcome, correct? chronic pain or anything? 16 MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. Yeah. I think you need to find out why they 16 17 Misstates his testimony. 17 have chronic pain. You need to figure out why --18 18 treating pain only gets rid of the pain. We need That is the goal. We'd like to improve them 19 19 more than 30 percent. to know what the source of the pain is. 20 So if they only improve 30 percent, they 20 Q. You yourself have used Elavil? 21 still have 70 percent to go? 21 A. I have. 22 It may not be possible to obtain that. 22 There are side effects associated with that? O. 23 And you have seen women that are being 23 A. I prescribe Elavil, yes, if that's what you 24 treated for chronic pain that is associated with 24 mean, yes. Page 83 Page 85 1 mesh that use tramadol? 1 Have you ever prescribed it to a woman with a mesh implant? 2 I've seen people use tramadol, yes. 2 And do you know that tramadol is used by NFL 3 3 I have not. 4 players to treat their pain after games? 4 And you've seen women go back to Neurontin, 5 5 I don't know what NFL players use to treat for example. That's a medication that has some 6 6 side effects, right? 7 7 While we're sitting here in Morgantown, do Sure. These all do. But I don't routinely 8 you know whether or not the Mountaineers use --8 give any of these medicines to these people with 9 9 players use tramadol after games? chronic mesh pain. 10 10 I don't know that. Α. Are you saying that a doctor that tries to 11 11 Would it surprise you to learn that that's a do that for a patient that is suffering pain, 12 commonly prescribed pain medication that's used for 12 chronic pain, is not following the standard of 13 those players that undergo those collisions? 13 care? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. 14 14 A. That's not a standard-of-care issue. It's a 15 It wouldn't surprise me, and it wouldn't 15 judgment call. Their judgment is they want to 16 concern me. It doesn't relate to me. 16 treat pain with pain medicines. Another way of 17 17 But you would believe that there are big treating their pain would be to go to what the side effects associated with chronic pain 18 18 source might be, to a good physical exam, 19 medication? 19 urodynamics, if necessary, and see if that pain is 20 A. There are. 20 reproducible, and then there are certainly surgical 21 And that's something you want to avoid, if 21

> It can go either way. You can have pain 22 (Pages 82 to 85)

You believe that depression can come about

options that can be performed.

as a result of chronic pain, right?

22

23

24

Q.

O.

A.

Q.

at all possible, right?

You'd like to, yeah.

So for example, you're aware that Neurontin

22

23

24

Page 86 Page 88 1 that causes depression and depression that causes 1 explained. 2 2 And we want to avoid synthetic things like 3 3 Q. And you've described that as a vicious medicine when we can? cycle? 4 4 Synthetic things? 5 Well, medicine -- the medicine that we've 5 A. Yes. 6 Do you tell your clients that they may 6 been talking about is manmade. 7 have -- that may happen to them as a result of a 7 Right. A. 8 8 TVT implant? Q. And we want to avoid foreign bodies when we 9 A. No. Because they shouldn't have chronic 9 can? 10 pain from this. I tell them that they certainly 10 A. I don't know about that. 11 11 may have pain, but again, you're following them O. Why not? 12 regularly, so you'll know if something has changed 12 What do you mean by "foreign bodies"? A. 13 in them in their postoperative visits. 13 Well, you know that the body itself, when 14 Would you agree with me that once somebody 14 it's implanted with anything or we take a drug, 15 starts taking medicine like that, we've described, 15 there's a foreign body response? 16 that there are some things that happen to them 16 The same thing happens when you implant a 17 medically that you just cannot explain? 17 piece of your own body into it. 18 A. I don't know what you mean by that. 18 So you think that synthetic is preferable to 19 Well, that's your testimony before. So 19 a nonsynthetic? 20 that's what you've said before. 20 In what context? You talked to me before 21 Well, I don't know the context that it was 21 about medicines, like Elavil and tramadol, and now 22 said in. What was the question? What was the 22 you're talking about something synthetic. So I 23 situation? 23 don't know what you mean by synthetic as a medicine, as opposed to not taking a medicine, or 24 Let me -- I can go there, if we need to, but 24 Page 87 Page 89 1 let me try to short-circuit it, given our limited 1 synthetic in another context? I don't know what 2 time frame. 2 you're asking me. 3 You've said before once people -- I'll take 3 Would you agree with me that it's more 4 whether or not you've said it before out of it. 4 desirable to try to avoid taking medicines like 5 5 I'll just ask you more directly: Once people start those we've just described, for example, Neurontin, 6 taking chronic -- I'm sorry, pain medication for б Elavil, perhaps even oxycodone, right? 7 7 long-term chronic pain, there are sometimes things A. Yes. 8 that happen to them medically that are not readily 8 Q. Those are all manmade products? 9 9 explainable? A. Um-hmm. 10 10 MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. You said earlier that you removed -- if I O. 11 Yeah. Like I said, I don't know the context 11 understood you correctly, approximately 200 slings 12 of where that's coming from. 12 since you've been counting? 13 Q. Do you recall saying in the Edwards 13 A. Yes. 14 deposition that with medication there can be 14 I thought you said in Edwards -- and maybe idiosyncratic effects that happen that cannot be the numbers have changed since then -- that it was 15 15 16 explained? 16 about 75? 17 17 We're close to that. My gynecology A. 18 Do you know why you said that? 18 colleague has -- we've done some together, so I've Q. 19 People can have -- idiosyncratic in medicine 19 looked at the patients that he's treated since, you 20 implies that if something has happened to them that 20 know, we worked together on some cases. I'm 2.1 21 involved in his, and he's involved in mine. So is unexplainable, maybe they have a change in their

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

within the bulk of us, we're probably close to 200.

How many of those are slings compared

22

23

24

to prolapses.

vision, maybe they have a new onset of muscle pain

in muscles that they have never had pain in, so

they can have responses or reactions that are not

22

2.3

24

Case 2:12-md-02327 Document 2026-3 Filed 04/21/16 Page 25 of 65 PageID #: 34234 Page 90 Page 92 1 Most of them are slings. Very few of them 1 Well, this is an expected complication of 2 2 pelvic floor surgery. It's something that should 3 3 Q. Why is that? have been discussed with them by any person who 4 Slings more commonly performed in terms of a 4 does pelvic floor surgery. 5 procedure than a Prolift -- a prolapse case. 5 So if the rates of complications -- you 6 How many of those 200 were your implants? 6 realize -- let me back up for a second. 7 Two of them were, maybe three or so, but 7 You realize that there are many physicians, 8 8 really, less than a handful. in fact, lots of physicians besides you that 9 How do you know that? 9 disagree with your definition of an "adverse event" 10 Because they were the only cases I did. 10 and report removals? MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. 11 They had surgery somewhere else. 11 12 As you sit here -- and I'm not going to ask 12 Q. Right? 13 you the names -- but as you sit here, do you know 13 People have different practice ways. 14 the names of the individuals that had to have their 14 Well, you'll agree with me that there are 15 sling removed --15 many physicians because --16 No. 16 I don't know who reports what and how -- and A. 17 Q. -- that were your patients? 17 what the individual practices are, of who reports 18 18 things. A. 19 19 Q. So why do you come up with the two or So the only reporting that should be done 20 three? 20 should be in connection with the study is your 21 Because it's a very, very small number of 21 opinion? 22 people over the years that I had to remove their 22 I think it should be done in connection 23 slings. 23 with a study, yes. Unless there's an egregious 24 issue that had gone on with a procedure that was In other words, so approximately 197 or 198 24 Page 91 Page 93 1 of the 200 are not implants of yours? 1 untoward and unexpected in any imagination of how 2 2 That's right. it should be performed. 3 When you remove those slings, do you report 3 You used the word "untoward" in prior 4 them as adverse events? 4 testimony as well. 5 5 A. No. What do you mean by that? 6 6 Q. Why not? A. If you put a TVT trocar in and you 7 7 perforate someone's stomach, you should be nowhere Because very few of them were extrusions, so 8 8 near someone to be able to do that, where you there's no reason to report that. 9 9 The few that were extrusions, did you report perforate an organ that's nowhere in your surgical 10 10 those as adverse events? field. 11 Wouldn't it be important for the public 11 No. Because those are expected 12 complications of the procedure. The other ones 12 and/or physicians and ultimately patients to know 13 were removed either because patients wanted them 13 that the rates of complications are high? 14 removed, or they had obstructive voiding symptoms, 14 A. Who said they were high? 15 15 or wanted some relief of whatever's going on with Q. Or low? 16 16 Well, we know that they're low. We've seen them, be it dyspareunia or such. 17 Well, when someone has dyspareunia and has 17 that through the literature. 18 to have a mesh removed, that's an adverse outcome 18 So the sole basis, besides what's in your

19 of a procedure, correct?

20 A. No.

2.1 You don't think so? Q.

22 A. No. It is an expected --

2.3 Q. Have you asked any of those women whether

24 they think that's a good thing or a bad thing?

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

hands, meaning your own experience, that the

the question a better way.

complications are low -- actually, I'm going to ask

You rely on the literature and your own

experience to conclude that the complications

associated with the TVT are low, correct?

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- 1 A. I also rely on presentations of the AUA,
- 2 Webinars from other societies, position statements
- 3 by societies.
- 4 Q. So if physicians believe that you should be
- 5 reporting removals for dyspareunia as an adverse
- 6 event, you would disagree with them?
- 7 A. I didn't say I would disagree with them. If
- 8 there's a national standard that has been accepted
- 9 and put in place by a specialty board, then we all
- 10 should follow the same standards.
- 11 Q. Do you?
- 12 A. I do not report them.
- 13 Q. Do you follow the standards?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 O. You're certain?
- 16 A. I follow the standards.
- 17 Q. Has it been your practice in all 200 or so
- 18 of those surgeries to send what you've removed to
- 19 pathology?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And if I understand what you've said before
- about that, you see localized chronic inflammation
- when you get it back?
- 24 A. Yeah. Well, the vast majority have

Page 95

- 1 localized chronic inflammation.
- 2 Q. And you see some fibrotic bridging?
- 3 A. We see some fibrosis.
- 4 Q. What's the difference?
- 5 A. Fibrosis is a scar formation as a result of
- 6 fibroblast infiltration of tissue. Breaching may
- 7 be such that the pore size of the mesh will shrink
- 8 in a way to create more of a bridge of scar.
- 9 Q. And you've seen that in some of these
- 10 pathology samples that -- where you've removed the
- 11 mesh, right?
- 12 A. I haven't seen that, no.
- 13 Q. You've seen fibrosis, though?
- 14 A. I've seen fibrosis.
- 15 Q. And the scarring, you believe, that's
- 16 undesirable?
- 17 A. No. Scarring is a part of healing.
- 18 Fibrosis is a part of healing.
- 19 Q. Well, you have said before, though, that
- 20 with respect to tensioning, for example, that
- 21 getting scarring as a result of too much tension is
- 22 undesirable and can cause pain, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. So you agree with the statement I just made?

Page 96

- 1 A. That undue tensioning can be associated with
- 2 pain, yes.
 - 3 Q. And that's because of scarring?
- 4 A. It may. It may also be other causes as
- 5 well.
- 6 Q. When you look at these pathological
- 7 examples, do you see evidence of foreign bodies?
- 8 A. Some -- what do you mean by "foreign body"?
- 9 I mean, mesh is a foreign body.
- 10 Q. What else do you see?
- 11 A. It depends what the specimen is looked for.
- 12 Oftentimes I'll see evidence of chronic inflamma-
- 13 tion, multinucleated giant cells, areas of fibro-
- 14 blast infiltration. Very few, if any, had any acute
- inflammatory process, neutrophils and such. And
- some, depending upon the pathologist, may show the
- presence of polarizing tissue or polarizing fibers.
- 18 Q. And you've seen tissue that is wound up in
- 19 the mesh?
- 20 A. I've seen tissue that's incorporated in the
- 21 mesh.

24

- Q. You don't test for degradation?
- 23 A. Degradation, why?
 - Q. No. I just asked, do you test for

Page 97

- 1 degradation?
- 2 A. We don't specifically test for it.
- 3 Q. Have you ever had to tug on the mesh to get
- 4 it out when you're doing removal?
- 5 A. I'm trying to dissect it to get down to
- 6 where you think it is. Oftentimes there's a lot
- 7 of scar depending upon where it was placed and who
- 8 placed it. And we try not to tug on it. We try to
- 9 dissect it as free and as easily as we can.
- 10 Q. Would you be surprised to know that even
- some urologists that are even more experienced than
- 12 you have had to literally wrap their hands around
- the a piece of mesh and tug on it to get the rest
- 14 of it out?
- MS. ROBINSON: Object to form.
- 16 A. I would hope they wouldn't do that. There's
- no reason to do that. I wouldn't be surprised that
- they would do that, but it's not necessary.
- 19 Q. Some have done that, right?
- 20 A. I haven't heard of anyone who has, but since
- 21 you say, I'm sure somebody has, and there's no
- 22 reason for them to do it.
- 23 Q. Can you guarantee a woman that you can get
- all of her mesh out?

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

6

Page 98

- 1 A. No. And there's no reason to.
- 2 Q. Is it foreseeable that someone with a TVT
- 3 mesh in them may need to have other surgeries in
- 4 that area?
- 5 A. Sure.
- 6 Q. And, in fact, a woman may present to you as
- 7 healthy and a perfect candidate for the TVT on
- 8 April 1st of 2016, but by December 31st of 2016,
- 9 she may be in poor health and may need surgery in
- 10 the area in which that TVT was implanted?
- 11 A. I don't understand what you're referring to.
- 12 They shouldn't need surgery there. We assume
- 13 they've had a good exam, they have no other
- 14 prolapse and no other findings, that they shouldn't
- 15 need any other surgery.
- 16 Q. But it's perfectly possible that they might?
- 17 A. I'd have to know the situation. I don't
- 18 know what we would possibly be going in there for.
- 19 Q. Do you use the TVT mechanical-cut or the
- 20 TVT laser-cut mesh?
- 21 A. I use them both.
- 22 Q. Do you make a specific request for one over
- 23 the other?
- 24 A. No.

Page 99

- 1 Q. And how do you know whether or not you're
- 2 using a mechanical-cut versus a laser-cut mesh?
- 3 A. Well, I actually didn't know the difference
- 4 until these litigation cases have brought this into
- 5 the world. I've had no issues with -- in knowing
- 6 the difference in either of them, nor do I think it
- 7 has any clinical relevance.
- 8 Q. Well, do you disagree with Ethicon?
- 9 A. I don't see any difference in either of the
- 10 two.
- 11 Q. Well, I'm asking you: Do you disagree with
- 12 Ethicon?
- MS. ROBINSON: Object to form.
- 14 A. With regard to what?
- 15 Q. Well, Ethicon felt that there was a clinical
- need for a laser-cut mesh, didn't it?
- MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. If you
- 18 know the answer to it.
- 19 A. I don't know that they -- I know that they
- 20 have -- that information had been given to them,
- 21 that, you know, maybe this should be considered,
- and obviously they did. But it wouldn't have
- 23 mattered to me. I've not seen a difference or a
- 24 need for it.

Page 100

- 1 Q. Okay. So you personally have not seen a
- 2 different need for it, but you would agree with me
- 3 that Ethicon received information, and as a result
- 4 of receiving that information, it concluded that
- 5 there was a clinical need for laser-cut mesh?
 - MS. ROBINSON: Object to form.
- 7 A. I don't know that there's a true clinical
- 8 need. It doesn't make sense to me that --
- 9 Q. So in other words, there's no need for
- 10 laser-cut mesh?
- 11 A. I don't think there's a need for it.
- 12 Q. So Ethicon is just making this up?
- 13 A. I didn't say they're making it up. I just
- said that I don't think there's a need for it. I
- haven't seen a difference in my patients that I can
- say, "Wow, I'm so happy that I used laser-cut mesh
- and not mechanically-cut mesh."
- 18 Q. Well, you would expect Ethicon to make its
- 19 decisions based upon good medicine and science,
- 20 right?
- 21 A. I would expect them to have good reason for
- the things that they do.
- 23 Q. So if they concluded that there was a
- 24 clinical reason to come up with a TVT laser cut,

Page 101

- 1 you'd have to trust their judgment?
- 2 A. I would hope they would make good decisions.
- 3 Q. And do you know how many TVT mechanical-cut
- 4 meshes you've implanted in your career?
- 5 A. Well, the changeover is about 2007 or 2008,
- 6 so I don't know, 200 or so.
- 7 Q. Do you know how many TVT laser-cut you've
- 8 implanted in your career?
- 9 A. In 2007-2008 to the present, probably 150 or
- 10 so.
- 11 Q. As you sit here today, do you believe that
- laser-cut is the only type of TVT mesh that is
- 13 offered, or is mechanical-cut still available?
- 14 A. No. I think that mechanical is still
- 15 available.
- 16 Q. Have you ever been told by Ethicon that
- there are different risk profiles for the TVT
- 18 mechanically-cut versus the TVT laser-cut?
- 19 A. What do you mean by "risk profile"?
- 20 Q. That the TVT mechanical-cut comes with
- 21 different risks than the TVT laser-cut?
- 22 A. Risks of what?
- 23 Q. Risks to the patient.
- 24 A. No.

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

Case 2:12-md-02327 Document 2026-3 Filed 04/21/16 Page 28 of 65 PageID #: 34237 Zaslau, M.D. Page 102 Page 104 1 Has anyone ever told you that the TVT 1 Q. By whom? 2 mechanical-cut mesh can rope? 2 By review of the literature. 3 3 Yes, I've heard that. A. And have you ever been told that the TVT 4 From who? 4 mechanical-cut mesh can release -- that there can Q. 5 5 From review of the literature. be particle loss associated with the mechanical-cut б Have you ever observed that? 6 mesh? Q. 7 A. Yes. 7 A. I've read that. 8 When? 8 And my understanding is you don't believe Q. Q. 9 When we do different experiments with the 9 that has clinical significance? 10 mesh ex vivo. So when we pull on the mesh by 10 I don't think it does. 11 11 itself, be it mechanically-cut or laser-cut, it'll Q. You would agree with me, though, that it's 12 rip and tear, and it won't regain its normal form. 12 well-established in the scientific and medical 13 And the pore size will certainly be distorted. 13 literature that particles that are of a foreign 14 But, interestingly, when we do the same thing with 14 body cause a foreign body response, correct? 15 15 the plastic sheath over it, we can't move the mesh When you say "foreign body," you're 16 despite how hard we pull. We've actually even 16 referring to mesh as a foreign body, right? 17 looked with an operative telescope at patients 17 Correct. 18 that we finished doing the TVT on to see if there 18 So you're not referring to autologous 19 19 is any evidence of fiber loss or any change in slings. That's a foreign body, too. It has no 20 what I think the mesh should look like, and I've 20 blood supply, so they can cause a foreign body 21 never seen any. 21 reaction, too. 22 Have you published the results of that 22 You're not answering my question. I'm not Q. 23 testing? 23 talking about autologous slings right now. I'm talking about particle loss associated with the 24 We did not. 24 Α. Page 103 Page 105 1 1 mechanical-cut mesh. Let's stick to that for a Q. Where's the data to back up that claim? 2 It's personal experience and just bedside 2 moment, just to finish this line of questioning. A. 3 teaching. 3 You would agree with me that you've seen 4 Q. So I just have to take your word for it? 4 reports of that in the literature? 5 5 That's correct. Yes. A. A. 6 There's no data that I can look at or cross 6 And you would agree with me, given your 7 7 hefty reliance list, that you've also seen some examine to test the veracity of that statement? 8 To test the veracity of what I just said 8 Ethicon documents on that? 9 9 about pulling them and rip -- and pulling them A. Yes. 10 10 apart? Well, certainly, you can look at what your Q. And you still conclude, even after reviewing 11 11 own experts and the pictures that they have those documents and that literature, that there is 12 provided about stretching mesh ex vivo and you'd 12 no clinical relevance to that issue? 13 see particle movement and flaking of that, 13 A. That's correct. 14 certainly. 14 And you would agree with me that the 15 I'm talking about what you did, though. 15 particle loss that Ethicon describes, as well as Q. 16

I don't know that anyone else has done that. A.

17

18 Q. So it's you and your partners looking

19 right after a surgery before there's been mesh

20 ingrowth?

2.1 Right. A.

22 Q. Have you ever been told that TVT mesh can

2.3 curl?

24 A. I've been told that, yes.

16 the literature, is from the TVT mesh itself --

17 MS. ROBINSON: Object to the form.

18 Q. -- which is a foreign body?

19 That the particle loss is from the TVT?

20 Correct. It's pretty well-accepted, right? Q.

2.1 A. Yeah.

22 Q. I'm not trying to start a disagreement

23 between us. I'm just trying to get through this.

24 And you would agree with me that your

Page 106 Page 108 1 opinion differs from other physicians about the 1 right? 2 clinical relevance of particle loss? 2 A. Yes. 3 It differs. 3 A. Q. And as I understand it, given your In what way? 4 4 Q. academic setting or at least your setup now at 5 5 Well, experts believe that this can be a the university, sales representatives don't have б б unfettered access, do they? Right? source of pain for patients, and other associated 7 symptoms that are supposedly debilitating and 7 They do have significant access to us, yes. A. 8 lifelong and very problematic. 8 Q. They have access to you? 9 And you would agree with me that Ethicon 9 Yes. Α. 10 itself concluded, at least in part, that the 10 Q. Have you ever testified differently? 11 clinical basis for coming up with the laser-cut 11 They have access to us, but in different 12 12 settings. In other words, industry or mesh was the particle loss associated with the 13 mechanical-cut mesh? 13 product-based reps can come to the operating room. 14 MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. 14 They always have been able to, and they still are 15 That may have been a consideration for them, 15 16 16 but --So you see Ethicon representatives actually 17 Q. In fact, you've seen documents that suggest 17 in the operating room at the university when a that? 18 TVT's being implanted? 18 19 A. Yeah. 19 We don't see them now. We don't see -- we 20 Q. And your reliance list. Even you've seen 20 just don't see reps from them. I haven't seen an 21 Ethicon documents that suggest that's a clinical 21 Ethicon rep in years. 22 basis for changing the laser-cut mesh, right? 22 Why not? O. 23 It very well could be. 23 I don't know. But they are allowed to. We A. 24 I mean, you're not going to disagree with a have other device reps that are in the OR all the 24 Page 107 Page 109 1 document that you've seen that says that, right? 1 time. MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. Asked 2 2 I guess what I'm asking you is, what 3 and answered 100 times. 3 information did you have from Ethicon up until the 4 Q. Correct? 4 time you were hired as an expert? 5 I have no other comments. 5 A. The IFU. 6 MR. WALLACE: Let's take a five-minute б O. What else? 7 7 Certainly, the -- our classic core break. 8 8 textbooks, articles, meetings that we've attended. (Brief break at 1:41 p.m.) 9 9 (Back on at 1:44 p.m.) Q. Did you have textbooks from Ethicon? BY MR. WALLACE: 10 10 No. The core textbooks of urology. A. 11 These Ethicon documents that we've been 11 Okay. I'm specifically asking you about 12 discussing for the last several minutes about 12 Ethicon documents. There are things that are 13 particle loss and the clinical implications of 13 called slick sheets, are you familiar with those? 14 that, did Ethicon share those with you prior to 14 A. What sheets? your being hired as an expert? Slick sheets. 15 15 Q. 16 16 What's that? A. No. A. 17 Were any internal Ethicon documents ever 17 Okay. The fact that you're asking about it 18 shared with you prior to you being hired as an 18 must mean that you don't have it. Those are 19 expert? 19 laminated instructions. 20 A. No. 20 A. No. 2.1 21 So the Ethicon documents that you had access So Ethicon didn't give you those? Q. 22 to would include anything that was provided to you 22 A. 2.3 in the instructions for use and anything that might 23 You basically had the instructions for use, O. 24 have been given to you by a sales representative, 24 and that was the only document, per se, that was

Page 110 Page 112 1 given to you by Ethicon in connection with the TVT 1 warnings. 2 device, right? 2 A. Um-hmm. 3 3 A. Yes. Q. Do you see that? 4 Yes. 4 Q. In other words, you were never given the A. seven-year Prolene dog study, right? 5 5 Q. It says that the Prolene polypropylene mesh 6 6 will not stretch significantly. Do you see that? No. A. 7 Q. You were never given PowerPoints, for 7 Um-hmm. A. 8 8 example, that might have been put together on the Q. In fact, you would agree with me that mesh 9 concept of degradation of polypropylene? 9 shrinks? 10 10 A. I don't know that it shrinks. A. No. None of that information was shared with Well, you've said that hernia mesh shrinks, 11 O. 11 O. 12 you, right? 12 right? 13 That's correct. 13 Yes. A. 14 MS. ROBINSON: And just so the record's 14 What's the difference between the mesh 15 that's used in Ethicon's hernia meshes and the TVT? 15 16 Q. Let me finish, and then you can make it. 16 Well, the data you're referring to is hernia 17 And just to be clear, that information that 17 meshes that were removed, so they determined that 18 it contracted. But TVT mesh or vaginal mesh might is included on your reliance list was only provided 18 19 19 to you after you agreed to testify on Ethicon's behave differently. 20 behalf? 20 Do you believe that the properties are 21 A. Yes. 21 different? MS. ROBINSON: That was my question. 22 22 Yes. A. 23 You keep saying "never" and so forth. 23 How? O. MR. WALLACE: I was going there. 24 Well, hernia mesh is often thicker, 24 Α. Page 111 Page 113 1 1 other materials are used for it. It provides a Can you mark this as an exhibit? 2 (Deposition Exhibit No. 5 was marked for 2 different kind of support. 3 identification.) 3 Well, let's talk about Ethicon hernia mesh. 4 You've been handed Exhibit 5. Do you 4 Ethicon hernia mesh, isn't that the same thing as a 5 5 recognize that as a set of instructions for use for TVT in terms of the material? 6 the TVT? б If it's polypropylene macropore and 7 7 monofilament, then it should be the same. Α. Yes. 8 And it's in various languages. Do you see 8 Okay. But you -- so in other words, you Q. 9 9 that? have no scientific basis to disagree with me that 10 10 A. Yes. the TVT mesh contracts in the same way that the 11 Is this what would come in the package? 11 hernia mesh does? Q. 12 Yes. An instruction sheet comes in every 12 There's other data that you can extrapolate, A. 13 package. 13 physical exam data, Q-tip testing, and how that 14 And the instructions provide 14 doesn't result in a worsening of a patient's 15 contraindications and warnings, correct? 15 hypermobility after a TVT is placed looking out at 16 16 a year, there's ultrasound data showing increase A. It's supposed to. 17 Well, with respect to the TVT, it came with 17 in visualization of the mesh because of hyperechoic O. 18 that, correct? 18 particles of it that increase over time, suggesting 19 19 I'm trying to find the English one. But that it doesn't contract, it probably remains the 20 20 same, and it doesn't move, either. it's supposed to. 21 21 So you don't believe that a TVT mesh, once If you look at the Bates number ending in 22 383, and, actually, the page before it, 382 --22 incorporated into -- as it incorporates into the 2.3 A. Yes. 23 tissues contracts at all? 24 -- there's a list of contraindications and 24 As it incorporates in tissue, when placed Q.

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

- 1 normally, when placed according to the IFU and also
- 2 placed according to what a reasonable surgeon
- 3 should know how to do in terms of dissection
- 4 and being away from the urethra and following a
- 5 normal tissue plane and placing it tension-free,
- 6 then yes, it shouldn't change.
- 7 Q. In other words, if it's put in properly, you
- 8 do not believe that the TVT contracts?
- 9 A. I don't think so.
- 10 Q. Even when it's undergoing mesh incorporation
- with the tissues in the body?
- 12 A. It shouldn't contract.
- 13 Q. And yet, you still believe that hernia mesh
- 14 contracts?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And you don't believe that the TVT Prolene
- mesh is the same kind of mesh that exists in the
- 18 old hernia meshes at Ethicon?
- 19 A. I'm not sure of the specifics of whether
- it's the same or not. I don't do hernia surgery.
- 21 Q. What if they are?
- 22 A. Then they are.
- Q. Does it change your opinion?
- 24 A. No.

Page 115

- 1 Q. Do you believe that tensioning affects
- 2 shrinkage?
- 3 A. Placing something on tension that shouldn't
- 4 be tensioned can have significant changes to
- 5 outcome.
- 6 Q. Do you believe Ethicon is responsible to
- 7 tell physicians how to properly tension the device?
- 8 A. They have.
- 9 Q. So you believe that they properly instruct
- 10 physicians on how to do that?
- 11 A. I think their initial description of that
- 12 was adequate, and I think the modifications that we
- 13 have made from the original videos from 1998 and
- 14 the original teachings of it have made it --
- 15 created other opportunities for us to tension --
- 16 Q. Who's "we"?
- 17 A. "We" as in urologists who are -- and
- 18 urogynecologists and the gynecologists from the
- 19 publications, presentations.
- Q. What happens if the tension is not right?
- 21 A. What does that mean by "not right"?
- 22 Q. Too tight?
- 23 A. Too tight, then you can have a whole host of
- 24 symptoms in patients.

- Page 116
- 1 Q. What does "minimal tension" mean?
- 2 A. Minimal tension means that the graft is
- 3 placed without any other tissue or structure
- 4 impeding it, so it's not creating pressure on
- 5 another structure, such as the urethra or the
- 6 vaginal wall.
- 7 Q. That's a subjective measurement.
- 8 A. It's subjective, yes.
- 9 Q. And using the word "loosely" is also a
- 10 subjective description, correct?
- 11 A. That's right.
- 12 Q. And if I understand your prior testimony
- correctly, you don't place the TVT laser-cut mesh
- any differently than you do the mechanical-cut
- 15 mesh?
- 16 A. That's right.
- 17 Q. And you would tell me that it's also your
- 18 testimony that whether or not it's laser-cut or
- mechanical-cut does not affect the tension?
- 20 A. It does not.
- 21 Q. So you disagree with Ethicon's own medical
- 22 director on that?
- A. I don't think it has any difference in my
- 24 practice.

Page 117

- 1 Q. If the device is not tensioned correctly, do
- 2 you believe that's the fault of the physician?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And you know that tension can cause
- 5 scarring, and you want to avoid that because that
- 6 can cause pain?
- 7 A. Yes, it can.
- 8 Q. Would you agree with me that overtightening
- 9 is easy to achieve?
- 10 A. Oh, yes.
- 11 Q. Where is chronic pain listed in Exhibit 5?
- 12 A. I don't see that it is.
- 13 O. It's not listed in the instructions for
- use that existed before 2015, right?
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 Q. And as an expert that's been hired by
- Ethicon to opine on the safety and efficacy of
- 18 the TVT device, you would agree with me that the
- 19 2015 version of the IFU was much more complete
- when it comes to listing the complications and
- 21 warnings that may be relevant to the TVT device,
- 22 right?
- MS. ROBINSON: Object to form.
- A. I'd say it's a more lengthy list of

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

| Se 2 | 12-md-02327 Document 2026-3 Fil Stanley Za | squad slau | 721716 Page 32 01 65 PageID #. 342 |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| | Page 118 | | Page 120 |
| 1 | complications. I'm not saying that it should or | 1 | A. Because these the warnings that are |
| 2 | should not have been in the original version. | 2 | placed on us are warnings that are appropriate to |
| 3 | Q. Does the original version list dyspareunia | 3 | this particular device, that are germane to this |
| 4 | as a risk? | 4 | device. But there are other warnings that are not |
| 5 | A. No. | 5 | listed that could occur with this surgery or any |
| 6 | Q. Does it even use the word "dyspareunia"? | 6 | other ones that are performed. |
| 7 | A. No. But that's understood. It's a pelvic | 7 | Q. And you realize that your testimony differs |
| 8 | surgery, and any pelvic surgery can have those | 8 | from the standards that apply to warnings, right? |
| 9 | risks. | 9 | Because as a warnings expert that's been hired by |
| 10 | Q. So you don't believe that a medical device | 10 | Ethicon, you've done your due diligence, naturally, |
| 11 | company is obligated to put in the risks that are | 11 | and have reviewed those standards, right? |
| 12 | associated with this device? | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | A. The risks associated with that specific | 13 | Q. And what are the standards? |
| 14 | device that are different from other devices and/or | 14 | A. There's a standard form when an IFU is put |
| 15 | other surgeries and ways that we do things. | 15 | together. There's material on sections that need |
| 16 | Q. And you would agree with me that your | 16 | to be followed. But the material that's placed in |
| 17 | opinion is different from any other physician's on | 17 | there is under the discretion of the company itself |
| 18 | that issue, correct? | 18 | with further conjunction. |
| 19 | A. I don't know that. | 19 | Q. That's not true, is it? |
| 20 | Q. You would agree with me that your opinion on | 20 | A. What's not true? |
| 21 | that issue is different than the standards that | 21 | Q. You are supposed to list all known risks. |
| 22 | apply to warnings, right? | 22 | That's what the standards require, right? |
| 23 | A. No. | 23 | A. All known risks related to that product. |
| 24 | Q. You're not a warnings expert? | 24 | Q. So your definition that it has to be only |
| | Page 119 | | Page 121 |
| 1 | A. I am familiar with warnings, yes. | 1 | related to that product is inaccurate, correct? It |
| 2 | Q. But you're not a warnings expert? | 2 | does not match the standards? |
| 3 | A. Is it on my CV that I am? No. | 3 | A. No. |
| 4 | Q. I don't see it. I'm asking you, you're not | 4 | Q. You just said, Doctor, that all known risks |
| 5 | a warnings expert, are you? | 5 | related to the product have to be listed. |
| 6 | A. I'm knowledgeable about warnings. | 6 | A. That are specific to that product and not |
| 7 | Q. But are you a warnings expert, yes or no? | 7 | other things that could be known by surgeons to do |
| 8 | A. I can't answer that question. | 8 | other procedures. |
| 9 | Q. Why not? | 9 | Q. And what's the name of the standard that |
| 10 | A. I'm knowledgeable about warnings as they | 10 | you're citing to? |
| 11 | pertain to me. | 11 | A. Citing to what? |
| 12 | Q. Why can't you answer that question? | 12 | Q. That requires that only risks relating to |
| 13 | A. I can't answer that question. | 13 | that product? |
| 14 | Q. Have you been hired to opine on the warnings | 14 | A. That's the Blue Book for looking at the FDA |
| 15 | in this case as a warnings expert or not? | 15 | requirements. |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | Q. Death is listed with anesthesia, and that's |
| 17 | Q. You have? | 17 | not unique to anesthesia. |
| 18 | A. Yes. | 18 | A. Maybe. |
| 19 | Q. You believe you have? | 19 | Q. So do you want to change your testimony? |
| 20 | A. Um-hmm. | 20 | A. No. |
| 1 | | | |

testimony to reflect that of the standard?

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

Q. So if the standard that you believe exists

that in -- and controls this issue is different

than your testimony, would you amend your

21

22

23

24

And you believe that you're an expert with

respect to the Ethicon warnings?

2122

23

24

A.

Q.

Yes.

Why?

Page 122 Page 124 If I'm told of other information, I 1 And the adverse reaction that says "one or 1 2 certainly would be happy to review it. 2 more revision surgeries may be necessary to treat 3 3 these adverse reactions" is listed for the first Fair enough, Doctor. But what I'm getting 4 at is, if your testimony directly conflicts with 4 time in the 2015 IFU, correct? 5 5 this standard, would you agree that the standard That's correct. 6 controls? б None of what I just read was in any prior Q. 7 MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. He's 7 version of the instructions for use, correct? 8 8 asked and answered that question six times. A. That's right. 9 He has not answered that question. And I'm 9 You are aware, in connection with your 10 going to ask the court reporter to read it back 10 reliance list with documents, internal Ethicon 11 documents that state that fraying is a defect, 11 now, and ask you to restrict your comments. 12 Please read the question back. 12 correct? 13 (Record read.) 13 No. A. 14 Q. Can you answer that question, yes or no? 14 You haven't seen that? 15 I can't answer it yes or no. I need to 15 A. No 16 review what the standard is or what you're 16 Have you seen any documents concluding that Q. 17 referring to. 17 mesh degrades? 18 18 No. Well, you cited the Blue Book. Are you A. 19 familiar with the Blue Book? 19 So you haven't seen any internal Ethicon Q. 20 I've looked at it. 20 documents indicating that mesh degrades? 21 Q. And all I'm asking is if your testimony 21 A. No. 22 conflicted with the Blue Book, would you or the 22 Q. Did you ask for any? 23 Blue Book control? 23 A. No. 24 Why not? The Blue Book controls. 24 Q. Page 123 Page 125 1 MR. WALLACE: Can you mark this, 1 A. Because it doesn't degrade. So you disagree with Ethicon? 2 please? 2 O. 3 (Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for 3 A. 4 identification.) 4 Q. And you would agree with me that there are 5 5 O. You've been handed what's been marked as some very smart people at Ethicon? 6 Exhibit 6; is that right? 6 There are. 7 7 And you recognize that as a 2015 And you consider them your partners in 8 instructions for use, correct? 8 healthcare? 9 A. Yes. 9 A. My partners in healthcare --10 And if you look to page 5 -- actually, pages 10 That's what you said earlier. Q. O. 11 11 4 and 5, you'll see that under "adverse reactions," Sure. They're my partners in healthcare. A. 12 "Acute and/or chronic pain" is now listed, right, 12 Q. And you expect them to give you accurate 13 on page 5? 13 information? 14 A. Yes. 14 A. Right. When it's pertinent. 15 And that was not in the prior instructions 15 And you would agree with me that O. 16 for use, right? 16 polypropylene mesh can chemically degrade? 17 That's correct. 17 No. I think it's conflicting. I certainly 18 And the chronic pain is different from 18 know that your experts have data that states that 19 transitory pain, right? 19 it may, and I can show you data that states that it 20 20 Yes. doesn't. 2.1 21 And "Pain with intercourse," which in some You don't recall saying that it's possible 22 patients may not resolve, is listed in the 2015 22 that polypropylene mesh can degrade? 2.3 IFU, but not in the prior IFUs, correct? 23 I don't believe that it can have any 24 That's correct. 24 significant degradation. Certainly, mesh can Α.

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

1 change.

- 2 Q. Let's change the questioning so I don't have
- 3 to ask you 20 questions, and we're not arguing
- 4 about it.
- 5 I'm going to ask you a more basic question.
- 6 Putting aside whether you believe it has clinical
- 7 relevance, do you believe that mesh can chemically
- 8 degrade?
- 9 A. I think mesh can degrade. I don't know that
- 10 it can degrade chemically.
- 11 Q. In any event, you believe that mesh can
- degrade, but it is also your opinion that that has
- 13 no clinical relevance?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. So you disagree with any Ethicon documents
- or any literature anywhere that suggests that
- degradation can cause pain in a person with mesh?
- 18 MS. ROBINSON: Object to form.
- 19 A. I'd have to see those documents in specific
- of what you're referring to.
- Q. Well, why haven't you looked at them?
- 22 They've been provided to you by Ethicon.
- 23 A. I've looked at material that I think is
- 24 pertinent for each case.

Page 128

Page 129

- 1 Q. Would it surprise you to know that that was
- 2 investigated by Ethicon?
- 3 A. I'm sure that they investigated a lot of
- 4 things.
- 5 Q. Do you believe that those specific effects
- 6 of polypropylene degradation on erosion rates is
- 7 known?
- 8 A. I don't think it's known completely, no.
- 9 Q. Do you agree with Ethicon if it said
- 10 degradation is a process which initiates after a
- 11 few days postimplant?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Have you seen any documents that conclude
- that, any animal studies, for example?
- 15 A. That degradation occurs postimplant? There
- 16 have been studies of dogs, there's some studies --
- there's animal studies that people have looked at.
- 18 Q. Anything else?
- 19 A. There have been some mesh removal studies,
- 20 but I don't think that there are -- even the ones
- 21 that your own experts cite are not conclusive in
- 22 saying that there truly is degradation.
- Q. You don't know what additives are in the
- 24 mesh, right?

Page 127

- 1 Q. Well, you're giving a general opinion about
- 2 the TVT, right?
- 3 A. I'm giving a general opinion about the TVT,
- 4 yes.
- 5 Q. And you agree with me that there are people
- 6 that have opined for Plaintiffs that mesh degrades
- 7 and has clinical relevance?
- 8 A. They believe that it does, yes.
- 9 Q. And you're aware of case reports, for
- 10 example, that demonstrate that the TVT is often
- 11 taken out in pieces?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Yet is it still your opinion, knowing all
- 14 of that, that you didn't think it was relevant to
- 15 review degradation documents?
- 16 A. I didn't say I didn't review degradation
- documents. What I'm telling you is that I don't
- believe that the information that your experts
- 19 suggest is clinically relevant, and I have the
- documentation that believes otherwise.
- Q. Did you see any documents where Ethicon
- 22 believed that degradation might affect erosion
- 23 rates?
- 24 A. No. I don't know of that.

1 A. No.

- 2 Q. And you haven't undertaken an investigation
- 3 to understand the process of degradation, right?
- 4 A. I've taken an effort to understand the
- 5 process, yes.
- 6 Q. What is it?
- 7 A. What is what process?
- 8 Q. Well, you've said you've undertaken an
- 9 effort to understand the process, so why don't you
- 10 tell me what the process is.
- 11 A. Degradation would imply that there's
- 12 breakdown of mesh fibers, okay, and that could be
- visualized on a specimen. So, certainly, you'd have
- to remove mesh to look at the fibers and see if
- that were the case. The problems with that is that
- studies that are often quoted were removed to
- patients who have had pain or problems or
- infection, so we don't know what happens in mesh
- that's not removed in normal people. So that's
- 20 certainly one of the problems.

The other problem is that even within those studies, the type of reactions that occur are not

- well understood or supported. Further, the tissue
- that you're removing, you're not just removing

Case 2:12-md-02327 Document 2026-3 Filed 04/21/16 Page 35 of 65 PageID #: 34244 Page 130 Page 132 1 mesh; you're removing tissue with the mesh, so 1 You've been handed a document that's been 2 pulling it, stretching it, changing its 2 marked as Exhibit 7. Do you recognize it? 3 3 configuration is going to change what that mesh A. Yes. 4 looks like under the microscope. 4 If you look at the bottom, you'll see the 5 Further, looking at things like formalin and 5 statement that "'the safety and effectiveness of 6 how that has been used, there have been studies 6 multi-incision slings is well-established in 7 that show that formalin may cause degradation, but 7 clinical trials that followed patients for up to there are others that specimens were preserved with 8 8 one year." 9 formalin that showed no change in it, so. . . 9 Do you see that? The very bottom of the 10 What are the studies that show formalin 10 first page? 11 11 causes degradation? A. Yes. 12 Let me take a minute. I don't remember 12 O. Is this what you give your patients? 13 the specific study that showed that. I do 13 I don't give them that, no. 14 remember reading that. But in some of the ones I 14 You referenced earlier, though, a statement? 15 15 looked at for degradation, there was no formalin A. 16 effect. I think it's controversial. In a study by 16 Q. About the position statement that you 17 Woodruff, which looked at these meshes and also 17 provide to your patients. 18 looked at biological grafts, these implants were 18 What do you mean by that? 19 19 actually placed in formalin. With information from the position 20 Q. Okay. But I've asked you to explain the 20 statement, which is included further here, which 21 process as you understand it. Can you tell me 21 show the justifications. I provide them with the 22 anything chemically about the process of 22 justifications. 23 degradation? 23 Okay. So in other words, you took the 24 Well, it may relate to oxidizers. It may 24 justifications on page 2, which provide the reasons Page 131 Page 133 1 relate to bacteria that theoretically could do 1 why polypropylene mesh should be used and give it 2 that, although that's not clearly proven. Peroxide 2 to your patients? 3 may have an effect on that as well, but that's not 3 I discuss each of these with them, yes. 4 entirely clear. And if that is -- and if it does 4 Q. When you say "each of these," there are four 5 5 occur, it doesn't have any clinical significance. reasons? 6 But you agree with me that there are 6 Right. 7 documents and literature that show degradation in a 7 Do you believe you're an advocate for the use of mesh? 8 mesh, a transvaginal mesh that has been removed for 8 9 9 pain? A. I am. 10 A. There are documents that show that. O. And so in providing this to your patients 11 11 who may be considering this procedure, you're being Q. There are -- there's literature that shows 12 that, too? 12 an advocate for mesh? 13 A. There are documents that show that. 13 A. Yes.

- 10

- 14 And just so we're on the same page,
- 15 documents also means literature in your answer,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. They're papers. They're papers.
- 18 Peer-reviewed literature? Q.
- 19 A.
- 20 Thank you. You've seen the AUGS and SUFU
- 21 statement, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 (Deposition Exhibit No. 7 was marked
- 24 for identification)

- 14 And would you agree with me that showing the
- 15 safety and effectiveness of a product up to one
- 16 year is not a long-term safety study?
- 17 That's correct.
- And would you agree with me that every one 18
- 19 of the authors that are listed on Exhibit 7 have
- 20 been paid by industry?
- 21 In what sense have been paid by industry?
- 2.2 Q. Well, you know who Dennis Miller is, right?
- 23 Sure. A.
- 24 O. Who is he?

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

Page 134 Page 136 1 He's on Saturday Night Live. 1 A. 2 Q. Who else is he? 2 Q. You recognize those as people who are 3 3 Actually, I don't know Dennis Miller. pretty high up at Ethicon? You don't? Do you know if he has anything 4 4 No. I don't know any of those names. 5 to do with mesh? 5 Q. You don't? 6 A. I don't know, no. 6 A. No. 7 Q. So wouldn't you want to know if those people 7 Q. Do you know anyone within Ethicon? 8 -- I mean, we talked about bias earlier? 8 A. No. 9 Right. 9 Have you as a result of being hired by 10 Do you think that if somebody was given 10 Ethicon taken it upon yourself to talk to any O. 11 millions of dollars as a result of mesh, do you 11 employees of Ethicon? 12 think that that might affect their opinion? 12 A. No. 13 A. It might. 13 You've had the opportunity to, didn't you? Q. 14 Wouldn't you want to know, at least, 14 I'm sure I could have. 15 15 wouldn't you want it to be disclosed? Wouldn't you, if you came across -- we 16 Well, at the time that these positions talked earlier about the fact that you've seen 16 statements have come about, this is 2014, so we've 17 17 documents that say particle loss is one of the 18 all had disclosures of each of us through the AUA 18 reasons for a laser-cut mesh. 19 and through AUGS for members. You know, we have 19 Wouldn't you want to know more about that 20 disclosures that we have to put on our Web site, so 20 and what Ethicon concluded internally about that 21 I would expect that these people, if they are 21 issue? 22 getting funds, that they are disclosed in their 22 If Ethicon had issues that were clinically 23 industry relations. 23 relevant, they would be brought to all of us as 24 And it wouldn't surprise you to learn that 24 clinicians, since we're users of the product. The Page 135 Page 137 1 every single one of them are connected to the mesh 1 field team would come out to us -- the people would 2 industry in some way, right? 2 come to us, if they were clinically relevant. The 3 A. It wouldn't surprise me, no. 3 reason they haven't is because it's not clinically 4 MR. WALLACE: Please mark this one. 4 5 5 (Deposition Exhibit No. 8 was marked for Well, look at the middle of the page. It Q. 6 identification.) б says, "Particle loss is the reason why TVT wants to 7 7 Q. Can you look at Exhibit 8, please. Do you use laser-cut mesh to eliminate particle loss 8 recognize it? 8 (which is critical to quality)." 9 9 A. I do not, no. Do you see that? 10 A. I do. 10 Have you seen it before? Q. 11 I have not. 11 Do you agree with that statement? Α. 12 Do you know whether or not it's included in 12 I've not seen that as an issue, okay? I've 13 your reliance list? 13 also looked at mesh as it comes out of the package 14 I don't believe that it is. I don't recall 14 from the very beginning. I've not seen any -- even seeing this document in this form in this e-mail. 15 15 any threads that are lost off of any mesh over the 16 Have you looked at every single document on 16 years as we take it out and check its expiration 17 your reliance list? 17 date and other opinion of it. So to me, I don't 18 A. I've looked at the vast majority of them, 18 see any difference between laser-cut and 19 yes, but not each and every one of them. They're 19 mechanical-cut mesh. 20 20 Have you seen any studies that conclude there for me so I can look at them as I need to. 2.1 21 You would agree with me, if you'll look at otherwise? No. 22 the middle of the way down the page, you'll 22 A. 2.3 recognize some of the names. Dan Lamont, Gene 23 You haven't? O. 24 Kammerer. Do you see those names? 24 No. A.

Page 138 Page 140 1 Have you seen any documents where the -- one 1 you the be-all-end-all on that, wouldn't you agree? 2 of the coinventors of the TVT product believes 2 That's right. 3 3 that they won't use laser-cut mesh? So -- okay. So fair enough. You just don't 4 No. 4 consider Ethicon documents in that regard one way 5 You haven't seen that? 5 Q. or another to support your opinion? 6 6 A. No. These have no effect on my opinion 7 Q. Wouldn't that affect your opinion? 7 whatsoever. 8 8 A. No. And you're just -- in other words, you just, 9 Q. Why not? 9 because of your own experience, you're just not 10 Because I have 15 years of opinion, and as I 10 going to consider them? told you, I didn't know until 2007 that there was a 11 11 I don't have -- no. MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. 12 change, that there was any difference between these 12 13 two, because it has no clinical effect in our 13 I don't need to consider them. 14 practice. It did not change any of the problems, 14 Even if they disagree with your viewpoint? 15 MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. Asked 15 16 16 Q. And that's solely based upon your own and answered. 17 observations, right? 17 A. The way this is written and the way this is 18 18 Well, it's also been based upon theorized can apply just as easily to 19 19 presentations at meetings. I have not heard any mechanically-cut mesh or the laser-cut mesh. The 20 discussion about this difference until this has 20 issue with retention can be exactly the same. 21 been brought up most recently through these 21 If it's going to cause retention, okay? And the 22 processes. 22 issue with roping or curling would be on the ends 23 Q. Have you seen any documents that conclude 23 of it, if it weren't placed in a tension-free that the TVT could curl and rope, thereby reducing 24 fashion and it weren't evaluated carefully upon 24 Page 139 Page 141 1 the surface area of the mesh under the urethra and 1 completion of the procedure to know that it was --2 2 increasing the pressure in that area? whether it was placed properly. 3 A. No. 3 And it also helps you -- it helps you, too, 4 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 was marked for 4 by looking where the pressure localizes --5 5 identification.) MS. ROBINSON: Not a question. 6 6 Q. Again, wouldn't you want to know that MR. WALLACE: Can you mark that? 7 7 information if Ethicon had it? (Deposition Exhibit No. 10 was marked for 8 Unless it's clinically relevant, I don't 8 identification.) 9 9 need to know this. Can you please look at Exhibit 10 and tell 10 Well, isn't it clinically relevant if the 10 me if you have seen it before? 11 11 mesh can curl and rope and increase pressure under I have not, no. 12 the urethra and cause pain in that area? 12 Q. You've never seen the PA Consulting Group -13 I haven't opined to you that this is the 13 A. No. -- PowerPoint? 14 case in all patients. 14 O. I said the issue is whether it can, right? 15 15 A. Nope. 16 Can mesh curl and rope? I've not seen that 16 Do you realize that this PowerPoint 17 that's -- that that occurs clinically. 17 concludes that mesh can degrade, and it talks about erosion in connection with degradation? 18 Wouldn't you want to know if you're talking 18 19 about the safety and efficacy of a mesh device, a 19 MS. ROBINSON: He said he hasn't seen 20 TVT, what Ethicon's own opinions are about that 20 it. 21 21 issue? I haven't seen it, so if you want to talk --A. 22 Only if they're clinically relevant. I have 22 Q. Well, you may have been told about it? No. I've never been told about this 2.3 my own -- after 15 years, I have my own opinions. 23 24 But your opinions doesn't necessarily make 2.4 document.

Page 142 Page 144 1 Well, don't you want to know if information 1 rates is not known"? 2 that Ethicon has about degradation and its effect

- 3 on erosion, wouldn't you want to consider it, or
- 4 are you just going to sit here and say that your
- 5 15 years of experience is what matters?
- б A. I'm going to say that in my 15 years of
- 7 experience and in materials that I have reviewed
- 8 and seen, that I don't think there's any clinical
- 9 relevance to degradation and its relationship to
- 10 erosion.
- 11 Q. So in other words, if that document or other
- 12 documents like it conclude otherwise, you're just
- 13 not going to consider them?
- 14 A. I have to read this. I have not read this.
- 15 If you want to point me to a specific portion of
- 16 it --
- 17 Q. Okay. I'm going to let you read it, and
- 18 please do, but just, my question is more basic than
- 19 that.

1

- 20 If -- assuming this document is contrary to
- 21 your opinion, you're just not going to consider it
- 22 because you're going to rely more on your 15 years
- 23 of experience, right?
- 24 I'm going to read it. I'll read anything

- 2 A. I think the degradation of polypropylene is
- 3 actually known. It's been studied. It doesn't
- 4 degrade. It's not been uniformly shown that it
- 5 degrades in all studies.
- 6 Would you agree with the slide underneath it
- 7 that says "Mesh erosion is lower in polypropylene
- 8 meshes used in transabdominal surgery than in
- 9 transvaginal surgery"?
- 10 That's actually -- well, when you refer to
- 11 transabdominal surgery, what do you mean by that?
- 12 I'm just asking you to look at the slide and
- 13 see whether or not you agree or disagree with the
- 14 statement.
- 15 Well, transabdominal surgery is very big.
- 16 Are we talking about, say, sacrospinous ligament
- 17 fixation? Are we talking about
- 18 abdominal sacrocolpopexy? What are we talking
- 19 about?

24

- 20 Q. So you just don't know?
- 21 Well, it doesn't say. It's a very vague
- 22 statement. And, actually, it is lower with
- 23 polypropylene meshes used in vaginal surgery than
 - transabdominal surgery.

Page 143

- that's provided to me, but that doesn't mean my 1
- 2 opinion will change.
- 3 O. Go ahead.
- 4 Well, this is going to take me an hour to
- 5 read all these slides, so I would suggest in the
- 6 essence of time that you point to me what you want
- 7 me to look at specifically.
- 8 Why don't you look at the second page, and
- 9 you'll see in the middle of the page it says "Mesh
- 10 erosion is complex, and the clinical studies do not
- 11 give a clear picture due to the diversity of
- 12 variables."
- 13 Do you agree with that statement?
- 14 A. That's true.
- Do you agree with the statement underneath 15
- 16 that, that says that "the causes of mesh erosion
- 17 are not well understood"?
- 18 They're not well understood, yes.
- 19 Do you agree with the last bullet point in
- 20 that same slide in the middle of the page that says
- 2.1 "The situation is further complicated by known
- 22 factors, such as the propensity of polypropylene
- 2.3 (PP) to suffer degradation, and the specific
- 24 effects of polypropylene degradation on erosion

Q. Can you look at page 6, please, the bottom

- 2 slide on the left? Do you agree or disagree with
- 3 this statement that "polypropylene can suffer from
- 4 degradation following implant"?
- 5 A. I disagree.
- 6 Look at the rest of the slide. Can you read
- 7 that? Look at the first bullet point.
- 8 I asked you earlier about animal studies in
- 9 connection with polypropylene.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 And you'll see that the statement says that
- 12 there are animal studies that show that
- 13 degradation occurs a few days after post-implant,
- 14 or at least starts to occur.
- 15 Do you agree with that statement?
- 16 In that study, I mean, but that's an animal
- 17 study. It's not a human study.
- 18 And what's the science behind your basis to
- 19 conclude that there's a difference?
- 20 Well, I can show you data. I can show you
- 21 the Woodruff study, which actually looked at
- 22 polypropylene mesh and shows that it didn't
- 23 degrade in meshes that were removed compared to
- 24 autologous slings that actually did show the

Page 146 Page 148 1 degradation. 1 Hendrix matter. And I'm specifically referring 2 Woodruff was an animal study? 2 to the general part of your report. 3 3 A. No, Woodruff was a human study. What do you mean when you use the word 4 And you're also aware of other studies that 4 "dense fibrosis" on page 8? 5 5 Dense means thick. Fibrosis means scarring. say that based upon explants that mesh degrades? 6 As I said, it's not clear. I've mentioned 6 So thick scar. 7 to you and cited a very important study that shows 7 Q. I'm trying to use a lot of "it's important 8 8 to note" type of paragraphs, so I'm trying to that it doesn't degrade. 9 And you conversely also understand that 9 figure out what you're really saying in this 10 there are studies that show that it does? 10 paragraph. Can you try to explain that to us? 11 11 Hence the reason why there's controversy. Yeah. And, actually, this is an 12 12 interpretation via the "Discussion" section of the And wouldn't you want to know what Ethicon 13 has to say about that issue? 13 Wang paper, okay? And this -- Wang, et al. These 14 If it were important, they would have told 14 are the authors stating that the inadequate 15 15 me a long time ago. vaginal tissue coverage during the operation, mesh 16 But you didn't see this document before 16 rigidity, propensity for an injury at a nearby 17 today, did you? 17 site, or localized inflammation is somewhat 18 No. And it doesn't change my opinions now 18 plausible. So, in other words, it's localized 19 19 seeing the document. inflammation is what you're referring to now. Why? 20 Q. 20 In patients who have complete epithelia-21 A. Why what? 21 lization of the mesh -- in other words, the vaginal 22 22 Why doesn't it change your opinions? wall is well healed underneath -- and then their O. 23 Because if there were a significant issue 23 mesh was removed for some other reason, like they 24 with this product that all physicians needed to 24 wanted it removed or had some other procedure, Page 147 Page 149 1 know about that was different from what they 1 that even in these patients who don't have issues, 2 learned from their skills and training and 2 they have -- some of them have had a foreign body 3 education that was unique to this product and 3 reaction, fibrosis, and perivascular mononuclear 4 not any other one, they certainly would have told 4 cell infiltrate, which means they had chronic 5 5 us information in a timely fashion. inflammatory evidence. 6 6 And even though you agree with the part of So the point is, the inflammation that is 7 7 the PowerPoint that I showed you that the concept seen as a histologic reaction can be present in 8 of mesh erosion, for example, and the studies 8 slings that have extruded, which they talked about 9 9 associated with it are complex and difficult to earlier. Epithelialized, in other words, maybe 10 10 understand? they had an erosion, it was cut, and then the 11 Um-hmm. They're certainly complex, yes. 11 vaginal tissue healed, or it looked completely A. 12 Well, isn't that the most common 12 normal but they removed it for some other reason. 13 complication associated with mesh? 13 That's what that paper means. 14 A. Erosion? 14 Look at page 9. And just for clarification, Um-hmm. your report says three. You thought that maybe two 15 Q. 15 16 Actually, no, not that I see. 16 patients that had mesh extrusion. A. 17 What are the most common complications? 17 You used the word "three" on page 9. Do you Q. 18 Most common complication that I see is 18 see that? 19 voiding dysfunction, urgency and frequency, 19 A. Yeah. 20 urge incontinence, pelvic pain. I actually see 20 Is it three or two? Q. 2.1 21 It might be three. Again, this is -- you very few mesh extrusions, and that's well 22 documented. The extrusion rate for vaginal mesh is 22 know, off the top of my head. 2.3 less than 1 percent on average. 23 Q. Well, you want to be careful, right? 24 Please turn to page 8 of your report in the 24 Right. A.

Page 150 Page 152 1 It was just off the top of your head; is 1 contaminated field? 2 2 that what you said? Contaminated in terms of what? Contaminated 3 3 in terms of infection or we also talked about A. Yes. When you say "off the top of your head," 4 peroxides and other things? 4 Q. 5 meaning, you believe, off the top of your head 5 Sure. Let's just stay with peroxide. 6 there's been only three patients that you're aware 6 A. Yes. 7 of? 7 Q. And you would agree with me that where it 8 That I'm aware of that had TVT mesh erosion, 8 sits, it's exposed to fibroblasts and neutrophils A. 9 9 at points in time? yes. 10 Q. And why did you look at the mesh under a 10 Let's go back to peroxidase, okay? Not all 11 11 magnifying glass? bacteria secretes peroxidase. Not all 12 Where are we seeing that? 12 A. lactobacillus secretes peroxidase. And the 13 In that same paragraph. 13 peroxidase levels -- peroxide levels change in Q. 14 Well, the thing when you have a lot of mesh 14 menopausal status with women. 15 15 So all women are different? is -- and certainly, there's a lot of different 16 theories about what happens to mesh, looked at 16 So all women are different. A. 17 pathologically, and, you know, I thought it would 17 So one woman might have more reactive 18 be interesting to just look and see. Actually, 18 oxidative species than another? Or she might have 19 19 we're looking even with optical loupes to look cells, for example, that secrete more peroxidase? 20 and see what happens. 20 A. They might. But --21 Usually, we're doing it when we're putting 21 Q. You would agree with me? 22 them in. So in other words, after we're finished, 22 A. Yeah. But that's probably not clinically 23 I put on my loupes and just look and see how the 23 relevant. 24 mesh lays. And I've not seen any differences or 24 Probably not? Q. Page 151 Page 153 1 any problems associated with it. 1 A. Probably not. 2 2 You'd agree with me that there are peroxides In your opinion, based on what signs? 3 present in the vaginal tissues? 3 Based on just looking at degradation of mesh 4 A. Vaginal tissues or. . . 4 that was studied. Just looking at mesh that was 5 Or vaginal space. 5 removed. Looking at the Woodruff study, and O. 6 6 That's two different things. Is it in the looking at there was no degradation in that group 7 7 vaginal space, i.e., the bacteria that are present that had TVT mesh removed. You would agree with me that there are some 8 within the lumen of the vagina, yes. 8 9 9 And that's actually the area in which the women that are called high responders, right? Q. 10 10 TVT is? A. What is a high responder? 11 11 Meaning that they might have a greater A. No. 12 Q. Goes through? 12 inflammatory response than another woman because of 13 13 their status in life, just how they're made? A. No. 14 MS. ROBINSON: Object to form. 14 O. You don't think so? 15 It may -- it's -- probably is not that as 15 A. 16 You don't think it's ever exposed to any 16 their basis. They probably have an underlying 17 17 clean -- or contaminated area? condition, an underlying inflammatory condition, which is well known. Things like lupus and 18 Well, the vagina always has bacteria, and we 18 19 know that in all surgeries that we do. But once 19 rheumatoid arthritis and other things. But that 20 20 that area is closed and there's complete inflammation doesn't necessarily relate to what the 2.1 21 bacteria in the vaginal canal are doing. That epithelialization, there shouldn't be any type of 22 22 infection that occurs in these meshes. may just be their own immunogenicity. 23 In other words, whether a woman secretes 2.3 But there's a possibility that -- of how 24 this device is put in that it can be exposed to a 24 more peroxidase than another, you don't believe

Page 154 Page 156 1 that that would have any clinical impact on their 1 products? Yes. Because without their products I 2 2 healing or the mesh? wouldn't be able to do these surgeries. 3 3 And you believe it's important for you to be A. No. It shouldn't have an impact on that. 4 4 And, furthermore, the issue with bacteria shouldn't able to offer these products to your patients? 5 have any effect on it either, because in meshes 5 A. Yes. 6 that were removed, even in Clavet's work, when he 6 You were asked some questions by counsel Q. 7 7 looked at that, he couldn't conclude that there was earlier about warnings and whether you were an 8 8 expert in warnings. bacteria present, even in a patient with acute 9 9 inflammation, in group one, no bacteria genesis was Would you agree with me in this case that 10 10 you've been asked to offer your opinion as to 11 MR. WALLACE: Can we go off for a 11 whether the information provided by Ethicon in its 12 12 IFUs was sufficient for you as a physician and for minute? 13 (Off the record 2:43 p.m.) 13 physicians in general to use their product? I think the information there is sufficient 14 (On the record at 2:45 p.m.) 14 A. 15 15 MR. WALLACE: I have no further to use. 16 16 Q. But that's essentially what you've been questions. 17 MS. ROBINSON: I have a couple 17 asked to opine on; is that correct? 18 18 A. Yes. follow-up. **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 19 About how you and other physicians read IFUs 19 Q. 20 BY MS. ROBINSON: 20 and utilize them in your practice, correct? 21 Q. Dr. Zaslau, do you recall counsel's 21 A. Yes. 22 22 questions of you regarding whether you were an Now, he was asking you more questions about 23 advocate for mesh? 23 the technical requirements of what goes in the IFU 24 24 as to drafting and regulatory requirements and Yes. Page 155 Page 157 1 Q. And you responded that you were; is that 1 everything like that, correct? correct? 2 2 A. Yes. 3 A. 3 O. You're not a regulatory expert, right? 4 Q. And what are you -- what does that mean to 4 A. 5 5 you, being an advocate for mesh? What does that And you're not holding yourself out to be б mean for you? 6 one; is that correct? 7 7 It means that in my clinical experience of Not at all. 8 15 years, in my teaching to residents, as such, 8 So with regard to the information for use, 9 9 and review of the literature that this is a are the complications that you have seen in your 10 10 procedure that has worked well in my hands with practice consistent with the warnings listed in the 11 11 "Adverse Reactions" section of the IFU, in long-term efficacy. 12 Q. And as a result of that, when you counsel 12 particular, the exhibit from 2001? 13 your patients and you talk to them about mesh, is 13 MR. WALLACE: Objection to form. 14 14 this a product that you feel that you want to offer It was sufficient, yes, but the other 15 to them as a choice to use and help them with their issues that had come in the other iterations were 15 16 stress urinary incontinence? 16 things that were already known to us. They were 17 17 just included for other reasons. 18 When you indicate that you're an advocate 18 Counsel asked you whether chronic pain was listed in the IFU in 2001, and it was not, correct? 19 for mesh, does that correlate to being an advocate 19 20 for industry? 20 2.1 MR. WALLACE: Objection to form. 21 And you indicated, I believe, in your 22 I'm an advocate for mesh as a procedure. In 22 response that you didn't feel that it was necessary 2.3 my hands, it's worked and it's worked well. 2.3 for chronic pain to be listed; is that correct? 24 24 That's correct. Do I support industry by using industry's Α.

Page 158 Page 160 And why is that? 1 questions concerning degradation and its effect 1 Q. 2 Because it's known to all surgeons who do 2 on erosion and extrusion of mesh, correct? 3 3 any pelvic surgery that pain can result, and it A. Yes. 4 could be chronic. 4 And he asked you a series of questions about 5 5 whether Ethicon had shared with you certain So if I understand your testimony, it's based on the fact that individuals using the б documentation, studies, conclusions, and theories 6 7 product are surgeons who operate in the pelvic 7 offered by its various company employees, correct? 8 8 A. floor, correct? Yes. 9 Yes. 9 You indicated that you're not -- that that A. 10 Q. And those surgeons are aware that any 10 kind of information isn't information that is 11 information that's important to you in your 11 surgery they do to correct stress urinary 12 12 practice; is that correct? incontinence, a hysterectomy, or otherwise can 13 result in having chronic pain, correct? 13 That's correct. 14 Yes, it can. 14 And to a jury, that may sound like, "Well, 15 And based on -- your testimony is based on 15 Dr. Zaslau has his head in the sand. He just 16 your own practice, correct? 16 doesn't want to know anything." 17 Yes. 17 Would you agree with me that that's what 18 18 it sounds like? Q. But you're also a teacher, correct? 19 19 MR. WALLACE: Objection to form. A. 20 Q. Do you teach your students utilizing IFUs at 20 I know that if there were anything 21 times? 21 pertinent, internally, regarding this procedure that Ethicon would have let physicians know 22 We review for the first time when someone 22 23 does a procedure, I show them the IFU. There's 23 immediately. Okay? 24 24 So my question of you is with regard to nice pictures in it, pictorials, and also the Page 159 Page 161 1 step-by-step aspect of the procedure so they can 1 degradation and that process. have something to take home and see what they've 2 2 Right. 3 done. 3 Degradation in and of itself, is it a 4 Q. And so the IFU is more than just a list of 4 complication? 5 5 complications and adverse effects as counsel has No, it's not a complication. 6 discussed with you, correct? 6 Does degradation have any impact in and of 7 7 itself on the woman that you are treating? Right, yes. 8 And have you found the Ethicon's IFU with 8 A. 9 9 regard to the TVT and TVT-O products to have been Q. So degradation insofar as it may be linked 10 10 adequate for describing the procedure, as well as to mesh exposure or erosion, as counsel's been 11 the potential complications and risks of the 11 asking you about, do you have knowledge of that 12 procedure? 12 impact through the literature that you read, your 13 A. Yes. 13 experience, and association and communications with 14 Have you ever expressed any concern about 14 your colleagues? 15 any complications that are not listed in the IFU? 15 Right. A. 16 A. 16 In other words, you know the mesh erosion 17 When you have attended meetings of your 17 and extrusion rates, correct? 18 colleagues, of other urogynecologists or other 18 A. Yes. 19 urologists or gynecologists, have they experienced 19 Q. And you read about that in the literature 20 every day? or expressed concerns to you about warnings and 20 21 complications that were not contained in the IFU 21 Yes. Α. 22 unrelated to mesh litigation? 2.2 Q. And it's been written about since mesh was 23 A. No. 23 first used way back in --

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

In 1998, or even before that with the other

24

A.

24

Q.

Counsel also asked you a laundry list of

| | Page 162 | | Page 164 |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | meshes, the other procedures. Right. | 1 | CERTIFICATE |
| 2 | Q. Correct. To your knowledge, has degradation | 2 | STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA) I, Faye Ann Lehman, a Commissioner in |
| 3 | resulted in any new complication that was unknown | 4 | and for the State of West Virginia, do hereby |
| 4 | to physicians who operate in the pelvic floor using | 5 | certify that before me personally appeared STANLEY ZASLAU, M.D., who was by me first duly cautioned |
| 5 | mesh? | 6 | and sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth |
| 6 | A. No. | 7 | and nothing but the truth in the taking of his oral deposition in the cause aforesaid; that the |
| 7 | MS. ROBINSON: That's all the questions | 8 | testimony then given by him as above set forth is a true record of the testimony given by the witness, |
| 8 | I have. | | and was reduced to stenotype by me in the presence |
| 9 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION | 9 | of said witness and afterwards transcribed upon a computer. |
| 10 | BY MR. WALLACE: | 10 | • |
| 11 | Q. Would you agree with me that a woman said | 11 | I do further certify that this deposition was taken at the time and place specified in the |
| 12 | who now has chronic pain that has been associated | 12 | foregoing caption and was completed without adjournment. |
| 13 | with a TVT, who says that she was never told that | 13 | |
| 14 | she might have chronic pain, that's an unfortunate | 14 | I do further certify that I am not a relative of or counsel or attorney for any party |
| 15 | event, correct? | | hereto, |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 15 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set |
| 17 | Q. And you would agree with me that her | 16 | my hand and affixed my seal of office on this 22nd day of March, 2016. |
| 18 | physician, even going all the way back to 2001, | 17 | • |
| 19 | should have told her about that, just like you've | 18 | The foregoing certification does not apply to any reproduction of this transcript in any |
| 20 | told your patients? | | respect unless under the direct control and/or |
| 21 | A. Physicians who do any pelvic surgery | 19 20 | supervision of the certifying reporter. |
| 22 | should warn the patient that chronic pain can | 21 | Faye Ann Lehman, Commissioner |
| 23 | happen from any procedure. | 22 | My Commission Expires May 20, 2020 |
| 24 | Q. And specifically from the TVT procedure? | 23 24 | |
| | Page 163 | | Page 165 |
| 1 | A. From any incontinence procedure. | 1 | |
| 2 | Q. Right. And specifically from the TVT | 2 | ERRATA |
| 3 | procedure, because I'm talking about the TVT? | 3 | |
| 4 | A. Right. Then yes. | 4 | |
| 5 | Q. Okay. And but you would also agree with | 5 | PAGE LINE CHANGE |
| 6 | me that a physician is entitled to rely on the | 6 | |
| 7 | instructions for use? | 7 | REASON: |
| 8 | A. In part. And the remainder is from their | 8 | |
| 9 | clinical experience and education and teaching, | 9 | REASON: |
| 10 | coursework, lectures, meetings. | 10 | |
| 11 | MR. WALLACE: I have no further | 11 | REASON: |
| 12 | questions. | 12 | |
| 13 | MS. ROBINSON: I have nothing further. | 13 | REASON: |
| 14 | Thank you. | 14 | |
| 15 | (At 2:54 p.m., the deposition concluded | 15 | REASON: |
| 16 | and signature was not waived.) | 16 | |
| 17 | and signature was not warved.) | 17 | REASON: |
| 18 | | 18 | |
| 19 | | 19 | REASON: |
| 20 | | 20 | DEACON. |
| 21 | | 0.1 | REASON: |
| 22 | | 21 22 | REASON: |
| 23 | | 23 | |
| 2.4 | | 24 | REASON: |

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

| | Page 166 | |
|----|-------------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT | |
| 2 | ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEFONERY | |
| 3 | I,, do | |
| 4 | hereby certify that I have read the | |
| 5 | foregoing pages, and that the same is | |
| 6 | a correct transcription of the answers | |
| 7 | given by me to the questions therein | |
| 8 | | |
| | propounded, except for the corrections or | |
| 9 | changes in form or substance, if any, | |
| 10 | noted in the attached Errata Sheet. | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | STANLEY ZASLAU, M.D. DATE | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Subscribed and sworn | |
| 18 | to before me this | |
| 19 | day of, 20 | |
| 20 | My commission expires: | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | Notary Public | |
| 24 | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| · . | 124:1,3 157:11 | al 148:13 | 29:1,6,10 31:13 | 72:6,14,24 75:14 |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| A 77.12 | 159:5 | allen 11:10 | 31:15 37:11 58:5 | 82:24 83:18 |
| abdomen 77:13 | advertisement | allow 66:5 | 59:1 120:2 | 84:22 93:24 96:1 |
| abdomens 44:23 | 19:10 56:8 | allowed 108:23 | approximately | 104:5,24 106:6 |
| abdominal 144:18 | advocate 133:7,12 | alter 34:14,17 | 89:11 90:24 | 104.3,24 100.0 |
| able 44:21 93:8 | 154:23 155:5,18 | amazing 46:3 | april 74:19 98:8 | 147:9,13 151:1 |
| 108:14,15 156:2 | 154.23 133.3,18 | amend 121:23 | area 19:3 55:24 | 162:12 |
| 156:4 | affect 116:19 | amount 29:23 31:2 | 64:9 79:4 80:1,4 | association 161:13 |
| abstracts 41:18 | 127:22 134:12 | 32:4,12 | 98:4,10 139:1,2 | assume 16:9 66:23 |
| 43:9 academic 18:19 | 138:7 | anesthesia 39:18 | 139:12 151:9,17 | 98:12 |
| | affixed 164:16 | 39:24 121:16,17 | 151:20 | assumed 58:1 |
| 21:13 22:2,2,11 22:14 37:22 | aforesaid 164:7 | animal 128:14,17 | areas 9:8 19:4 | assuming 142:20 |
| 41:19 108:4 | age 55:7 | 145:8,12,16 | 96:13 | atlanta 55:24 |
| academics 24:18 | ago 9:24 10:1 12:4 | 146:2 | arguing 126:3 | atrophic 55:4 |
| academics 24:18 accepted 94:8 | 31:14,16 63:19 | ann 1:17 164:3,20 | arthritis 153:19 | atrophy 80:3 |
| accepted 94.8 access 62:8 107:21 | 64:16 146:15 | annual 59:13 60:4 | article 33:22,23 | attached 166:10 |
| 108:6,7,8,11 | agree 24:6 25:2,15 | 64:11 | 53:14 72:13 | attempt 27:8 73:14 |
| accurate 125:12 | 26:18 27:12,15 | annually 21:10 | 75:11 | attended 109:8 |
| accused 61:3 | 31:23 33:20 | 60:9 80:11 | articles 5:3,6 | 159:17 |
| achieve 24:4 28:20 | 37:18 44:9 45:10 | answer 14:21 | 49:16 109:8 | attending 18:17,21 |
| 29:3 117:9 | 45:15 51:9 56:2 | 30:20 34:5 45:7 | aside 56:10 77:3 | attention 48:14 |
| achieved 30:10 | 56:11 58:23 | 47:9 52:8 63:5 | 126:6 | attorney 17:2 |
| achieving 18:14 | 60:21 66:13,19 | 70:2 73:14 79:8 | asked 4:20 5:10 | 164:14 |
| acknowledgment | 68:2,15 70:7,11 | 79:21 80:13,16 | 11:22 32:5 39:14 | attorneys 15:20 |
| 166:1 | 72:4 75:17 77:20 | 99:18 119:8,12 | 63:1,2,5 72:23 | aua 41:17 43:10 |
| acquire 63:16 | 78:20 81:17,20 | 119:13 122:14,15 | 78:13 91:23 | 94:1 134:18 |
| acronym 35:6 | 82:2,7 84:9 | 131:15 | 96:24 107:2 | augs 2:20 131:20 |
| actions 13:2 | 86:14 89:3 92:14 | answered 32:6 | 122:8 130:20 | 134:19 |
| activities 71:10 | 95:24 100:2 | 78:14 107:3 | 140:15 145:8 | authors 133:19 |
| actual 62:21 | 104:11 105:3,6 | 122:8,9 140:16 | 156:6,10,17 | 148:14 |
| acute 81:15 96:14 | 105:14,24 106:9 | answering 104:22 | 157:18 159:24 | autologous 52:18 |
| 123:12 154:8 | 112:8 117:8,18 | answers 166:6 | 160:4 | 53:4,7,10,12,21 |
| add 16:11 | 118:16,20 122:5 | anterior 13:14 | asking 6:2 25:12 | 58:13,14 77:7,9 |
| addition 13:15 | 125:4,15 127:5 | 49:11 51:5 | 26:11 28:4 34:3 | 77:10 104:18,23 |
| additional 18:17 | 128:9 131:6 | anybody 66:16 | 34:4 39:21 45:15 | 145:24 |
| 18:24,24 19:3 | 133:14,18 135:21 | apart 103:10 | 63:8 70:1 73:4 | available 101:13 |
| 20:7 60:14,22 | 137:11 140:1 | appear 74:7 | 76:8 89:2 99:11 | 101:15 |
| 80:20 | 143:13,15,19 | appearances 2:1 | 109:2,11,17 | average 15:5,8 |
| additives 128:23 | 144:6,13 145:2 | appeared 164:5 | 119:4 122:21 | 147:23 |
| adequate 115:12 | 145:15 147:6 | application 45:2 | 144:12 156:22 | avoid 36:22 37:19 |
| 159:10 | 151:2 152:7,21 | apply 6:13 41:1 | 161:11 | 83:21 88:2,8 |
| adjournment | 153:8 156:9 | 118:22 120:8 | aspect 159:1 | 89:4 117:5 |
| 164:12 | 160:17 162:11,17 | 140:18 164:18 | assess 80:11 | avoided 37:12 |
| administration | 163:5 | appointment 60:7 | assistant 18:10,11 | aware 24:18 55:9 55:23 71:21 |
| 13:7 | agreed 110:19 agreement 8:12 | approach 36:19 37:14 54:23 | 18:16,19,21 19:24 20:1 | 83:24 124:9 |
| adopt 45:5,6 | 15:24 29:19 | 58:17 | assisted 39:12 | 127:9 146:4 |
| advanced 18:14 | 32:15,16 | approaching | associate 71:23 | 150:6,8 158:10 |
| adverse 91:4,10,18 | ahead 47:6 79:23 | 36:21 | associated 69:21 | |
| 92:9 94:5 123:11 | 143:3 | appropriate 28:9 | 69:23 70:4,13 | В |
| | 113.3 | appropriate 20.7 | 07.23 70.1,13 | |
| | | | | |

| | | 1 | l | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| back 12:4 19:1,20 | behavior 48:4 | board 94:9 | C | cases 14:14 15:10 |
| 30:20 44:3 45:9 | believe 6:18 11:4 | bodies 88:8,12 | cadaveric 52:18 | 15:13,15,17,19 |
| 50:4 60:3,6 | 28:9 38:22 45:8 | 96:7 | 53:20 58:15 | 35:17 54:8 89:20 |
| 64:16 65:5 75:12 | 45:17 47:13,18 | body 88:13,15,17 | calculations 66:6 | 90:10 99:4 |
| 77:15,17 85:4 | 48:3,8 53:22 | 96:8,9 104:14,14 | call 20:3 23:3 | casespecific 6:3 |
| 92:6 94:23 103:1 | 57:4 77:3 83:17 | 104:15,16,19,20 | 61:21,22 77:8 | causal 13:2 |
| 107:9 122:10,12 | 85:22 94:4 95:15 | 105:18 114:11 | 85:15 | cause 12:2 48:5 |
| 152:10 161:23 | 101:11 104:8 | 149:2 | called 1:14 5:14 | 68:16,20,22,24 |
| 162:18 | 106:5 112:20 | bonus 29:7,9,20,22 | 61:22 109:13 | 69:11 95:22 |
| bacteria 131:1 | 113:21 114:8,13 | 29:23,23 30:24 | 153:9 | 104:14,20 117:4 |
| 151:7,18 152:11 | 114:16 115:1,6,9 | 31:2,3,24 32:4,10 | campbells 43:10 | 117:6 126:17 |
| 153:21 154:4,8,9 | 117:2 118:10 | book 121:14 | campus 24:4 | 130:7 139:12 |
| bad 61:15 91:24 | 119:19,21 121:21 | 122:18,19,22,23 | canal 153:21 | 140:21 164:7 |
| bard 10:21 12:7 | 125:23 126:6,7 | 122:24 | cancer 10:9 | caused 36:16 37:7 |
| 34:20 35:10,15 | 126:11 127:8,18 | boston 16:5 | candidate 98:7 | causes 86:1,1 96:4 |
| 35:21 36:5 37:7 | 128:5 133:7 | bottom 132:4,9 | candidly 38:16 | 130:11 143:16 |
| 42:1 | 135:14 150:5 | 145:1 | cant 30:11 52:3 | cautioned 164:5 |
| base 51:21 | 153:24 156:3 | breaching 95:6 | 63:21 64:12,13 | cell 149:4 |
| based 14:21 15:1 | 157:21 | break 47:7,10 50:2 | 65:17,20,24 | cells 96:13 152:19 |
| 21:18,20 24:23 | believed 127:22 | 50:3 107:7,8 | 66:14,19 67:4,5 | center 20:5,11,11 |
| 25:17 27:17,23 | believes 127:20 | breakdown 129:12 | 73:2,18 79:24 | 20:21 27:22 |
| 28:11,14,14,19 | 138:2 | bridge 95:8 | 102:15 119:8,12 | 42:20 56:3,3 |
| 29:11,19 30:24 | belt 23:7 | bridging 95:2 | 119:13 122:15 | 64:8 |
| 31:24 43:20 | benefits 57:20 | brief 13:11 47:7 | caption 164:12 | certain 9:8 27:9 |
| 44:19 45:1 46:2 | better 23:14 24:10 | 50:3 107:8 | care 20:3 38:6 | 28:21 29:3 68:10 |
| 52:12 54:23 61:6 | 37:2 42:6 61:5 | bring 4:8,20 20:8 | 59:2 85:13 | 94:15 160:5 |
| 65:13 68:7 | 61:17 93:21 | 56:21 | career 101:4,8 | certainly 6:20 |
| 100:19 138:16,18 | bias 24:19,22 | brooklyn 17:23 | careful 149:23 | 11:23 20:16 |
| 146:5 153:2,3 | 25:11 26:5,17 | 18:15 | carefully 46:2 | 24:12 29:18 33:6 |
| 158:6,15,15 | 30:19 41:24 | brought 4:1 5:1,3 | 140:24 | 36:19 37:21 |
| baseline 81:21 | 134:8 | 5:5,7 19:5 66:4 | carefullyimplan | 39:10 40:21 |
| 82:13 | big 62:3 83:17 | 99:4 136:23 | 72:11 | 41:21 54:11 59:8 |
| basic 34:4 64:21 | 144:15 | 138:21 | carolina 55:13 | 61:21 85:20 |
| 126:5 142:18 | billboard 56:8,11 | build 20:5,8,11,17 | carry 22:7 | 86:10 102:13 |
| basically 109:23 | bills 15:22 | 20:21 | case 1:8 2:16,17 | 103:10,14 109:7 |
| basis 59:14 60:4 | biological 130:18 | bulk 15:1 89:22 | 5:8,11 6:10,11,16 | 122:2 125:17,24 |
| 64:11 93:18 | bit 25:12 35:21 | bullet 143:19 | 7:13 8:8,9 9:16 | 129:13,20 147:4 |
| 106:11,22 113:9 | 36:1 | 145:7 | 9:19 10:4,7,8,8,9 | 147:11 150:15 |
| 145:18 153:16 | black 23:7 | burch 50:12,17,18 | 10:11,13,17,21 | certification |
| bates 2:19,23 | blacked 9:8 | 52:21 54:5 58:24 | 10:24 11:2,8,13 | 164:17 |
| 111:21 | bladder 12:16 | burchbased 51:2 | 11:16 12:7,14,16 | certified 23:7 |
| beallendall 140:1 | 36:22 37:13,16 | burches 39:12 | 12:17,17,20 13:6 | certify 164:5,11,13 |
| beasley 11:10 | 37:20 39:11 54:7 | 44:24 54:8 | 13:16,21 14:1 | 166:4 |
| bedside 103:2 | 59:10 | burkhart 12:10,11 | 17:3,5,12,13,17 | certifying 164:19 |
| began 29:12 | blast 96:14 | business 23:11 | 25:10 32:17 34:9 | chain 2:20,21 |
| beginning 7:14 | bleeding 59:8 | 24:7,8 | 43:24 71:20 90:5 | challenging 42:21 |
| 137:14 | 68:16 | butler 5:6 16:3,21 | 119:15 126:24 | 81:17 |
| behalf 2:3,6 12:6 | blood 104:20 | buy 25:3,16 26:20 | 127:9 129:15 | change 21:7 37:7 |
| 12:23 110:20 | blue 121:14 122:18 | 27:9 | 139:14 156:9 | 87:21 102:19 |
| behave 112:19 | 122:19,22,23,24 | | | 114:6,23 121:19 |
| | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | |

| | • | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 126:1,2 130:3,9 | 149:14 | com 2:5,9 | complaining 78:18 | conferences 38:13 |
| 138:12,14 143:2 | classic 109:7 | combination 40:22 | complaints 50:18 | confidence 43:20 |
| 146:18,22 152:13 | clavets 154:6 | 40:23 41:3 | complete 34:11 | configuration |
| 165:5 | clean 151:17 | combined 51:3 | 117:19 148:20 | 130:3 |
| changed 36:16,19 | clear 8:10 16:8,12 | combs 2:7 | 151:20 | conflicted 122:22 |
| 37:14 46:7 86:12 | 17:10 18:22 | come 36:18 38:10 | completed 164:12 | conflicting 125:17 |
| 89:15 | 19:23 20:13,19 | 41:22 43:23,23 | completely 128:8 | conflicts 122:4 |
| changeover 101:5 | 23:20 46:13,13 | 48:9 49:16 55:10 | 149:11 | conjunction 23:13 |
| changes 33:22 | 46:22 110:15,17 | 60:3,6 61:9,14 | completing 23:13 | 120:18 |
| 115:4 166:9 | 131:4 143:11 | 64:6,13 65:2,5 | completion 141:1 | connected 17:17 |
| changing 106:22 | 146:6 | 66:16,19 68:11 | complex 13:16 | 135:1 |
| 130:2 | clearly 131:2 | 84:6,12 85:22 | 143:10 147:9,11 | connection 3:22,23 |
| charleston 2:8 | cleveland 64:7 | 90:19 100:24 | complicated | 4:11 10:3 11:15 |
| check 137:16 | clients 86:6 | 108:13 111:11 | 143:21 | 14:7 53:13 92:20 |
| chemically 125:16 | clinic 55:11,12 | 134:17 137:1,2 | complication | 92:22 110:1 |
| 126:7,10 130:22 | clinical 18:10 | 157:15 | 39:17,19,22 | 124:9 141:18 |
| chicago 2:5 | 21:20 28:11,12 | comes 22:17,18 | 42:16 92:1 | 145:9 |
| chief 19:13 | 31:1,24 32:1 | 56:14 101:20 | 147:13,18 161:4 | consent 57:16,17 |
| chiropractic 12:11 | 69:13 99:7,15 | 111:12 117:20 | 161:5 162:3 | 57:23 58:2 60:10 |
| choice 155:15 | 100:5,7,24 104:9 | 137:13 | complications | 60:12,13 61:5,9 |
| choose 64:24 | 105:12 106:2,11 | comfort 57:5 | 29:21 38:8 47:15 | consenting 80:22 |
| chronic 69:2,3,11 | 106:21 107:13 | coming 18:1 20:17 | 48:4,6,9 60:17 | consider 21:22 |
| 69:21 70:5,8,17 | 126:6,13 127:7 | 87:12 106:11 | 72:5 74:7 91:12 | 22:1,11 125:7 |
| 71:5,8,23 72:5,13 | 131:5 132:7 | commencing 1:20 | 92:5 93:13,20,23 | 140:4,10,13 |
| 72:24 73:16 | 138:13 142:8 | comments 107:5 | 117:20 118:1 | 142:3,13,21 |
| 75:14 81:11,13 | 143:10 154:1 | 122:11 | 147:17 157:9 | consideration |
| 81:15,17 82:24 | 155:7 163:9 | commission | 159:5,11,15,21 | 61:10 106:15 |
| 83:18 84:1,7,15 | clinically 127:19 | 164:21 166:20 | computer 164:9 | considered 46:23 |
| 84:17 85:9,12,23 | 136:22 137:2,3 | commissioner 1:17 | concept 37:3 110:9 | 99:21 |
| 86:9 87:6,7 | 139:8,10,17,22 | 164:3,20 | 147:7 | considering |
| 94:22 95:1 96:12 | 152:22 | common 147:12 | concern 83:16 | 133:11 |
| | clinicians 136:24 | 147:17,18 | 159:14 | consistent 157:10 |
| 149:4 157:18,23 | clinics 55:9,20 | commonly 83:12 | concerning 160:1 | consulting 2:22 |
| 158:4,13 162:12 | close 48:13 89:17 | 90:4 | concerns 159:20 | 141:12 |
| 162:14,22 | 89:22 | communications | conclude 93:23 | contacted 19:12 |
| chronically 69:7 | closed 44:23 | 161:13 | 105:10 128:13 | 61:18 |
| circumstance | 151:20 | comorbidities | 137:20 138:23 | contained 8:15 |
| 24:23 69:19 | clubs 38:13 | 48:23 49:1,9 | 142:12 145:19 | 159:21 |
| circumstances | cochrane 49:23 | companies 16:5 | 154:7 | contaminated |
| 61:6 69:20 | 72:17 | company 27:10,15 | concluded 100:4 | 151:17 152:1,2,2 |
| citation 74:10 | code 63:15,15 | 32:14 33:21 68:4 | 100:23 106:10 | context 86:21 |
| cite 128:21 | coinventors 138:2 | 118:11 120:17 | 136:20 163:15 | 87:11 88:20 89:1 |
| cited 122:18 146:7 | coldcalled 61:19 | 160:7 | concludes 141:17 | continues 71:16 |
| citing 121:10,11 | 61:19 | compared 89:23 | concluding 124:16 | contract 113:19 |
| civil 1:16 | colleague 89:18 | 145:23 | conclusions 160:6 | 114:12 |
| claim 103:1 | colleagues 36:12 | comparison 52:19 | conclusive 128:21 | contracted 112:18 |
| claimants 5:18,23 | 41:19 159:18 | 53:3 | condition 153:17 | contracts 113:10 |
| claimed 44:5,8 | 161:14 | compensated | 153:17 | 113:23 114:8,14 |
| claims 3:23 45:20 | college 18:16 | 21:19 30:11 | conduct 28:15 | contraindications |
| clarification | collisions 83:13 | complain 50:19 | conducting 31:23 | 68:5 111:15,24 |
| | • | • | - | |

| | ī | • | i | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| contrary 142:20 | counsel 4:17 12:9 | 137:17 166:14 | dennis 133:22 | 117:1,18,21 |
| contributions | 16:21 75:20 | day 1:20 15:3,4,5 | 134:3 | 118:10,12,14 |
| 33:15 | 155:12 156:6 | 15:21 161:20 | dense 148:4,5 | 120:3,4 139:19 |
| control 51:24 52:1 | 157:18 159:5,24 | 164:16 166:19 | depend 34:6 69:5 | 151:24 |
| 52:3 122:23 | 164:14 | days 70:22 128:11 | 69:12,13 | devices 118:14 |
| 164:18 | counsels 9:9,10 | 145:13 | dependent 21:12 | diagnosed 58:3,20 |
| controls 121:22 | 154:21 161:10 | deal 77:16 | depending 96:16 | diagnosis 63:15 |
| 122:6,24 | counting 48:14 | dealing 11:7 | 97:7 | didnt 10:19 14:19 |
| controversial | 89:12 | death 121:16 | depends 34:10 | 20:9 42:12 47:20 |
| 130:16 | couple 70:23 | debilitating 106:7 | 54:4 58:9 69:19 | 61:21 63:11 |
| controversy | 154:17 | december 75:1 | 76:9 77:6 78:17 | 72:22 77:1 94:7 |
| 146:11 | course 23:12 24:1 | 98:8 | 79:10 82:5 96:11 | 99:3,16 100:13 |
| conversely 146:9 | 48:22 57:1 | decided 58:4 | deponent 166:1 | 109:21 127:14,16 |
| coordinators | courses 23:21 | deciding 41:8 | deposed 3:21 | 127:16 136:13 |
| 28:18 | coursework | 59:22 | deposition 1:10,13 | 138:11 145:22 |
| copies 6:18 | 163:10 | decision 41:7 | 1:15 2:16 3:6 7:8 | 146:16 157:22 |
| core 109:7,10 | court 1:1 122:10 | decisions 100:19 | 8:2,11,20 9:19 | difference 70:8 |
| correct 5:13 7:16 | coverage 148:15 | 101:2 | 10:15,16 11:19 | 95:4 99:3,6,9,23 |
| 7:17 9:16 13:4 | create 95:8 | defect 124:11 | 11:21 16:14,16 | 100:15 112:14 |
| 18:4,23 20:23 | created 115:15 | defendant 13:2 | 25:22 66:3 87:14 | 116:23 137:18 |
| 22:9,10,20 30:23 | creating 116:4 | defendants 2:6 | 111:2 123:3 | 138:12,20 145:19 |
| 34:21 35:7 36:7 | critical 137:8 | defined 28:20 | 131:23 135:5 | differences 50:15 |
| 36:8 40:15 45:12 | cross 103:6 | definition 53:10 | 131.23 133.3 | 150:24 |
| 47:16,22 48:2,7 | crossexamination | 71:7 75:15 82:1 | 163:15 164:7,11 | different 5:4 28:21 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 2:13 154:19 | | , | |
| 56:5 67:7,10 | | 92:9 120:24 | depositions 5:7 | 28:23 43:13 |
| 70:5 71:13 72:2 | crossexamine 67:1 | degradation 96:22 | depression 85:22 | 44:22 45:2,16 |
| 78:24 82:15 | curbed 24:22 | 96:23 97:1 110:9 | 86:1,1 describe 68:4 | 50:1,14,18 52:2 |
| 91:19 93:24 | curl 103:23 138:24 | 125:24 126:17 | | 54:15,17,22 55:2 |
| 103:5 104:14,17 | 139:11,16 | 127:15,16,22 | described 49:4 | 63:3 71:17 92:13 |
| 105:13,20 107:4 | curling 140:22 | 128:6,10,15,22 | 59:24 86:3,15 | 100:2 101:17,21 |
| 110:13 111:15,18 | current 9:7 | 129:3,11 130:7 | 89:5 | 102:9 108:11 |
| 116:10 118:18 | curtain 57:1 | 130:11,15,23 | describes 105:15 | 112:21 113:2 |
| 121:1 123:8,17 | cut 37:15 78:7 | 131:7 141:18 | describing 20:21 | 118:14,17,21 |
| 123:23,24 124:4 | 100:24 149:10 | 142:2,9 143:23 | 159:10 | 121:22 123:18 |
| 124:5,7,12 | cutting 78:7,20,23 | 143:24 144:2 | description 13:11 | 147:1 150:15 |
| 131:16 133:17 | cv 8:18,22 9:7 14:6 | 145:4,13 146:1 | 115:11 116:10 | 151:6 152:15,16 |
| 155:2 156:17,20 | 119:3 | 153:3,6 160:1 | desirable 78:17 | differently 35:14 |
| 157:1,6,19,23,24 | cvs 7:2 | 161:1,3,6,9 162:2 | 89:4 | 36:1 108:10 |
| 158:8,11,13,16 | cycle 86:4 | degrade 125:1,16 | despite 102:16 | 112:19 116:14 |
| 158:18 159:6 | | 125:22 126:8,9 | details 12:5 25:23 | differs 106:1,3 |
| 160:2,7,12,13 | dan 135:23 | 126:10,12 141:17 | 59:6 | 120:7 |
| 161:17 162:2,15 | | 144:4 145:23 | determine 48:11 | difficult 77:10 |
| 166:6 | data 40:5,14,17,24 43:5,15 51:21 | 146:8 | determined 112:17 | 147:9 |
| corrections 166:8 | 67:8 72:7 75:7 | degrades 124:17 | develop 59:14 | difficulty 50:19 |
| correctly 5:9 30:3 | | 124:20 127:6 | 71:23 | diligence 120:10 |
| 89:11 116:13 | 103:1,6 112:16 | 144:5 146:5 | device 5:15 25:17 | diligent 4:19 |
| 117:1 | 113:12,13,16 125:18,19 145:20 | delivered 39:24 | 27:16 28:10 | direct 2:13 3:8 |
| correlate 155:19 | databases 74:18 | delivery 39:3 | 40:13 43:5 71:13 | 64:10 164:18 |
| costrelated 28:17 | date 1:21 14:7 | demonstrate | 72:11 108:24 | directly 87:5 122:4 |
| couldnt 154:7 | uate 1.21 14./ | 127:10 | 110:2 115:7 | director 116:22 |
| L | • | • | • | • |

| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| disagree 65:7 | documentation | 64:12,14 65:8 | 134:8 136:16 | 136:11 160:7 |
| 77:19 92:9 94:6 | 127:20 160:6 | 69:3 76:2,24 | 145:8 149:9 | emr 62:9 63:13 |
| 94:7 99:8,11 | documented 14:12 | 81:8 83:5,10 | 156:7 | 65:14 |
| 106:24 113:9 | 48:20 49:2,6,20 | 84:6 85:7 86:18 | easier 36:20 37:2 | ends 140:22 |
| 116:21 125:2 | 147:22 | 86:21 87:11 | 42:2,7 | engage 30:13 |
| 126:15 140:14 | documenting 62:4 | 88:10,23 89:1 | easiest 79:17 | engaged 23:3 |
| 144:13 145:2,5 | documents 4:12,19 | 91:21 92:16 | easily 84:7 97:9 | english 111:19 |
| disagreement | 6:8 66:4 105:8 | 96:22 97:2 98:11 | 140:18 | entire 77:5 |
| 105:22 | 105:11 106:17,21 | 98:17 99:9,19 | easy 37:4,5,11,12 | entirely 131:4 |
| disappears 70:22 | 107:11,17,21 | 100:7,11,14 | 42:2 117:9 | entirety 76:15 |
| disclosed 29:24 | 109:12 124:10,11 | 101:6 103:16 | eaw 2:5 | 77:18 |
| 31:3,5 32:8,19,23 | 124:16,20 126:15 | 104:8,10 108:5 | economical 23:16 | entitled 163:6 |
| 33:23 34:15 | 126:19 127:15,17 | 108:19,19,20,23 | edit 34:8,11 | epithelia 148:20 |
| 134:15,22 | 127:21 128:13 | 109:18 112:10 | edited 34:7,10 | epithelialization |
| disclosure 31:4 | 131:7,10,13,15 | 113:21 114:9,16 | editorial 33:22 | 151:21 |
| 32:3 | 136:17 138:1,23 | 114:20 116:13,23 | education 147:3 | epithelialized |
| disclosures 134:18 | 140:4 142:12 | 117:12 118:10,19 | 163:9 | 149:9 |
| 134:20 | doesnt 15:3 26:10 | 119:4 125:21,23 | edward 2:3 | equipment 78:3 |
| discretion 120:17 | 46:19 75:8,13 | 126:2,9 127:17 | edwards 9:14 | equivalent 21:14 |
| discuss 30:8 38:17 | 79:24 80:13 | 127:24 128:8,20 | 25:10,22 87:13 | erode 53:23,24 |
| 57:12,20 59:10 | 83:16 100:8 | 128:23 129:9,18 | 89:14 | 79:4 |
| 59:11,12 133:3 | 113:14,19,20 | 130:12 132:13 | effect 130:16 131:3 | eroded 79:13 |
| discussed 4:16 | 125:1,20 131:5 | 134:3,4,6 135:14 | 138:13 140:6 | erosion 54:9 59:11 |
| 29:12,12 30:6 | 139:24 143:1 | 135:14 136:4,5 | 142:2 154:5 | 68:20 79:6 80:3 |
| 41:21 58:1 92:3 | 144:3,21 146:8 | 137:17 139:8 | 160:1 | 81:9 127:22 |
| 159:6 | 146:18,22 153:20 | 140:3,11,13 | effective 41:14 | 128:6 141:18 |
| discussing 24:16 | 160:16 | 142:1,8 143:8 | effectiveness 132:5 | 142:3,10 143:10 |
| 107:12 | dog 110:5 | 144:20 149:1 | 133:15 | 143:16,24 144:7 |
| discussion 5:8 | dogs 128:16 | 151:14,16 153:24 | effects 54:14,23 | 147:8,14 149:10 |
| 57:22 138:20 | doing 9:9,9,10 | dr 5:22 19:12 | 83:18 84:22 85:6 | 150:8 160:2 |
| 148:12 | 15:3,5,7 30:16 | 73:13 154:21 | 87:15 128:5 | 161:10,16 |
| dismissed 10:17 | 36:20 42:3,4,15 | 160:15 | 143:24 159:5 | erosions 34:8,9 |
| disputing 76:22 | 43:1 45:3 47:19 | drafting 156:24 | efficacy 5:15 41:1 | 48:15 72:8,9,20 |
| dissect 78:9 97:5,9 | 50:11 60:19,20 | drives 4:6 5:5 | 43:5 117:17 | 72:22 80:8,15 |
| dissection 77:22 | 63:20 97:4 | drug 88:14 | 139:19 155:11 | 81:1,7,9,10 |
| 77:23 114:3 | 102:18 150:21 | drugs 84:11 | effort 129:4,9 | errata 166:10 |
| distorted 102:13 | 153:21 | due 120:10 143:11 | egregious 92:23 | especially 37:13 |
| distribu 44:10 | dollars 134:11 | duly 3:4 164:5 | eight 42:4,15,17 | esquire 2:3,7 |
| distributed 45:17 | dont 6:18,22,22 | dysfunction 18:15 | 43:16 | essence 143:6 |
| district 1:1,1 | 7:6 12:3 13:24 | 20:5,12 37:17 | either 41:2 51:15 | essentially 18:17 |
| diversity 143:11 | 15:2 16:4 19:23 | 50:19 147:19 | 53:20 85:24 | 22:15 156:16 |
| doctor 28:11 62:16 | 24:17,24 25:9,19 | dyspareunia 51:1 | 91:13 99:6,9 | estimate 14:22 |
| 84:9 85:10 121:4 | 25:23 26:2,8 | 51:10,14,17 55:2 | 113:20 154:5 | 15:4 |
| 122:3 | 27:12,13,19 28:4 | 91:16,17 94:5 | elavil 84:20,23 | estrogen 55:6 |
| document 1:7 3:10 | 28:5 29:1,6 30:3 | 118:3,6 | 88:21 89:6 | et 148:13 |
| 57:22 61:16 62:2 | 30:5 32:21 33:24 | | eliminate 137:7 | eth 2:18,21,22 |
| 107:1 109:24 | 45:10,22 46:1,5 | E | email 2:20,21 | ethicon 1:3 15:19 |
| 132:1 135:15,16 | 52:19 53:19 | earlier 50:8 61:4 | 135:15 | 15:20 16:2 17:14 |
| 141:24 142:11,20 | 54:17 57:2 61:14 | 67:11 89:10 | employed 19:4 | 32:18 36:17 39:1 |
| 146:16,19 | 62:12,14 63:7,7,9 | 125:10 132:14 | employees 21:11 | 41:4,6 42:8 |
| -, - | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | |
| | | | | |

| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 43:17 99:8,12,15 | 83:24 85:5 89:5 | 117:16 118:24 | 35:18 | findings 98:14 |
| 100:3,12,18 | 95:20 110:8 | 119:2,5,7,15,21 | fail 48:22 | fine 16:11 60:6 |
| 101:16 105:8,15 | 127:10 128:14 | 120:9 156:8 | failed 62:11 65:18 | 61:8 |
| 106:9,21 107:11 | 147:8 152:19 | 157:3 | fair 4:18 35:9 | finish 105:2 |
| 107:14,17,21 | examples 96:7 | experts 103:11 | 52:12,19 62:20 | 110:16 |
| 108:16,21 109:3 | excellence 20:11 | 106:5 125:18 | 73:3 122:3 140:3 | finished 18:4 |
| 109:9,12,21 | excellent 65:15,22 | 127:18 128:21 | fairness 26:14 | 102:18 150:22 |
| 110:1 113:3,4 | exclusively 16:7 | expiration 137:16 | familiar 55:15,17 | first 3:4 46:14 63:1 |
| 114:18 115:6 | exhibit 3:6,11 7:7 | expires 164:21 | 109:13 119:1 | 124:3 132:10 |
| 117:17 119:22 | 7:8,10,24,24 8:2 | 166:20 | 122:19 | 145:7 158:22 |
| 120:10 124:10,19 | 8:4,16,18,19,20 | explain 58:6 59:6 | family 57:10,11 | 161:23 164:5 |
| 125:2,5 126:15 | 8:24 9:3 14:4 | 59:7 86:17 | far 14:2 74:12 | fistula 68:24 |
| 126:22 127:21 | 62:15 111:1,2,4 | 130:20 148:10 | fascia 53:8,11,21 | five 31:14,16 38:2 |
| 128:2,9 136:3,7 | 117:11 123:3,6 | explainable 87:9 | 58:15 77:13 | 75:7 |
| 136:10,11,20,22 | 131:23 132:2 | explained 35:24 | fascial 53:13 | fiveminute 107:6 |
| 139:7 140:4 | 133:19 135:5,7 | 87:16 88:1 | fashion 140:24 | fixation 144:17 |
| 142:2 146:12 | 139:4 141:7,9 | explants 146:5 | 147:5 | fixed 84:7 |
| 156:11 160:5,22 | 157:12 | explore 25:11 | fast 17:11 44:12 | flaking 103:13 |
| ethicons 38:22 | exhibits 2:15 6:24 | exploring 26:16 | 45:18 | flippant 26:9 |
| 110:19 112:15 | exist 20:9 27:13 | exposed 151:16,24 | fault 117:2 | floor 12:18 69:23 |
| 116:21 139:20 | existed 56:12 | 152:8 | faulty 34:10 | 92:2,4 158:8 |
| 159:8 | 117:14 | exposure 161:10 | faye 1:17 164:3,20 | 162:4 |
| evaluated 140:24 | exists 15:24 17:14 | expressed 159:14 | fda 121:14 | flow 23:11 |
| evenings 24:1 | 31:9 114:17 | 159:20 | federal 13:24 14:1 | focus 19:4 20:4 |
| event 92:9 94:6 | 121:21 | extrapolate 113:12 | feel 155:14 157:22 | folks 17:8 |
| 126:11 162:15 | exotic 26:19 | extruded 69:7 | feet 24:2 | follow 60:8 62:11 |
| events 91:4,10 | expect 38:15 39:1 | 79:13,17,18 | fellowship 18:18 | 63:14 64:6,10,11 |
| everythings 61:21 | 42:22 54:19 64:9 | 149:8 | 18:22 | 64:12,16,22 65:9 |
| evidence 65:24 | 64:13 100:18,21 | extrusion 59:11 | fellowships 19:2 | 65:12 74:14,23 |
| 96:7,12 102:19 | 125:12 134:21 | 68:22 72:17 80:1 | felt 43:14 99:15 | 77:13 79:10,18 |
| 149:5 | expected 91:11,22 | 147:22 149:16 | female 43:11 64:4 | 80:10 94:10,13 |
| ex 102:10 103:12 | 92:1 | 160:2 161:17 | fiber 102:19 | 94:16 |
| exact 6:18 | | extrusions 72:9,20 | fibers 96:17 | followed 62:9 |
| exactly 31:7 | expenses 27:5 30:18 | 72:23 91:7,9 | 129:12,14 | 120:16 132:7 |
| 140:20 | | 147:21 | , and the second | |
| exam 56:23 85:18 | experience 38:19 40:20 43:15 | 147:21 | fibro 96:13 fibroblast 95:6 | following 19:6 59:13 75:13 |
| 98:13 113:13 | 45:23 65:13 | $oldsymbol{F}$ | fibroblasts 152:8 | 85:12 86:11 |
| examination 2:13 | 93:19,23 103:2 | facility 13:18,24 | fibrosis 95:3,5,13 | 114:4 145:4 |
| 2:14 3:8 57:8 | 140:9 142:5,7,23 | 13:24 65:15 | 95:14,18 148:4,5 | follows 3:5 42:3 |
| 162:9 | 155:7 161:13 | fact 27:7 29:22 | 149:3 | 75:12 |
| examine 56:16 | 163:9 | 31:2 37:18 48:5 | fibrotic 95:2 | |
| 103:7 | | 53:4 76:1 92:8 | fie 54:20 | followup 65:15,18 |
| | experienced 44:12 44:13 46:1 97:11 | 98:6 106:17 | field 93:10 137:1 | 75:1,3 154:18 |
| examined 3:5 52:2 57:5 | 159:19 | 109:17 112:8 | 152:1 | foregoing 164:12 164:17 166:5 |
| | | 136:16 158:6 | | |
| example 7:2 25:10 | experiences 24:14 24:15 43:24 | factor 51:13 | figure 52:10 65:4 | foreign 88:8,12,15 |
| 26:19 27:16,21 | | factoring 52:24 | 84:17 148:9 | 96:7,8,9 104:13 |
| 28:10 29:21 36:9 | experiments 102:9 | factors 55:6 | file 1:4 | 104:14,15,16,19 |
| 50:12 52:8,16 | expert 2:16,17 | 143:22 | final 12:10 33:23 | 104:20 105:18 |
| 53:5,14 55:12 | 11:23 12:15,22 | faculty 20:2 33:13 | financial 32:11 | 149:2 |
| 56:20,23 78:4 | 107:15,19 109:4 | 1acuity 20.2 33.13 | find 84:16 111:19 | foreseeable 98:2 |
| | | | | • |

| | I | Ī | İ | İ |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| forgive 22:15 | funds 134:22 | 82:21 85:4,17,24 | guinn 1:8 2:16 | 125:8,9,11 |
| form 25:6 26:21 | further 23:15 | 86:24 143:3 | 5:19 6:3,10 7:6 | healthy 98:7 |
| 28:2,13 30:2 | 120:18 129:23 | 152:10 154:11 | 7:13,17 17:4 | hear 64:19 |
| 32:5,20 34:16 | 130:5 132:20 | goal 20:4 81:23 | gynecare 42:1 | heard 27:7,11 |
| 40:8,16 41:9 | 143:21 154:15 | 82:18 | gynecologists | 42:17 97:20 |
| 44:7,14 45:21 | 163:11,13 164:11 | goes 62:2 71:2 | 39:13 115:18 | 102:3 138:19 |
| 53:9 54:7 56:6 | 164:13 | 151:12 156:23 | 159:19 | heck 66:17 |
| 57:6,22 58:2 | furthermore 154:4 | going 3:10 7:23 | gynecology 35:18 | hefty 105:7 |
| 60:1,10,12,13 | | 15:2,10 25:8 | 36:12 89:17 | held 64:12 |
| 66:22 68:6 70:24 | <u> </u> | 26:7,10 27:24 | | help 23:14 33:5,7 |
| 72:1 78:13 82:16 | games 83:4,9 | 29:5 35:19 40:18 | Н | 39:14 155:15 |
| 83:14 87:10 | gene 135:23 | 42:16 44:20 45:5 | half 75:4 | helping 39:15 |
| 92:11 97:15 | general 5:14,22 | 46:18,18 52:6 | hand 3:10 164:16 | helps 141:3,3 |
| 99:13,17 100:6 | 6:7,9 7:15 8:7,13 | 55:17 57:12,19 | handed 111:4 | hendrix 2:17 5:19 |
| 102:12 105:17 | 8:15 39:18 52:11 | 64:6,7 69:8 72:9 | 123:5 132:1 | 6:10 8:1,8,11 |
| 106:14 107:2 | 65:22 127:1,3 | 80:12,17,21 | handful 90:8 | 62:15,18 148:1 |
| 117:23 120:14 | 148:2 156:13 | 90:12 91:15 | hands 43:17 93:19 | heres 15:10 |
| 122:7 126:18 | generally 7:1 | 93:20 98:18 | 97:12 155:10,23 | hereto 164:14 |
| 135:15 140:12,15 | 26:16 | 106:24 110:24 | happen 21:10 | hereunto 164:15 |
| 153:14 155:21 | generic 57:22 | 122:10 126:5 | 42:16 57:21 | hernia 112:11,15 |
| 157:13 160:19 | genesis 154:9 | 130:3 140:10,21 | 60:17 71:12 86:7 | 112:16,24 113:3 |
| 166:9 | gently 26:24 | 142:4,6,13,17,21 | 86:16 87:8,15 | 113:4,11 114:13 |
| formal 19:2 | germane 120:3 | 142:22,24 143:4 | 162:23 | 114:18,20 |
| formalin 130:5,7,9 | getting 82:9 95:21 | 162:18 | happened 87:20 | hes 11:10 73:11 |
| 130:10,15,19 | 122:3 134:22 | gold 43:8 | happens 33:6 | 89:19,21 122:7 |
| formation 68:24 | ghostwriting 33:1 | good 25:2,4,15,18 | 82:14 88:16 | 134:1 |
| 95:5 | giant 96:13 | 26:18 36:23 64:3 | 115:20 129:18 | high 93:13,14 |
| forming 54:9 | give 8:13 11:18 | 72:7 81:22 82:14 | 150:16,20 | 136:3 153:9,10 |
| forth 1:21 110:23 | 13:11 27:21 52:8 | 85:18 91:24 | happy 100:16 | higher 36:24 |
| 164:7 | 58:5,8 60:6 | 98:13 100:19,21 | 122:2 | hint 26:24 |
| forward 22:7 43:2 | 65:17 68:4 85:8 | 101:2 | hard 78:9 102:16 | hired 107:15,18 |
| 45:5 | 109:21 125:12 | goodwin 11:3 17:3 | hasnt 141:19 | 109:4 117:16 |
| fossa 37:15 | 132:12,13 133:1 | 17:5,11,12 | havent 10:4 62:12 | 119:14 120:9 |
| found 74:20 159:8 | 143:11 | google 74:18 | 66:4 95:12 97:20 | 136:9 |
| four 9:7 14:3 | given 14:3 33:1 | goretex 53:15,18 | 100:15 108:20 | histologic 149:7 |
| 133:4 | 82:13 87:1 99:20 | graft 116:2 | 124:14,19 126:21 | history 9:6 |
| frame 87:2 | 105:6 107:24 | grafts 130:18 | 129:2 137:3,23 | holding 157:5 |
| fraying 124:11 | 108:3 110:1,4,7 | great 19:14 66:15 | 138:5 139:13 | home 159:2 |
| free 15:1 97:9 | 134:10 164:7,8 | greater 51:10,17 | 141:21 | hope 97:16 101:2 |
| frequency 50:20 | 166:7 | 153:11 | head 53:20 149:22 | hospital 18:16 |
| 147:19 | giving 9:19 12:6 | groin 74:20,21 | 150:1,4,5 160:15 | 19:4 60:13 |
| front 73:5,22 | 30:9 52:11 61:5 61:8 81:23 127:1 | 75:12 | healed 148:22 149:11 | hospitals 44:11 |
| full 4:8 20:2 21:19 | 127:3 | ground 24:24 | healing 95:17,18 | host 115:23 |
| 32:3 44:18 | glass 150:11 | group 2:22 8:18 | 154:2 | hotel 1:19 |
| fulltime 22:2 | go 12:4 19:20 27:5 | 9:3 14:4 16:3,9 | health 98:9 | hour 143:4 |
| fund 29:5 | 30:20 32:17 43:2 | 16:10,22 141:12 | healthcare 23:15 | hours 14:11,12,22 |
| funded 22:19 | 47:4,6 48:24 | 153:6 154:9 | 23:15 24:7,9,11 | 15:4,5,7,8,12 |
| 29:18 | 64:7 69:8 76:2 | grown 78:10 | 26:4 27:14 38:14 | 16:15 |
| funding 22:24 | 77:17 79:23 | guarantee 97:23 | 39:3 41:5 56:3 | human 145:17 |
| 23:1 32:13 | 11.11 17.23 | guess 39:21 109:2 | 37.3 T1.3 30.3 | 146:3 |
| | • | | • | • |

| hyperechoic | 67:4 70:1 76:8 | implies 87:20 | increasing 139:2 | 156:11,14 157:8 |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| 113:17 | 77:12 79:20 | imply 129:11 | independent 67:8 | 160:10,10,11 |
| hypermobility | 80:21 82:7,9 | importance 59:13 | indicate 155:18 | informed 57:16,17 |
| 58:12 113:15 | 87:6 89:20 90:12 | important 34:19 | indicated 157:21 | 57:23 60:10,12 |
| hysterectomy | 93:20 97:5,21 | 40:4,17,21 64:17 | 160:9 | 60:13 61:5,8 |
| 49:11 51:5 | 99:11 100:16 | 68:8 93:11 146:7 | indicating 124:20 | ingrowth 103:20 |
| 158:12 | 103:15 104:22,23 | 146:14 148:7 | indication 46:24 | inherent 54:21 |
| | 105:22,23 109:2 | 156:3 160:11 | 48:16 | initial 13:20 43:11 |
| I | 109:11 111:19 | impress 64:19 | individual 51:8 | 115:11 |
| id 12:4 15:1 41:16 | 114:19 118:1 | improve 23:18 | 92:17 | initially 59:15 65:2 |
| 41:16 70:2 72:18 | 119:4,6,10 122:1 | 24:10 37:21 | individuals 30:7 | 67:16 84:12 |
| 98:17 117:24 | 122:3,9,21 126:5 | 81:24 82:13,18 | 90:14 158:6 | initiates 128:10 |
| 126:19 | 127:3,17 128:3 | 82:20 | induce 25:3,16,19 | injectable 58:11 |
| idea 25:3,15 26:16 | 136:14 142:6,17 | improvement 82:1 | 25:21 26:3,12,23 | injections 39:11 |
| 26:18 | 142:24 144:12 | 82:3 | 26:23 27:2,4,9 | injuries 12:2 13:3 |
| identification 3:7 | 148:1,7,8 150:8 | improving 23:10 | industry 29:14 | 37:16,20 44:5 |
| 7:9 8:3,21 111:3 | 155:22 163:3 | 24:13,14 81:20 | 30:6 32:16 33:11 | injury 13:14 36:22 |
| 123:4 131:24 | imagination 93:1 | 82:11 | 108:12 133:20,21 | 37:13 59:9 |
| 135:6 139:5 | imaging 28:18 | inaccurate 121:1 | 134:23 135:2 | 148:16 |
| 141:8 | immediately | inadequate 148:14 | 155:20,24 | insofar 161:9 |
| identified 17:16 | 160:23 | inappropriate | industrys 155:24 | institution 23:19 |
| identify 4:24 | immunogenicity | 29:18 84:14 | inexperienced | instruct 115:9 |
| idiosyncratic | 153:22 | inappropriately | 45:18 | instruction 111:12 |
| 87:15,19 | impact 34:18 39:3 | 54:11,12,13 | infection 129:18 | instructions 41:16 |
| ifu 2:18,19 46:13 | 154:1,3 161:6,12 | incidence 36:24 | 151:22 152:3 | 42:9 67:11,14,19 |
| 46:14 109:5 | impeding 116:4 | include 107:22 | infiltrate 149:4 | 67:24 68:3,12 |
| 114:1 117:19 | implant 37:2 40:5 | included 37:20 | infiltration 95:6 | 107:23 109:19,23 |
| 120:14 123:23 | 40:13 41:2 44:5 | 38:2 68:9,14 | 96:14 | 111:5,14 117:13 |
| 124:4 156:23 | 44:17 46:24 | 110:18 132:20 | inflamed 69:7 | 123:8,15 124:7 |
| 157:11,19 158:23 | 68:15,17 69:10 | 135:12 157:17 | inflamma 96:12 | 163:7 |
| 159:4,8,15,21 | 69:22 70:4 71:13 | including 40:3,24 | inflammation 69:2 | instructor 33:12 |
| ifus 123:23 156:12 | 71:24 78:23 85:2 | 42:5 47:23 59:9 | 69:3,11 94:22 | integrate 76:14 |
| 156:19 158:20 | 86:8 88:16 145:4 | incontinence 35:3 | 95:1 148:17,19 | interaction 11:12 |
| ill 18:13 36:4 87:3 | implantation | 35:7 36:6 39:7 | 149:6 153:20 | intercourse 75:23 |
| 87:5 96:12 | 37:10 | 46:16,17,20,20 | 154:9 | 123:21 |
| 142:24 | implanted 7:18 | 46:21 47:24 48:1 | inflammatory | interesting 150:18 |
| illinois 2:5 | 46:23 47:13,20 | 48:17,18,21 49:7 | 96:15 149:5 | interestingly |
| im 3:10,21 5:13 | 54:18 75:21 76:6 | 49:8,9,14 51:6 | 153:12,17 | 102:14 |
| 7:17 13:9,22 | 76:9,10 80:23 | 56:5,15 58:10,21 | information 5:18 | interferes 71:3 |
| 14:14,19 15:3,15 | 88:14 98:10 | 60:3 62:24 | 31:18 34:14,14 | internal 107:17 |
| 18:4 20:19 25:8 | 101:4,8 108:18 | 147:20 155:16 | 34:17 38:5,7,10 | 124:10,19 |
| 25:12,13 26:7,9 | implanting 43:15 | 158:12 163:1 | 38:15,16 39:2,4 | internally 136:20 |
| 26:10,11 28:4 | 65:9,12 | incorporated | 41:7,11,12,19 | 160:21 |
| 30:17 31:8,8,22 | implants 63:12 | 96:20 113:22 | 42:8 43:23 52:20 | interpret 31:18 |
| 32:11 34:2,4 | 84:4 90:6 91:1 | incorporates | 63:16 68:7 99:20 | interpretation |
| 35:6,23 36:2 | 130:18 | 113:22,24 | 100:3,4 109:3 | 34:18 61:13 |
| 39:21 44:12 | implications | incorporation | 110:11,17 122:1 | 148:12 |
| 45:15 52:10 60:2 | 107:13 | 114:10 | 125:13 127:18 | interrupt 14:19 |
| 61:8 62:16 64:3 | implied 57:24 | increase 113:16,18 | 132:19 139:7 | intervening 21:8 |
| 64:8,10,19 66:15 | 80:18 | 139:11 | 142:1 147:5 | intervention 58:4 |
| | _ | _ | | |

| | 1 | 1 | | 1 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| interview 19:14 | J | 93:12,16 97:10 | 137:7,18 138:3 | literally 78:6 97:12 |
| invasive 74:9 | jnj 9:14 | 98:17,18 99:1,3 | 140:19 | literature 4:6 |
| invented 37:19 | journal 29:15 | 99:18,19,19,21 | laundry 159:24 | 38:11 49:4,20 |
| investigated 128:2 | 38:12 43:8,8 | 100:7 101:3,6,7 | lawyer 16:11 | 65:7 93:17,22 |
| 128:3 | 49:24 | 103:16 108:23 | 17:16 | 102:5 104:2,13 |
| investigation | journals 43:4,7,9 | 112:10 114:3 | lawyers 16:1 | 105:4,11,16 |
| 129:2 | 49:15 | 117:4 118:19 | lays 150:24 | 126:16 131:7,11 |
| investigator 32:16 | judge 11:3 17:12 | 125:18 126:9 | learn 27:5 43:12 | 131:15,18 155:9 |
| involve 69:16 | judgment 85:15,15 | 127:24 128:1,23 | 83:11 134:24 | 161:12,19 |
| involved 10:9 | 101:1 | 129:18 133:22 | learned 147:2 | litigation 1:5 4:13 |
| 14:14 17:9 30:15 | june 74:17,18,24 | 134:3,4,6,7,14,19 | lectures 40:20 | 99:4 159:22 |
| 89:21,21 | jury 14:1 160:14 | 135:12 136:4,7 | 163:10 | little 17:11 21:17 |
| involvement 10:10 | justifications | 136:19 138:11 | left 145:2 | 25:12 35:24 |
| 31:21 32:7,9 | 132:21,22,24 | 139:6,9,18 141:1 | lehman 1:17 164:3 | 36:24 |
| involving 13:6 | | 142:1 144:20 | 164:20 | live 134:1 |
| irb 66:10 | K | 146:12 147:1 | lengthy 117:24 | lives 81:12 |
| island 18:16 | kammerer 135:24 | 149:22 150:17 | letting 73:13 | living 21:15 |
| isnt 53:3 61:4 | kandzari 19:12 | 151:19 160:16,20 | level 21:16 31:20 | lization 148:21 |
| 113:4 139:10 | keep 14:13 62:4,7 | 160:22 161:16 | 33:11 41:20 | llp 2:4 |
| 147:12 160:10 | 63:9,11 110:23 | knowing 22:15 | 43:21 | localized 94:22 |
| issue 24:19 25:11 | kept 62:21 | 99:5 127:13 | levels 152:13,13 | 95:1 148:17,18 |
| 85:14 92:24 | kind 24:1 50:21 | knowledge 19:6 | liability 1:4 | localizes 141:4 |
| 105:12 118:18,21 | 113:2 114:17 | 20:6 23:14 44:1 | life 12:11 71:4,10 | log 62:21 63:2,3,9 |
| 121:22 136:21 | 160:10 | 45:4 161:11 | 76:3 153:13 | 63:12 |
| 137:12 139:15,21 | knew 42:24 | 162:2 | lifelong 106:8 | logical 23:17 |
| 140:20,22 146:13 | know 3:18 8:12 | knowledgeable | ligament 144:16 | logo 56:7 |
| 146:23 154:4 | 11:3,5,10 14:1,2 | 119:6,10 | ligated 13:19 | long 18:16 59:16 |
| issued 4:13 6:10 | 14:20 15:4 16:5 | known 120:21,23 | lightly 78:22 | 60:19 74:14,23 |
| issues 12:18 42:22 | 17:8 21:10 24:12 | 121:4,7 128:7,8 | liked 37:10 | 146:15 |
| 62:3 72:16 99:5 | 25:19 26:8,23 | 143:21 144:1,3 | limit 70:2 | longest 38:21 |
| 136:22 149:1 | 27:19 30:3,5 | 153:18 157:16 | limited 87:1 | longterm 63:17 |
| 157:15 | 31:19 32:21 | 158:2 | line 105:2 165:5 | 65:23 70:18 72:5 |
| iteration 46:14 iterations 157:15 | 33:13,24,24 34:7 | knows 17:7 76:1 knoxville 23:23 | link 12:1 13:2 linked 161:9 | 72:7,8,11,14,24 73:16,20 74:6 |
| itll 102:11 | 34:19 35:6 38:12 | Kiloxville 25.25 | list 2:17 7:4 8:19 | 75:6,8,13 76:4 |
| ive 4:6 5:3,8 15:16 | 39:4 41:5,10,20 | $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ | 8:23 9:11 12:10 | 87:7 133:16 |
| 15:16 16:7,20,20 | 42:15 43:22 44:4 45:1 47:11 52:13 | lab 33:12,13 | 14:13 32:12,13 | 155:11 |
| 17:6 27:11 35:15 | 52:21 54:17 55:3 | lactobacillus | 62:4,7,9 105:7 | look 5:10 7:23 |
| 39:8,8,12 42:3,4 | 55:7 56:8 61:12 | 152:12 | 106:20 110:18 | 9:12 10:1 12:5 |
| 42:14 56:9,13 | 61:18,20,20,23 | laminated 109:19 | 111:24 117:24 | 41:12,16,16 43:4 |
| 60:20 67:21 83:2 | 61:24 62:12 63:7 | lamont 135:23 | 118:3 120:21 | 44:21 48:10,12 |
| 89:18 95:14 | 63:7,13 64:12,13 | languages 111:8 | 124:10 135:13,17 | 48:16 51:6 53:17 |
| 96:20 99:5,23 | 64:13,17,17 | large 27:2 | 159:4,24 | 53:18 61:4 62:8 |
| 102:3,20 103:24 | 65:10 68:8 69:3 | laser 100:24 | listed 14:3 23:6 | 62:14 63:14,15 |
| 104:7 122:20 | 79:9 80:17 83:3 | lasercut 98:20 | 117:11,13 120:5 | 66:11 67:8 72:17 |
| 126:23 129:4 | 83:5,8,10 84:19 | 99:2,16 100:5,10 | 121:5,16 123:12 | 72:18 73:4,7,9,11 |
| 130:20 135:18 | 86:12,18,21 | 100:16 101:7,12 | 123:22 124:3 | 96:6 102:20 |
| 137:12,12,14 | 87:11,18 88:10 | 101:18,21 102:11 | 133:19 157:10,19 | 103:6,10 111:21 |
| 139:16 141:23 | 88:13,23 89:1,20 | 106:11,22 116:13 | 157:23 159:15 | 123:10 129:14 |
| 146:6 150:24 | 90:9,13 92:16 | 116:18 136:18 | listing 117:20 | 132:4 135:7,20 |
| 170.0 130.27 | 70.7,13 74.10 | | 1134111g 117.20 | 132.7133.7,20 |
| | | | | |

| 135:21 137:5 | 135:18 | 96:8,9 101:19 | 41:20 | 127:6 128:19,24 |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 141:9 143:7,8 | making 100:12,13 | 106:24 109:18 | meetings 40:20 | 129:12,14,18 |
| 144:12 145:1,6,7 | manage 84:14 | 115:21 116:1 | 109:8 138:19 | 130:1,1,3 131:8,8 |
| 149:14 150:10,18 | manmade 88:6 | 132:18 134:8 | 159:17 163:10 | 133:1,8,12 134:5 |
| 150:19,23 | 89:8 | 143:1 144:11 | member 20:2 | 134:11 135:1 |
| looked 9:23 51:24 | manner 23:17 | 145:16 148:3 | 33:14 | 136:18 137:7,13 |
| 62:12 63:17 | manufacturer | 155:4,6 | members 134:19 | 137:15,19 138:3 |
| 67:21,23 72:20 | 25:17 27:16 | meaning 16:5 | menopausal | 139:1,11,16,19 |
| 74:19 89:19 | 28:10 | 93:19 150:5 | 152:14 | 140:19,19 141:17 |
| 96:11 102:17 | manuscripts 33:6 | 153:11 | mentioned 23:20 | 143:9,16 144:7 |
| 122:20 126:21,23 | march 1:11 164:16 | means 25:22 26:4 | 29:17 46:10 50:6 | 145:22 146:5 |
| 128:17 130:15,17 | mark 6:23 7:6,24 | 27:4 33:19 38:4 | 69:9 146:6 | 147:8,13,21,22 |
| 130:18 135:16,18 | 8:18 9:18 111:1 | 53:10,11 116:2 | mesh 2:18,21,22 | 148:15,21,23 |
| 137:13 145:21 | 123:1 135:4 | 131:15 148:5,5 | 3:23 9:16 10:24 | 149:16 150:8,10 |
| 149:11 150:16 | 141:6 | 149:4,13 155:7 | 12:1 16:4 20:14 | 150:14,16,24 |
| 154:7 | marked 3:6,11 7:8 | meant 6:13 18:24 | 20:16,18 36:18 | 153:3,4,7 154:2 |
| looking 6:8 19:10 | 8:2,20 111:2 | measurement | 37:1,6,7,10 40:4 | 154:23 155:5,13 |
| 30:10 43:14 | 123:3,5 131:23 | 116:7 | 41:4 43:16,16,17 | 155:19,22 159:22 |
| 52:15 61:3 62:17 | 132:2 135:5 | meatus 50:24 | 44:6,10,22 45:9 | 160:2 161:10,16 |
| 69:16 72:7 74:1 | 139:4 141:7 | mechanical 101:14 | 45:17,24 46:3,6,7 | 161:22 162:5 |
| 74:6 103:18 | master 1:4 | mechanicalcut | 46:15,24 47:13 | meshbased 3:24 |
| 113:15 121:14 | match 121:2 | 98:19 99:2 101:3 | 47:15,20 48:10 | 12:16 50:22 |
| 130:5 141:4 | material 4:9 6:17 | 101:13,20 102:2 | 48:11,13 49:13 | 59:23 |
| 150:19 153:3,4,5 | 33:17 37:4 39:11 | 104:4,5 105:1 | 50:11,16 51:10 | meshes 101:4 |
| 153:6 | 43:1 52:19 113:5 | 106:13 116:14,19 | 51:18 52:17 | 112:15,17 114:18 |
| looks 130:4 | 120:15,16 126:23 | 137:19 | 53:24 54:11,16 | 130:17 144:8,23 |
| loosely 116:9 | materials 5:10 | mechanicallycut | 55:9,11,13,21 | 145:23 151:22 |
| loss 102:19 104:5 | 28:15 37:4 73:14 | 100:17 101:18 | 58:16 59:5 61:11 | 154:5 162:1 |
| 104:24 105:15,19 | 113:1 142:7 | 102:11 140:19 | 61:15 62:5 69:14 | meshrelated 14:24 |
| 106:2,12 107:13 | math 82:8,10 | median 75:2,3 | 76:5 79:2,5,11,12 | 20:22 |
| 136:17 137:6,7 | matt 11:9 | medical 4:5 5:5,7 | 79:15,17 80:24 | metaanalysis 52:5 |
| lost 13:21 137:15 | matter 26:10 | 5:17 22:22 25:16 | 83:1 84:4 85:2,9 | 52:12 74:17 |
| lot 14:15 17:8 | 48:21 148:1 | 27:16 28:10 | 91:18 95:7,11 | methodology |
| 35:15 37:14 | mattered 99:23 | 60:15 71:13 | 96:9,19,21 97:3 | 23:10 |
| 42:20 52:24 63:1 | matters 14:24 | 104:12 116:21 | 97:13,24 98:3,20 | metzenbaum 78:4 |
| 97:6 128:3 148:7 | 142:5 | 118:10 | 99:2,16 100:5,10 | 78:12 |
| 150:14,15 | mba 23:13,21,23 | medically 86:17 | 100:16,17 101:12 | microscope 130:4 |
| lots 92:8 | 24:4,12 | 87:8 | 102:2,10,10,15 | middle 54:6 |
| loupes 150:19,23 | mdl 11:2 | medication 81:12 | 102:20 103:12,19 | 135:22 137:5 |
| low 74:8 93:15,16 | mean 14:19 15:3 | 83:12,19 84:1 | 103:22 104:4,6 | 143:9,20 |
| 93:20,24 | 18:12 20:15 | 85:5 87:6,14 | 104:16 105:1,16 | miller 133:22 |
| lower 144:7,22 | 24:21 25:19,20 | medications 82:12 | 106:12,13,22 | 134:3 |
| lumen 151:8 | 27:19,20 28:23 | medicine 25:4,18 | 112:5,8,11,14,18 | millions 134:11 |
| lupus 153:18 | 29:16 32:9 33:7 | 64:5 86:15 87:19 | 112:18,24 113:3 | mind 49:16 |
| | 33:18 38:3 44:21 | 88:3,5,5,24,24 | 113:4,10,11,17 | mine 26:13 89:21 |
| | 46:12 49:3 63:3 | 100:19 | 113:21 114:10,13 | minimal 116:1,2 |
| macropore 113:6 | 69:6 74:14 75:17 | medicines 85:8,16 | 114:17,17 116:13 | minimally 74:8 |
| magnifying 150:11 | 76:13 79:24 | 88:21 89:4 | 116:15 124:17,20 | minor 34:11 |
| major 64:8 majority 94:24 | 84:24 86:18 | meet 16:19 | 125:16,22,24 | minute 74:1 |
| шајину 74.24 | 88:12,23 93:5 | meeting 9:18 27:5 | 126:7,9,11,17 | 130:12 154:12 |
| | • | | • | |
| | | | | |

| 107.10 | 07.00 | 05.400.5 | 02.14.07.10 | 100 10 100 00 |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| minutes 107:12 | muscles 87:23 | 85:4 89:5 | 83:14 87:10 | 129:12 130:20 |
| misrepresentation | mutually 29:12 | neurourology | 92:11 97:15 | 132:23 137:12 |
| 34:11 | N | 18:15 19:1 | 99:13,17 100:6 | 140:3,21 142:17 |
| missing 82:3 | name 3:13,15,16 | neutrophils 96:15 | 105:17 106:14 | 148:13 152:10 |
| misstates 82:17 | 33:19 72:13,23 | 152:8 | 107:2 117:23 | 160:23 163:5 |
| mistake 46:10 | 73:2 121:9 | never 46:8 56:9,12 | 122:7 126:18 | old 24:24 114:18 |
| mixed 46:20 48:17 | named 21:1 | 56:13 77:18 | 140:12,15 153:14 | olmstead 72:15 |
| 49:8 | names 72:18 90:13 | 87:23 102:21 | objection 56:6 | once 29:24 86:14 |
| mmhmm 69:1 | 90:14 135:23,24 | 110:4,7,23 | 61:7 155:21 | 87:3,5 113:21 |
| mmk 52:22 54:5 | 136:4 | 141:12,23 162:13 | 157:13 160:19 | 151:19 |
| 54:19 | narcotics 81:23 | new 17:22 18:2 | obligated 118:11 | onemonth 23:24 |
| mmks 39:12 44:24 | 82:4 | 87:22 162:3 | observation 58:18 | ones 49:22 52:18 |
| 54:9 | national 21:21 | nfl 83:3,5 | 60:5 | 52:21 53:17,20 |
| modifications | 40:20 94:8 | nice 158:24 | observations | 72:9 91:12 120:6 |
| 115:12 | nationally 21:13 | night 134:1 | 138:17 | 128:20 130:14 |
| moment 19:21 | naturally 120:10 | nonmesh 50:6 | observed 102:6 | oneyear 24:4 |
| 44:3 105:2 | near 93:8 | 58:24 | obstructive 50:20 | online 23:12 24:3 |
| monetary 27:3 | near 93.8 nearby 148:16 | nonsurgical 58:7 | 91:14 | onset 87:22 |
| 30:12 | necessarily 51:12 | nonsynthetic 88:19 | obtain 82:22 | open 39:12 |
| money 24:11,12,13 24:16 30:8 31:6 | 51:19 139:24 | | obturator 36:19 | operate 51:13 158:7 162:4 |
| | 153:20 | nope 141:15 normal 76:14 | 37:13,15 54:20 58:17 | |
| monofilament 113:7 | necessary 4:10 | 102:12 114:5 | obvious 46:14 59:8 | operated 42:21 |
| mononuclear | 41:6 76:22 77:2 | 102:12 114:5 129:19 149:12 | | operating 108:13 108:17 |
| 149:3 | 77:4,20 79:9 | normally 114:1 | obviously 99:22 occur 74:8 120:5 | operation 148:15 |
| monroe 2:4 | 85:19 97:18 | normany 114:1 normative 21:12 | 129:22 131:5 | operative 102:17 |
| month 14:14,15,24 | 124:2 157:22 | normative 21:12 norms 21:21 | 145:14 | opine 117:17 |
| 15:7,9,12 | neck 39:11 | north 55:12 | occurred 21:9 | 119:14 156:17 |
| months 9:24 10:1 | need 32:13 33:16 | notary 166:23 | occurs 70:21 | opined 127:6 |
| 75:2 76:11 | 42:12 44:18 | note 5:1 148:8 | 128:15 139:17 | 139:13 |
| morbid 76:18,24 | 47:21 52:13 57:9 | noted 154:10 | 145:13 151:22 | opinion 5:11,14 |
| 77:1,5,7,8,9 | 61:1,11,16 62:1 | 166:10 | october 74:13 | 6:9 8:13,15 |
| morgantown 1:19 | 64:11 80:10 | notice 1:15 2:16 | offer 11:20 12:13 | 14:18 24:22,22 |
| 83:7 | 84:16,17,18 | 9:8 | 33:15 58:19 | 30:19 31:9 52:12 |
| mount 20:7 | 86:24 98:3,9,12 | nudge 26:24 | 155:14 156:4,10 | 92:21 106:1 |
| mountaineers 83:8 | 98:15 99:16,24 | number 14:22 | offered 13:5 58:11 | 114:23 118:17,20 |
| move 24:17 34:20 | 100:2,5,8,9,11,14 | 47:14 65:17 | 58:12,14,15,18 | 126:12 127:1,3 |
| 102:15 113:20 | 120:15 122:15 | 90:21 111:21 | 59:3 101:13 | 127:13 134:12 |
| movement 103:13 | 135:20 139:9 | numbers 21:12 | 160:7 | 137:17 138:7,10 |
| moves 45:4 | 140:13 | 31:5 89:15 | office 164:16 | 140:5,6 142:21 |
| mueller 9:18 | needed 28:15 | | oftentimes 56:24 | 143:2 153:2 |
| multiincision | 146:24 | 0 | 96:12 97:6 | 156:10 |
| 132:6 | needle 39:9,9 | object 25:6 26:21 | oh 10:11 117:10 | opinions 5:22 7:15 |
| multinucleated | 54:21 | 28:2,13 30:2 | okay 6:6 16:11 | 8:13 11:20 14:17 |
| 96:13 | needs 32:3,7,18 | 32:5,20 34:16 | 19:22 26:15 | 33:16 139:20,23 |
| multiple 14:14 | 79:3 | 40:8,16 41:9 | 30:20 48:23 50:2 | 139:24 146:18,22 |
| 15:9 49:9,10 | negative 46:5,6 | 44:7,14 45:21 | 52:21 56:21 | opportunities |
| 80:8,8,9,15,15 | 61:3 | 53:9 57:6 60:1 | 61:21 62:19 | 115:15 |
| 81:1,1,4,6,8 82:6 | neurogenic 12:16 | 66:22 68:6 70:24 | 72:22 100:1 | opportunity 19:15 |
| muscle 87:22 | neurontin 83:24 | 72:1 78:13 82:16 | 109:11,17 113:8 | 65:3 136:13 |
| | | | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | |

| | | | | <u> </u> |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| opposed 12:9 | 71:23 72:10,12 | 113:18 | 155:13 156:4 | perivascular 149:3 |
| 49:13 51:2,8 | 72:14,21,24 | particular 60:4 | 162:20 | peroxidase 152:10 |
| 61:2 88:24 | 73:16 74:6,20,20 | 120:3 157:12 | paul 16:24 | 152:11,12,13,19 |
| optical 150:19 | 74:21,21 75:12 | parties 12:19 | pay 10:19,20 21:5 | 153:24 |
| options 58:5,6,8,19 | 75:12,14,22 76:1 | partner 38:3,22 | 21:9,16 27:4,17 | peroxide 131:2 |
| 58:24 85:21 | 79:13 81:12,14 | 41:6 | 28:10 | 152:5,13 |
| oral 164:6 | 81:14,14,15,17 | partners 37:22 | paying 29:14 | peroxides 151:2 |
| organ 93:9 | 82:1,6,12,13,24 | 38:16,20 103:18 | 32:18,21 48:14 | 152:4 |
| oriented 23:16 | 83:4,6,12,18 84:2 | 125:7,9,11 | peer 43:4 49:15 | persist 81:15 |
| original 48:16 | 84:7,15,17,18,18 | party 10:11 164:14 | peerreviewed | persistent 71:19 |
| 72:15 115:13,14 | 84:19 85:9,11,12 | passed 54:22 | 131:18 | 75:22 |
| 118:2,3 | 85:16,16,17,19 | pathological 96:6 | pelvic 1:4 12:18 | person 53:11 82:6 |
| outcome 27:17,23 | 85:23,24 86:2,10 | pathologically | 13:16 39:14 | 92:3 126:17 |
| 27:24 28:12,14 | 86:11 87:6,7,22 | 150:17 | 56:23 64:4 69:23 | personal 41:24 |
| 28:20,20 29:3 | 87:23 95:22 96:2 | pathologist 96:16 | 92:2,4 118:7,8 | 103:2 |
| 81:22 82:15 91:18 115:5 | 106:6 117:6,11 | pathology 69:17 94:19 95:10 | 147:20 158:3,7 | personally 30:13 100:1 164:5 |
| outcomes 29:11 | 123:12,18,19,21 126:17 129:17 | patient 12:24 | 162:4,21 pending 11:2,5 | persons 79:12 |
| 30:9 46:7,8 | 131:9 139:12 | 13:13 20:3 24:14 | pending 11:2,3 people 20:6 29:13 | persons 79:12 pertain 119:11 |
| overtightening | 147:20 157:18,23 | 38:6 39:18,23 | 29:19 44:8 46:4 | pertinent 41:10 |
| 117:8 | 158:3,13 162:12 | 47:16,19 48:23 | 46:8,22 47:1 | 125:14 126:24 |
| ownership 33:17 | 162:14,22 | 51:12 54:20 | 54:8 60:4 64:9 | 160:21 |
| 33:18 | painfree 71:22 | 56:20 57:7,13,18 | 64:15 65:4 66:23 | physical 85:18 |
| oxidative 152:18 | paper 33:9,14 | 59:3 60:2,7 61:9 | 72:8,10 80:10 | 113:13 |
| oxidizers 130:24 | 43:23 65:10 74:5 | 62:21 63:2,2 | 81:23 83:2 84:6 | physician 10:23 |
| oxycodone 89:6 | 74:19 148:13 | 65:23 69:14 72:3 | 85:8 87:3,5,19 | 11:24 12:8,15,21 |
| | 149:13 | 72:16 75:20 | 90:22 92:13 | 13:23 24:14 |
| P | papers 33:6 53:16 | 85:11 101:23 | 125:5 127:5 | 26:19 31:23 |
| pa 2:22 141:12 | 131:17,17 | 154:8 162:22 | 128:17 129:19 | 47:13 57:18 65:9 |
| package 111:11,13 | paragraph 148:10 | patients 23:2 | 134:7,21 136:2 | 65:12 66:15 77:4 |
| 137:13 | 150:13 | 42:18,21 46:2,11 | 137:1 | 117:2 156:12 |
| page 2:11 62:14 | paragraphs 148:8 | 48:13,15,16 49:7 | percent 29:4,8 | 162:18 163:6 |
| 65:21 66:7 | parity 55:7 | 49:8,9,10 50:18 | 30:24 32:1 65:8 | physicians 25:3,16 |
| 111:22 123:10,13 | part 16:9,10,21,21 | 58:1 59:17,22 | 74:21,22 81:21 | 26:4 27:8 44:11 |
| 131:14 132:10,24 | 22:19,21,22 | 60:11 61:14,17 | 81:24 82:3,14,19 | 44:13,17 45:11 |
| 135:22 137:5 | 33:14,17 38:12 | 61:18,19 62:1,5 | 82:20,21 147:23 | 45:13,19,23,24 |
| 143:8,9,20 145:1 | 43:19 47:18 | 62:10 63:10,12 | percentage 14:23 | 47:19 48:5 92:7 |
| 147:24 148:4 | 57:23 58:17 | 63:13,14,17,19 | 62:10 65:17 | 92:8,15 93:12 |
| 149:14,17 165:5 | 60:15 95:17,18 | 63:22 66:11 71:3 | pereyra 39:9 | 94:4 106:1 115:7 |
| pages 123:10 | 106:10 147:6 | 72:16 74:15,23 | perfect 98:7 | 115:10 118:17 |
| 166:5 | 148:2 163:8 | 74:24 78:18 | perfectly 59:1 | 146:24 156:13,19 |
| paid 15:22 22:14 | partially 22:17 | 89:19 90:17 | 98:16 | 160:22 162:4,21 |
| 22:16 27:23 28:3 | 24:8 | 91:13 93:12 | perforate 93:7,9 | pictorials 158:24 |
| 29:2,7,9,20 30:23 | participants 31:21 | 100:15 102:17 | perform 40:10,12 | picture 51:7 |
| 31:24 133:20,21 | particle 103:13 | 106:6 113:14 | performed 40:3 | 143:11 |
| pain 37:17 54:21 | 104:5,24 105:15 | 115:24 123:22 | 54:24 85:21 90:4 | pictures 103:11 |
| 55:1,9,11 59:12 69:21 70:5,8,9,13 | 105:19 106:2,12 | 129:17 132:7,12 | 93:2 120:6 | 158:24 |
| 70:16,18,20,21 | 107:13 136:17 | 132:17 133:2,10 | performing 36:6 | piece 88:17 97:13 |
| 71:5,8,9,10,14,17 | 137:6,7 | 139:14 148:20 | period 24:5 35:16 | pieces 127:11 |
| /1.5,0,7,10,14,1/ | particles 104:13 | 149:1,16 150:6 | 71:2 | pittsburgh 64:7 |
| | | | | <u>'</u> |

| | | | | I | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| place 1:18,19 | pope 17:2,5,16 | presence 96:17 | 68:11,13 76:18 | 24:3 | |
| 37:11 79:6 94:9 | pore 95:7 102:13 | 164:8 | 76:21 77:5,21 | prolapse 13:16 | |
| 116:13 164:11 | portion 22:23,24 | present 22:12 | 90:5 91:12,19 | 90:2,5 98:14 | |
| placed 48:18 49:6 | 79:18 142:15 | 50:12 53:23 54:2 | 92:24 133:11 | prolapses 89:24 | |
| 49:13,14 50:16 | position 2:20 | 54:3 57:1 98:6 | 141:1 148:24 | prolene 44:22,23 | |
| 54:4,11,12,13 | 18:19 60:16 94:2 | 101:9 149:7 | 155:10,22 158:23 | 110:5 112:5 | |
| 77:6 78:1 97:7,8 | 132:16,19 | 151:3,7 154:8 | 159:1,10,12 | 114:16 | |
| 113:15,24 114:1 | positions 134:16 | presentation 69:13 | 160:21 162:23,24 | prolift 36:18 37:3 | |
| 114:2 116:3 | positive 46:9 61:4 | presentations | 163:1,3 | 90:5 | |
| 120:2,16 130:19 | possibility 151:23 | 41:17 94:1 | procedurebased | proof 64:2 | |
| 140:23 141:2 | possible 82:22 | 115:19 138:19 | 40:11 | propensity 143:22 | |
| placing 114:5 | 83:22 98:16 | presented 37:20 | procedures 13:15 | 148:16 | |
| 115:3 | 125:21 | 41:18 43:10 | 43:12 48:20 | properly 114:7 | |
| plaintiff 1:7,14 2:3 | possibly 98:18 | preserved 130:8 | 49:10 50:7 51:2 | 115:7,9 141:2 | |
| 6:14 | postimplant | pressure 116:4 | 51:3,4,23 52:22 | properties 112:20 | |
| plaintiffs 13:3 | 128:11,15 145:13 | 139:2,11 141:4 | 59:1 81:4 121:8 | prophylac 48:18 | |
| 127:6 | postmenopausal | pretty 51:20 52:23 | 162:1 | propounded 166:8 | |
| plan 22:22 | 51:14,16 55:5 | 105:20 136:3 | process 23:11 | prostate 10:9 | |
| plane 114:5 | 80:2 | prevention 46:17 | 57:17 96:15 | prostatectomy | |
| planned 76:2 | postoperative | primarily 36:5 | 128:10 129:3,5,7 | 10:8 | |
| plastic 102:15 | 37:16 70:8,13,15 | primary 68:3 | 129:9,10 130:21 | proven 131:2 | |
| plausible 148:18 | 70:20 71:18 | prior 18:1 25:9 | 130:22 161:1 | provide 5:10 11:15 | |
| play 41:22 | 86:13 | 60:21 93:3 | processes 15:18 | 28:16 34:13 57:4 | |
| played 13:23 | postoperatively | 107:14,18 116:12 | 24:13 138:22 | 65:24 111:14 | |
| players 83:4,5,9,13 | 70:21 71:15 | 123:15,23 124:6 | product 25:3 | 132:17,21,24 | |
| please 7:7 9:11 | potential 36:22 | private 21:23 22:4 | 26:20 27:10 | provided 5:6,13 | |
| 13:12 33:8 74:10 | 159:11 | 22:8 23:4 | 28:16,16 35:1 | 6:9 14:6 32:14 | |
| 122:12 123:2 | powerpoint 2:22 | probably 16:15 | 40:5 42:2,6 | 66:5 103:12 | |
| 135:4,7 141:9 | 141:14,16 147:7 | 34:6,14 48:13 | 44:21 51:18 | 107:22 110:18 | |
| 142:18 145:1 | powerpoints 110:7 | 65:1 89:22 101:9 | 120:23 121:1,5,6 | 126:22 143:1 | |
| 147:24 | pp 143:23 | 113:19 152:22,24 | 121:13 133:15 | 156:11 | |
| pleased 64:19 | practice 21:23 | 153:1,15,16 | 136:24 138:2 | provides 7:15 | |
| pllc 2:7 | 22:2,5,9,12,22,24 | problem 57:2 | 146:24 147:3 | 113:1 | |
| point 26:10 42:4 | 23:4 37:23 48:12 | 61:13,16 78:19 | 155:14 156:13 | providing 133:10 | |
| 142:15 143:6,19 | 63:20 92:13 | 80:5,5 129:21 | 158:7 | public 93:11 | |
| 145:7 149:6 | 94:17 116:24 | problematic 106:8 | productbased | 166:23 | |
| points 36:10 152:9 | 138:14 156:20 | problems 47:2 | 108:13 | publication 31:3 | |
| polarizing 96:17 | 157:10 158:16 | 59:14 60:17 | productivity 21:20 | 33:23 | |
| 96:17 | 160:12 | 64:18 80:19 | 23:18 | publications | |
| polite 28:7 | practices 92:17 | 129:15,17,20 | products 1:4 25:16 | 115:19 | |
| polypropylene | preface 25:8 | 138:14 151:1 | 36:17 39:2 52:2 | published 29:15 | |
| 40:4 59:5,23 | preferable 88:18 | procedure 1:16 | 52:4 89:8 156:1 | 29:17 30:1 31:1 | |
| 80:24 110:9 | prep 16:16 | 29:5,6 39:16 | 156:1,4 159:9 | 32:2 63:18 | |
| 112:5 113:6 | preparation 4:7 | 40:10,14,18 41:1 | professor 18:10,11 | 102:22 | |
| 125:16,22 128:6 | 5:4 | 41:2 43:2 45:11 | 18:20 19:24 20:1 | pubovaginal 39:8 | |
| 133:1 143:22,24 | preparing 10:4 | 45:12,13 48:21 | 21:2,19 | 50:22 52:15,17 | |
| 144:2,7,23 145:3 | 14:9 16:13 | 50:12 51:6,11,15 | professors 21:13 | 53:17 54:12 | |
| 145:9,22 | prescribe 84:23 | 57:9,19 59:7,8 | profile 101:19 | 58:13,14 | |
| poor 98:9 poorly 49:12 | prescribed 83:12 85:1 | 60:8,18 61:24 63:15 67:21 68:4 | profiles 101:17 program 23:21,24 | pull 52:6,6,20 102:10,16 | |
| PUULLY 47.14 | 05.1 | 05.15 07.41 06.4 | program 25.21,24 | 102.10,10 | |
| | Golkow Technologies, Inc 1.877.370.DEPS | | | | |

| | | 1 | 1 | <u> </u> |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| pulling 103:9,9 | raises 21:5,9 | 132:24 133:5 | regarding 3:23 | 79:5,9,15,18 |
| 130:2 | randomized 30:15 | 136:18 157:17 | 6:17 57:18 | 90:22 91:3 |
| pure 49:7 | randomizing | recall 9:18 12:6 | 154:22 160:21 | 129:14 |
| purely 12:8 | 30:17 | 13:5 50:8 87:13 | regional 41:20 | removed 48:12 |
| pursuant 1:15 | rank 21:17 | 125:21 135:14 | regularly 86:12 | 54:8 69:14 76:15 |
| put 30:11 44:11 | rate 29:4,8 30:24 | 154:21 | regulatory 156:24 | 77:18 79:3 89:10 |
| 45:18 54:5 62:5 | 32:1 147:22 | recalled 61:12 | 157:3 | 90:15 91:13,14 |
| 63:22 67:17 93:6 | rates 72:17 92:5 | receive 59:4,23 | relate 40:19 54:18 | 91:18 94:18 |
| 94:9 110:8 114:7 | 93:13 127:23 | received 21:5,8 | 83:16 130:24 | 95:10 112:17 |
| 118:11 120:14 | 128:6 144:1 | 29:22 100:3 | 131:1 153:20 | 129:16,19 131:8 |
| 134:20 150:23 | 161:17 | receiving 31:6 | related 15:6 30:18 | 145:23 148:23,24 |
| 151:24 | raz 39:8 | 100:4 | 120:23 121:1,5 | 149:12 153:5,7 |
| putting 56:10 77:3 | razs 43:11 | recognize 3:11 | relates 1:7 17:14 | 154:6 |
| 126:6 150:21 | reaction 104:21 | 7:10 9:3 111:5 | 24:7,8,9 26:4 | removing 77:12 |
| | 124:1 149:3,7 | 123:7 132:2 | 41:11 | 79:11,12,14 |
| Q | reactions 87:24 | 135:8,23 136:2 | relating 5:18 63:10 | 129:24,24 130:1 |
| qtip 113:13 | 123:11 124:3 | recommend 57:8 | 121:12 | reorient 47:11 |
| qualifies 75:6 | 129:22 157:11 | record 5:2 6:24 | relations 134:23 | rep 108:21 |
| qualify 75:8,13 | reactive 152:17 | 47:4 50:4 60:15 | relationship 142:9 | repair 1:4 13:14 |
| quality 37:1,6 71:4 | read 4:16 25:24 | 64:3 73:12 | relative 164:14 | 49:12 51:5 |
| 71:10 137:8 | 26:2 60:11,13 | 122:13 154:13,14 | release 104:4 | report 2:16,17 6:3 |
| question 25:13 | 66:7 67:11,14,19 | 164:8 | relevance 99:7 | 6:7 7:6,13 8:1,7 |
| 30:21 32:23 34:4 | 104:7 122:10,12 | records 4:5 5:5,7 | 105:12 106:2 | 11:15 14:7,10 |
| 36:14 39:21 45:7 | 122:13 124:6 | 5:17 14:16 73:4 | 126:7,13 127:7 | 62:15,18 65:22 |
| 45:8 63:5 69:10 | 142:14,14,17,24 | 110:14 | 142:9 | 66:12 74:2 91:3 |
| 70:1,2 73:15 | 142:24 143:5 | recruited 19:7 | relevant 6:20 | 91:8,9 92:10 |
| 77:17 80:13,16 | 145:6 156:19 | redirect 2:14 | 117:21 127:14,19 | 94:12 147:24 |
| 80:21 86:22 | 161:12,19 166:4 | 162:9 | 136:23 137:2,4 | 148:2 149:15 |
| 93:21 104:22 | readily 87:8 | reduce 23:18 | 139:8,10,22 | reporter 122:10 |
| 110:22 119:8,12 | reading 53:2 60:22 | reduced 164:8 | 152:23 | 164:19 |
| 119:13 122:8,9 | 130:14 | reducing 138:24 | reliance 105:7 | reporting 92:19 |
| 122:12,14 126:5 | realize 92:6,7 | refer 4:10 70:15 | 106:20 110:18 | 94:5 |
| 141:5 142:18 | 120:7 141:16 | 70:17 144:10 | 124:10 135:13,17 | reports 4:13 6:8 |
| 160:24 | really 21:17,19 | referenced 10:5 | relief 91:15 | 6:18,23 10:4 |
| questioning 105:2 | 37:15 39:15 | 132:14 | rely 42:8 93:22 | 14:16 15:11,16 |
| 126:2 | 52:24 90:8 148:9 | referral 42:19 | 94:1 142:22 | 43:24 73:5 92:16 |
| questions 5:21 6:2 | reason 39:14 55:3 | referred 13:17 | 163:6 | 92:17 105:4 |
| 11:22 34:2 64:20 | 82:12 91:8 97:17 | 61:10,10 | relying 52:7 | 127:9 |
| 74:4 126:3 | 97:22 98:1 | referring 17:13 | remainder 163:8 | representative |
| 154:16,22 156:6 | 100:21,24 137:3 | 35:7 53:14 65:11 | remains 113:19 | 33:21 107:24 |
| 156:22 160:1,4 | 137:6 146:11 | 98:11 104:16,18 | remember 12:3 | representatives |
| 162:7 163:12 | 148:23 149:12 | 112:16 122:17 | 13:9 25:23 53:19 | 108:5,16 |
| 166:7 | 165:7,9,11,13,15 | 126:20 148:1,19 | 130:12,14 | reproducible |
| quick 37:12 | 165:17,19,20,22 | refers 73:19 | removal 55:13,21 | 85:20 |
| quiet 15:9 | 165:24 | reflect 121:24 | 56:4 77:4 97:4 | reproduction |
| quite 35:21 | reasonable 27:6 | refuse 57:13 | 128:19 | 164:18 |
| quoted 129:16 | 114:2 | regain 102:12 | removals 48:11 | reps 108:13,20,24 |
| R | reasons 45:19 | regard 6:14 99:14 | 92:10 94:5 | request 4:12 98:22 |
| | 47:14 55:2 79:2 | 140:4 157:8 | remove 76:5,7 | require 29:2 66:10 |
| raise 21:14,16 | 79:16 80:1 82:6 | 159:9 160:24 | 77:11 78:23 79:2 | 66:10 77:21,23 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | l |

| 80:19 81:1,3,4,16 | 65:14 72:18 | 110:12 112:12 | 126:18 140:12,15 | 148:6 |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 120:22 | 73:13 74:17 | 115:20,21 116:11 | 141:5,19 153:14 | scarring 95:15,17 |
| requirements | 102:5 104:2 | 116:16 117:14,15 | 154:17,20 162:7 | 95:21 96:3 117:5 |
| 121:15 156:23,24 | 122:2,16 127:15 | 117:22 118:22 | 163:13 | 148:5 |
| requires 121:12 | 127:16 155:9 | 120:8,11,22 | role 19:20 | schimpf 49:23 |
| research 6:20 | 158:22 | 123:6,12,16,19 | room 56:24 66:16 | 51:23,24 52:7,15 |
| 28:18 31:6 32:14 | reviewed 4:7,16 | 124:8 125:14 | 66:20 108:13,17 | 53:2,13,16 |
| residency 18:5 | 5:3,8,17 9:21 | 127:2 128:24 | rope 102:2 138:24 | school 22:22 |
| 42:5 | 15:17,19 120:11 | 129:3 131:21 | 139:11,16 | science 25:4,17 |
| resident 18:2 | 142:7 | 133:6,22 134:9 | roping 140:22 | 51:21 100:19 |
| 20:17 35:17,19 | reviewing 14:16 | 135:2 138:17 | rosenblatt 16:24 | 145:18 |
| 36:10,11 | 105:10 | 139:15 140:2 | routinely 85:7 | scientific 16:6 40:5 |
| residents 155:8 | reviews 49:24,24 | 142:23 149:23,24 | rules 1:16 | 104:12 113:9 |
| resolve 123:22 | 50:1 | 153:9 157:3,20 | running 14:13 | scissors 78:4,12 |
| resolved 69:15 | revision 124:2 | 159:7 161:2,15 | 27:22 28:11 | se 109:24 |
| respect 5:14 6:6 | revisions 48:10 | 162:1 163:2,4 | | seal 164:16 |
| 9:11 23:23 28:1 | rheumatoid | rigidity 148:16 | S | search 4:19 |
| 32:1,3 40:2 41:4 | 153:19 | rip 102:12 103:9 | sacrocolpopexy | searched 74:16 |
| 95:20 111:17 | rid 84:18 | risk 37:12,16,16 | 144:18 | second 24:16 45:9 |
| 119:22 164:18 | right 4:1 5:11,15 | 37:17 50:12,13 | sacrospinous | 47:5 92:6 143:8 |
| responded 155:1 | 5:19,23 6:4,11 | 51:10,20 55:6 | 144:16 | seconds 73:11 |
| responder 153:10 | 7:2,21 12:12 | 74:20 101:17,19 | safe 41:13 43:17 | secrete 152:19 |
| responders 153:9 | 13:7 15:16 16:9 | 118:4 | safety 5:15 40:24 | secretes 152:11,12 |
| response 88:15 | 17:10,15 18:2,10 | risks 37:19,21 | 43:5 117:17 | 153:23 |
| 104:14 153:12 | 18:21 20:14 21:2 | 53:22,23 54:2,3 | 132:5 133:15,16 | section 7:15 |
| 157:22 | 21:9 23:4,23 | 54:15 57:20 59:7 | 139:19 | 148:12 157:11 |
| responses 87:24 | 24:19 25:5,18 | 59:10,12 68:10 | salaried 21:11 | sections 6:19 |
| responsibilities | 26:20 27:10 28:1 | 74:7 101:21,22 | salary 21:11,16 | 120:15 |
| 20:4 | 32:4 33:2 35:10 | 101:23 118:9,11 | 22:17,18,23,24 | see 42:22 50:21 |
| responsibility 20:3 | 36:2 38:23 39:5 | 118:13 120:21,23 | sales 107:24 108:5 | 62:8 63:13 65:21 |
| responsible 115:6 | 40:6 41:2,7,8 | 121:4,12 159:11 | samples 95:10 | 66:11 73:18 75:7 |
| rest 76:2 81:12 | 44:6 48:6 52:7,9 | road 59:15 81:5 | sand 160:15 | 79:10,19 80:11 |
| 97:13 145:6 | 53:16 54:5,7 | robinson 2:7,13 | satisfaction 62:22 | 80:17 85:19 |
| restrict 122:11 | 57:5,13 62:17 | 8:22,24 17:4 | 63:3 65:23 | 94:22 95:2,3 |
| result 85:23 86:7 | 63:10 64:24 | 19:18 25:6 26:21 | satisfied 66:11,12 | 96:7,10,12 99:9 |
| 95:5,21 100:3 | 65:19 66:7,8,15 | 28:2,13 30:2 | satisfy 41:13 | 102:18 103:13 |
| 113:14 134:11 | 66:17,18 67:1,2 | 32:5,20 34:16 | saturday 134:1 | 108:16,19,19,20 |
| 136:9 155:12 | 68:5,10,12 69:11 | 40:8,16 41:9 | saying 25:13 26:22 | 111:8 112:3,6 |
| 158:3,13 | 70:14 71:5,18 | 44:7,14 45:21 | 32:11 53:7 84:13 | 117:12 119:4 |
| resulted 162:3 | 73:6,23 75:15 | 47:4 53:9 56:6 | 85:10 87:13 | 123:11 126:19 |
| results 32:2 63:17 | 76:22 78:5,12 | 57:6 60:1 61:7 | 110:23 118:1 | 127:21 129:14 |
| 102:22 | 79:2,23 83:22 | 66:22 68:6 70:24 | 125:21 128:22 | 132:4,9 135:24 |
| retained 12:19 | 84:4 85:6,23 | 72:1 73:3,9,21,24 | | 137:9,18 143:9 |
| retainer 15:24 | 88:7 89:6 91:2 | 78:13 82:16 | says 9:14 61:11 | 144:13 145:11 |
| retention 12:17 | 92:12 95:11,22 | 83:14 87:10 | 107:1 112:5 | 146:16 147:16,18 |
| 140:20,21 | 97:19 100:20 | 92:11 97:15 | 124:1 137:6 | 147:20 149:18 |
| retread 24:24 25:9 | 103:19,21 104:16 | 99:13,17 100:6 | 143:9,16,20 | 150:18,20,23 |
| retropubic 8:7 | 104:23 105:20 | 105:17 106:14 | 144:7 145:11 | 159:2 |
| 68:16 | 106:22 107:1 | 107:2 110:14,22 | 149:15 162:13 | seeing 45:20 48:3 |
| review 43:4 49:15 | 108:1,6 110:2,5 | 117:23 122:7 | scar 95:5,8 97:7 | 135:15 146:19 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | ı | ı |
| | | | | |

| | | • | i | i |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 150:12 | shes 16:9 | 135:16 | somebody 29:20 | specimens 130:8 |
| seen 8:4 42:16,23 | shlomo 43:11 | sit 37:10 44:9 | 56:10 64:22 | spell 3:15 |
| 56:9,13 62:5 | short 35:16 | 63:21 66:1 90:12 | 86:14 97:21 | spelled 34:23 |
| 79:4 82:23 83:2 | shortcircuit 87:1 | 90:13 101:11 | 134:10 | spend 14:9,23 15:5 |
| 84:10 85:4 93:16 | shot 65:3,6 | 142:4 | somebodys 31:6 | 15:7,11 16:13 |
| 95:9,12,13,14 | shouldnt 27:3,17 | site 134:20 148:17 | someones 34:18 | spent 14:15 16:15 |
| 96:18,20 99:23 | 27:23 86:9 98:12 | sits 152:8 | 79:17 81:21 | 18:13 73:24 |
| 100:1,15 102:21 | 98:14 114:6,12 | sitting 16:8 73:5 | 82:11,13 93:7 | sphincteric 58:10 |
| 105:3,7 106:17 | 115:3 151:21 | 83:7 | somewhat 148:17 | spoke 17:2 19:13 |
| 106:20 107:1 | 154:3,4 | situation 58:9 | sorry 14:19 44:12 | spoken 16:20,20 |
| 108:20 124:14,16 | show 25:24 65:11 | 69:12 82:5 86:23 | 62:16 67:4 79:20 | sponsored 30:17 |
| 124:19 128:13 | 96:16 125:19 | 98:17 143:21 | 87:6 | 30:18 31:19 |
| 131:20 135:10 | 130:7,10 131:7 | six 9:24 10:1 23:7 | sort 12:13 | sponsoring 32:22 |
| 136:16 137:12,14 | 131:10,13 132:21 | 23:10 76:11 | sorts 34:3 | 32:24 |
| 137:20 138:1,5 | 145:12,20,20,24 | 122:8 | sound 160:14 | sponsorship 31:20 |
| 138:23 139:16 | 146:10 158:23 | size 95:7 102:13 | sounds 35:24 | spouse 56:21 |
| 141:10,12,19,21 | showed 130:9,13 | skill 43:21 | 160:18 | srobinson 2:9 |
| 142:8 149:7 | 147:7 | skilled 45:11,13 | source 84:19 85:18 | stages 15:18 |
| 150:24 157:9 | showing 113:16 | skills 44:19 45:1,4 | 106:6 | stamey 39:9 |
| selected 46:1 | 133:14 | 46:2 147:2 | sources 5:4 43:13 | standard 21:15 |
| selection 46:11 | shown 144:4 | slick 109:13,15 | 72:19 | 52:23 59:2 60:10 |
| 47:16,19 | shows 131:11 | slide 143:20 144:6 | southern 1:1 | 60:12 61:24 78:3 |
| self 53:10 | 145:22 146:7 | 144:12 145:2,6 | space 151:5,7 | 85:12 94:8 |
| send 94:18 | shrink 95:7 | slides 143:5 | spann 2:7 | 120:14 121:9,21 |
| sense 100:8 133:21 | shrinkage 115:2 | sling 48:18 49:6,12 | speak 57:10,11,18 | 121:24 122:5,5 |
| sent 42:18 | shrinks 112:9,10 | 49:14 52:16,17 | specialist 64:9 | 122:16 |
| sentence 36:15 | 112:11 | 54:13,20 58:12 | specialize 55:20 | standardofcare |
| separate 62:7 63:9 | side 54:23 83:18 | 58:14,16 59:1,5 | specialized 56:4 | 85:14 |
| 63:12 | 84:22 85:6 | 59:23 61:20 77:8 | specializes 55:13 | standards 94:10 |
| separately 6:23 | sigma 23:7,10 | 77:10 90:15 | specialty 94:9 | 94:13,16 118:21 |
| series 160:4 | sign 57:21 | slings 35:2 39:8,10 | species 152:18 | 120:8,11,13,22 |
| served 12:21 | signature 163:16 | 46:23 50:22 53:4 | specific 5:23 8:8 | 121:2 |
| set 1:21 111:5 | significance 104:9 | 53:7,13,17 60:16 | 33:8 39:21 52:10 | standpoint 49:17 |
| 164:7,15 | 131:5 | 60:16 63:18,22 | 70:1 72:18 98:22 | stanley 1:10,13 |
| setting 69:5 108:4 | significant 32:13 | 74:9 77:10 89:11 | 118:13 121:6 | 2:12 3:3,16,16 |
| settings 108:12 | 34:18 54:1,14 | 89:23 90:1,4,23 | 126:19 128:5 | 19:12 164:5 |
| settled 10:10 | 77:22,23 108:7 | 91:3 104:19,23 | 130:13 142:15 | 166:14 |
| setup 108:4 | 115:4 125:24 | 132:6 145:24 | 143:23 | start 25:13 36:17 |
| sevenyear 110:5 | 146:23 | 149:8 | specifically 4:24 | 87:5 105:22 |
| sexual 20:5,12 | significantly 112:6 | small 90:21 | 6:14 43:17 53:19 | started 20:10 |
| shaped 44:1 46:6 | signs 153:2 | smart 125:5 | 73:19 97:2 | 41:14 48:14 |
| share 38:5,7,10,14 | similar 6:19 37:3 | smoker 80:2 | 109:11 143:7 | 59:18 |
| 38:16 107:14 | 51:20 54:3 | smoking 55:7 | 148:1 162:24 | starts 71:15 86:15 |
| shared 107:18 | simple 34:2 36:4 | snow 5:6 16:3,21 | 163:2 | 145:14 |
| 110:11 160:5 | 77:17 80:22 82:8 | societies 31:5 94:2 | specifics 12:3 | state 1:18 3:15 |
| sheath 102:15 | 82:10 | 94:3 | 27:19 34:7 | 20:9 22:17,20,23 |
| sheet 111:12 | simplistic 36:21 | society 61:24 | 114:19 | 36:1 64:5 124:11 |
| 166:10 | simply 26:20 | softer 37:9 | specified 164:11 | 164:2,4 |
| sheets 109:13,14 | sinai 20:8 | sole 93:18 | specimen 96:11 | statement 2:20 |
| 109:15 | single 72:16 135:1 | solely 138:16 | 129:13 | 49:18,19 60:14 |
| | <u> </u> | l | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

| | ı | ı | ı | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| 60:16,22 66:7,8,8 | 52:14,17 72:4 | suitcase 4:1,4,5,8 | 85:20 93:9 | 152:3 |
| 66:9 67:5 95:24 | 73:22 128:14,16 | suite 2:4,8 | surprise 83:11,15 | talking 13:9 17:7 |
| 103:7 131:21 | 128:16,17,19 | sum 30:8 | 128:1 134:24 | 17:12 31:8,8 |
| 132:5,14,16,20 | 129:16,22 130:6 | summed 14:17,20 | 135:3 | 46:4 50:8 58:13 |
| 137:11 143:13,15 | 130:10 137:20 | 62:13 | surprised 97:10,17 | 77:12 79:12 88:6 |
| 144:14,22 145:3 | 143:10 144:5 | summers 2:8 | susan 1:8 2:7 5:18 | 88:22 103:15 |
| 145:11,15 | 145:8,12 146:4 | supervision | 16:8,20 17:2,5,16 | 104:23,24 139:18 |
| statements 31:4 | 146:10 147:8 | 164:19 | susans 16:10 | 144:16,17,18 |
| 60:14 67:2 94:2 | 160:6 | supply 104:20 | suspends 50:17 | 163:3 |
| 134:17 | study 27:17,18,22 | support 29:17 | suspensions 39:9 | talks 141:17 |
| states 1:1 30:3 | 28:1,15,18 29:24 | 32:11 113:2 | 39:10 | tasks 23:17 |
| 125:18,19 | 30:7 32:18,22,23 | 140:5 155:24 | sustained 71:3 | taxpayers 22:16 |
| stating 148:14 | 32:24 52:6 66:10 | supported 129:23 | suture 53:23 54:10 | tesplle 2:9 |
| statistical 65:24 | 72:23 73:16 | supporting 40:24 | sutures 44:22,23 | teach 158:20 |
| status 152:14 | 74:12 75:6,8,13 | suppose 53:1 | 50:7 54:4,10 | teacher 158:18 |
| 153:13 | 92:20,23 110:5 | supposed 111:16 | 56:4 | teaching 103:3 |
| stay 152:5 | 130:13,16 133:16 | 111:20 120:21 | swayed 24:22 | 155:8 163:9 |
| stenotype 164:8 | 145:16,17,17,21 | supposedly 106:7 | switching 41:24 | teachings 115:14 |
| step 44:3 77:15 | 146:2,3,7 153:5 | sure 13:22 26:7 | 42:1 | teague 11:9,9 |
| stepbystep 159:1 | subboarded 64:9 | 35:12 39:20 59:3 | sworn 3:4 164:6 | team 137:1 |
| stewart 10:21 | subjective 116:7,8 | 62:16 67:3,16 | 166:17 | tear 102:12 |
| stewarts 12:2 | 116:10 | 79:7 85:7 97:21 | symptoms 50:21 | technical 156:23 |
| stick 105:1 | subscribed 166:17 | 98:5 114:19 | 55:1 69:15 91:14 | ted 44:11 |
| stitch 54:5 | subsequently | 125:11 128:3 | 106:7 115:24 | telescope 102:17 |
| stomach 93:7 | 13:20 | 133:23 136:14 | synthetic 88:2,4,18 | tell 3:11 18:13 |
| stone 54:6 | subset 54:22 | 152:5 | 88:22,23 89:1 | 26:3 36:2 59:4 |
| stones 54:9 | subspecialist 64:4 | surface 139:1 | system 1:4 21:18 | 63:20,21 64:14 |
| stop 48:24 | substance 166:9 | surgeon 36:6 | 23:14,15,16 | 75:21 80:7,10,14 |
| story 28:22,24 | substantial 29:22 | 114:2 | 24:11,15 65:14 | 80:24 81:3,6,8,9 |
| straight 53:3 | suburethral 35:2 | surgeons 121:7 | systems 23:12 | 81:11,13 86:6,10 |
| straightforward | 58:16 | 158:2,7,10 | | 115:7 116:17 |
| 42:7 79:1 | success 29:4,8 | surgeries 3:24 | T | 129:10 130:21 |
| stream 50:20 | 30:24 32:1 | 39:6 40:2 41:15 | table 52:1 | 141:9 |
| street 2:4,8 | successful 84:8 | 80:9,15,20 81:1 | take 2:16 9:12 | telling 59:5,16 |
| stress 35:3,7 36:6 | sudden 42:6 | 94:18 98:3 | 21:4 26:19 33:16 | 60:23 127:17 |
| 39:7 46:15,16,21 | suddenly 71:22 | 118:15 124:2 | 44:3 47:9 50:2 | ten 15:8 34:9 |
| 47:24 48:21 | suffer 143:23 | 151:19 156:2 | 61:11 67:5 73:10 | tennessee 23:22 |
| 49:14 56:5,15 | 145:3 | surgery 13:20 20:3 | 87:3 88:14 103:4 | tension 95:21 |
| 58:20 60:2 62:23 | suffering 47:24 | 36:7 39:23 44:18 | 107:6 130:12 | 115:3,7,15,20 |
| 155:16 158:11 | 85:11 | 46:7 60:5 65:6 | 137:16 143:4 | 116:1,2,19 117:4 |
| stretch 112:6 | sufficient 156:12 | 67:15 69:24 71:4 | 159:2 | tensioned 115:4 |
| stretching 103:12 | 156:14 157:14 | 80:19,20 90:11 | taken 1:14 27:8 | 117:1 |
| 130:2 | sufu 2:20 131:20 | 92:2,4 98:9,12,15 | 127:11 129:4 | tensionfree 114:5 |
| strong 64:15 | suggest 26:24 | 103:19 114:20 | 136:10 164:11 | 140:23 |
| structure 116:3,5 | 106:17,21 127:19 | 118:8,8 120:5 | talk 17:11 53:4 | tensioning 95:20 |
| structures 59:9 | 143:5 | 144:8,9,11,15,23 | 71:20 113:3 | 96:1 115:1 |
| students 158:20 | suggesting 35:23 | 144:24 158:3,11 | 136:10 141:21 | terms 27:2 90:4 |
| studied 144:3 | 65:7 113:18 | 162:21 | 155:13 | 113:5 114:3 |
| 153:4 | suggests 126:16 | surgical 58:4,7,8 | talked 88:20 134:8 | 152:2,3 |
| studies 28:19 | sui 35:6 58:3 | 58:24 60:8 78:3 | 136:16 149:8 | test 57:19 67:2,9 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | | | | |

| 96:22,24 97:2 | 93:9 95:15 96:3 | 57:19 63:20 64:6 | 72:3 73:18 76:24 | 113:24 114:5 |
|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 103:7,8 | 96:20 103:5 | 64:7 65:3,5 82:2 | 84:16 88:18 | 116:3 129:23 |
| testified 3:5 10:13 | 104:19 105:13 | 93:16 100:13 | 91:21,24 92:22 | 130:1 148:15 |
| 13:1 25:1 35:14 | 104.19 103.13 | | 97:6 99:6 100:11 | 149:11 |
| | | 125:11 131:17,17 | | |
| 108:10 | 112:15 116:7,11 | 135:19 139:22 | 100:14 101:14 | tissues 76:14 78:10 |
| testify 7:20 110:19 | 116:16 117:2,16 | 143:18 147:11 | 102:20 104:10 | 113:23 114:11 |
| 164:6 | 118:7 120:9,16 | 153:13 | 114:9 115:11,12 | 151:3,4 |
| testimony 2:17 7:4 | 120:19,22 121:14 | theyve 39:13 45:3 | 116:23 125:17 | titles 21:1,7 |
| 8:19,23 9:6,11 | 121:16 123:17,24 | 98:13 126:22 | 126:9,23 127:14 | today 3:18 4:2,9 |
| 11:18 12:6,13 | 124:5,8 125:10 | 159:2 | 128:8,20 130:16 | 4:20 5:21 6:2 |
| 13:5 14:2 25:9 | 129:19,19 131:2 | thick 148:5,6 | 134:10,12 142:8 | 7:21 8:12 16:14 |
| 30:4 33:1 47:12 | 131:3 132:1 | thicker 112:24 | 144:2 151:14,16 | 22:8 44:9 50:9 |
| 59:21 62:20 | 133:17 138:16 | thing 27:6 28:21 | 156:14 | 63:21 65:18 66:1 |
| 82:17 86:19 93:4 | 139:17 140:2 | 62:17 79:1,17 | thomas 2:7 | 66:5 101:11 |
| 116:12,18 120:7 | 143:1,14 144:10 | 88:16 91:24,24 | thorough 4:19 | 146:17 |
| 121:19,23,24 | 145:16 147:21 | 102:14 113:4 | thought 19:14 | told 32:7 59:22 |
| 122:4,21 158:5 | 149:13 151:6,9 | 150:14 | 30:22 37:1,9,9 | 101:16 102:1 |
| 158:15 164:7,8 | 152:22 156:16 | things 14:17 15:2 | 42:24 43:19 | 103:22,24 104:3 |
| testing 56:18,22 | 157:24 160:11,13 | 15:9 19:11 20:15 | 89:14 149:15 | 122:1 138:11 |
| 102:23 113:13 | 160:17 162:7,14 | 26:17 27:3 28:19 | 150:17 | 141:22,23 146:14 |
| textbooks 109:8,9 | theoretically 131:1 | 30:5,18 31:11 | thousands 44:4 | 147:4 162:13,19 |
| 109:10 | theories 150:16 | 34:3 35:24 36:21 | threads 137:15 | 162:20 |
| texts 43:12 | 160:6 | 37:14 39:13 | three 15:10 16:18 | tomaselli 74:5,11 |
| thank 6:6 23:6 | theorized 140:18 | 40:19,22,24 41:3 | 90:7,20 149:15 | tools 78:2 |
| 62:19 75:20 | therapies 81:16 | 41:21,22 42:3,19 | 149:17,20,21 | top 53:19 149:22 |
| 131:20 163:14 | theres 6:16 14:15 | 44:1 46:4,5 52:4 | 150:6 | 150:1,4,5 |
| thats 3:10 9:16 | 15:9 17:8,13 | 54:18 55:7 57:20 | thumb 4:6 5:5 | tougher 76:12 |
| 10:2 11:2 13:4 | 22:23 42:6 48:23 | 57:24 59:8,24 | thursday 1:11 | track 64:3 72:5 |
| 14:15 17:8,10 | 52:3,4,16,23 | 60:23 61:3 63:1 | tically 48:19 | tracked 72:24 |
| 18:23 19:5,23 | 55:12 57:24 | 63:4 69:8,9 76:2 | tight 115:22,23 | tracks 72:13 73:16 |
| 20:10,19,23 21:8 | 61:12 65:11,14 | 84:5,14 86:16 | time 9:23 12:4 | traditional 36:20 |
| 22:10 25:12 27:6 | 70:7 72:7 73:15 | 87:7 88:2,4 | 13:14 14:9,15,20 | 68:17 |
| 28:21,23 29:6,10 | 82:5 88:15 91:8 | 92:18 100:22 | 14:23 15:1 16:13 | training 18:14,18 |
| 29:13,14,17 | 92:23 94:8 97:6 | 109:12 118:15 | 16:17 19:1,13 | 18:24 19:1,3 |
| 30:19,19 31:11 | 97:16,21 98:1 | 121:7 128:4 | 20:16 22:3,8 | 20:7 44:20 45:1 |
| 31:15 33:9 34:10 | 100:7,9,11,14 | 130:5 151:6 | 35:16,21 41:18 | 46:3 147:2 |
| 36:8 37:3 45:7 | 103:6,19 111:24 | 152:4 153:18,19 | 49:11 57:14 | tramadol 83:1,2,3 |
| 46:19 47:22 48:2 | 113:12,16 120:14 | 157:16 | 67:15,17,23 71:3 | 83:9 88:21 |
| 48:7,19 52:12,19 | 120:15 128:16,17 | think 19:23 24:12 | 75:2 80:4 87:2 | transabdominal |
| 54:12,13 55:17 | 129:11 131:11 | 24:12 25:22 26:3 | 109:1,4 113:18 | 144:8,11,15,24 |
| 55:23 56:11 57:5 | 142:8 145:19 | 26:17 27:6,13 | 124:4 134:16 | transcribed 164:9 |
| 57:7,23 58:20 | 146:11 150:6,15 | 29:1,6,10,20,22 | 143:6 146:15 | transcript 9:21 |
| 60:6 62:1 65:10 | 151:20,23 158:23 | 31:9,11,13,15 | 152:9 158:22 | 164:18 |
| 67:7,10 69:6 | theyll 65:2 | 32:13 33:4,5 | 164:11 | transcription |
| 71:4 76:8 78:16 | theyre 32:18,21,22 | 36:22 41:24 | timely 147:5 | 166:6 |
| 80:12,17,18,23 | 32:24 33:14 | 44:17 45:10,22 | times 13:22 107:3 | transitory 70:12 |
| 81:23,24 83:11 | 44:20 45:5 47:19 | 45:23 46:1,3 | 122:8 158:21 | 70:15,17 123:19 |
| 83:12,21 84:1,23 | 51:3,7,15 52:22 | 51:19 53:6,18 | tion 96:13 | transvaginal 131:8 |
| 85:5,14 86:19,20 | 52:23 54:17,18 | 54:3 61:8 65:10 | tissue 78:1,8,11,15 | 144:9 |
| 87:12 91:2,18,24 | 55:5,5 56:24 | 65:14,16 68:8 | 95:6 96:17,18,20 | treat 23:2 35:3 |
| 07.12 71.2,10,24 | 33.3,3 30.24 | 05.17,10 00.0 | 75.0 70.17,10,20 | 61 Cat 23.2 33.3 |
| | | | | |

| | | | I | I |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 39:6 46:18 81:18 | 43:5 50:21 65:22 | 112:7 119:20 | 108:5,17 | 68:12 70:11 83:1 |
| 83:4,5 84:1 | 67:17,23 68:16 | 147:11,15 | unknown 162:3 | 83:2,5,8,9 84:14 |
| 85:16 124:2 | 68:17 69:11,22 | undergo 83:13 | unnecessary 76:20 | 98:19,21 107:23 |
| treated 13:18 | 70:2,4 71:21,24 | undergoing | unrecognized | 109:23 111:5 |
| 58:20 60:5 82:24 | 72:6,14 73:1,17 | 114:10 | 13:13,17 | 117:14 118:6 |
| 84:10 89:19 | 75:14,21 76:6,15 | underlying 153:16 | unrelated 12:17 | 123:8,16 124:7 |
| treating 10:23 | 77:5,19 86:8 | 153:17 | 159:22 | 133:8 137:7 |
| 11:23 12:8,15,21 | 93:6,24 98:2,7,10 | underneath | unsatisfied 63:23 | 138:3 148:3,7 |
| 60:2 62:23 84:18 | 98:19,20 100:24 | 143:15 144:6 | 64:1,23 65:4,5,8 | 155:15 156:13,15 |
| 85:17 161:7 | 101:3,7,12,17,18 | 148:22 | untoward 93:1,3 | 157:8 163:7 |
| treatment 13:18 | 101:20,21 102:1 | understand 5:9,21 | updates 43:10 | user 34:21 35:10 |
| 46:15,16,19,21 | 102:18 103:22 | 6:1,24 20:24 | upwards 15:11 | 35:10 36:5 |
| 56:5,14 57:14 | 104:3 105:16,19 | 26:22 28:4,5 | ureter 13:19 | users 136:24 |
| 58:11 | 110:1 111:6,17 | 36:2 84:8 94:21 | ureteral 13:14 | usually 21:14 |
| treatments 58:7,7 | 112:15,18 113:5 | 98:11 108:3 | uretex 34:20,23,23 | 70:22 71:14,14 |
| trial 28:11,12 | 113:10,15,21 | 116:12 129:3,4,9 | 35:10 36:5,23 | 71:19 150:21 |
| 29:12 31:1,24 | 114:8,16 116:13 | 130:21 146:9 | 37:7 | utilize 156:20 |
| 32:2,2 | 117:18,21 127:2 | 147:10 158:5 | urethra 50:16 54:6 | utilizing 158:20 |
| trials 30:16 132:7 | 127:3,10 137:6 | understanding 6:7 | 114:4 116:5 | V |
| tried 13:21,21 | 138:2,24 139:20 | 24:9 25:2 26:11 | 139:1,12 | |
| tries 85:10 | 150:8 151:10 | 26:13 30:23 | urethral 50:24 | vagina 151:8,18 |
| trip 26:19 | 153:7 159:9 | 40:17 43:20 | urethralrelated | vaginal 44:6 51:10 |
| trips 27:2,7 | 162:13,24 163:2 | 44:19 47:12 82:9 | 50:23 | 74:20,21 79:5 |
| trocar 93:6 | 163:3 | 82:12 104:8 | urge 46:20 48:17 | 112:18 116:6 |
| trocarbased 37:3 | tvto 6:16,17,20 | understood 43:1 | 49:7,7 147:20 | 144:23 147:22 |
| true 46:23 66:8 | 7:17 37:18 159:9 | 89:11 118:7 | urgency 50:20 | 148:15,21 149:11 |
| 100:7 120:19,20 | tvts 36:20 108:18 | 129:23 143:17,18 | 147:19 | 151:3,4,5,7 153:21 |
| 143:14 164:8 | two 5:18,23 15:5 | undertake 23:17 | urinary 12:17 35:3 | |
| truly 128:22 | 15:13 16:18 | undertaken 129:2 | 35:7 36:6 39:7 | vaginalbased 51:2 vaginallyplaced |
| trust 101:1 | 29:19 63:3 90:7 | 129:8 | 46:15,16 47:24 | 51:18 |
| truth 66:6 164:6,6 | 90:19 99:10 | undertaking 28:17 | 56:5,15 58:21 | |
| 164:6 | 138:13 149:15,20 | 30:7 | 62:24 155:16 | vaginitis 55:5 |
| truthful 66:24 | 151:6 | undesirable 78:16 | 158:11 | vague 144:21 value 30:12 |
| try 20:20 28:6,8 | type 41:12 48:1 | 95:16,22 | urodynamics | variables 143:12 |
| 78:7 84:14 87:1 | 52:22 101:12 | undue 96:1 | 56:18,22 85:19 | variation 42:14 |
| 89:4 97:8,8 | 129:22 148:8 | unexpected 93:1 | urogynecologists | variations 43:24 |
| 148:10 | 151:21 | unexplainable | 115:18 159:18 | variety 5:4 14:17 |
| trying 20:19 26:9 | typically 21:9 | 87:21 | urogynecology | 23:11 43:12 |
| 28:6 30:21 31:22 | 56:15 70:13 | unfettered 108:6 | 43:9 | 49:23 50:1 72:19 |
| 52:10 82:7 97:5 | U | unfortunate 61:2 | urologists 97:11 | various 15:17 21:1 |
| 105:22,23 111:19 | ucla 55:18 | 162:14 | 115:17 159:19 | 111:8 160:7 |
| 148:7,8 | ultimately 93:12 | unfortunately | urology 19:13 43:8 | vast 94:24 135:18 |
| tug 97:3,8,13 | ultrasound 113:16 | 60:24 61:23 | 43:11,11 49:24 | vast 94.24 133.18 veracity 67:2 |
| turn 61:17 147:24 | umhmm 9:13 | unger 74:19 | 109:10 | 103:7,8 |
| turns 13:19 | 13:10 17:24 | uniformly 144:4 unique 68:13 | use 27:1 35:1,6,19 | verify 66:6,9 |
| tvt 2:18,19 5:15,22 | 19:17 23:8 24:20 | 121:17 147:3 | 35:20,20,21 37:5 | version 117:19 |
| 6:7,13 7:20 8:8 8:13,15 14:10 | 34:22 35:8 38:18 | 121:1/ 14/:3 united 1:1 | 41:4,8 42:7,9 43:16 50:7 52:21 | 118:2,3 124:7 |
| · · | 43:3 67:13 75:16 | | 53:6 60:13 67:12 | versus 9:14 10:7 |
| 35:10,16,16 36:9 37:6,20 41:8,13 | 75:17 89:9 112:2 | university 22:18 22:19,21 23:22 | 67:14,19,24 68:3 | 10:21 12:11 37:7 |
| 37.0,20 41.0,13 | , 5.17 57.7 112.2 | 44.17,41 43.44 | 07.14,17,44 00.3 | 10.21 12.11 57.7 |
| | | | | |

| | | | | 1490 100 |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 51:8 54:19 99:2 | 141:21 142:1,3 | weve 20:16 39:10 | 138:3 | write 33:5 |
| 101:18 | 142:15 143:6 | 39:10 44:22,24 | woodruff 130:17 | writers 33:5,7 |
| veterans 13:7 | 146:12 149:23 | 48:12 54:7 86:15 | 145:21 146:2,3 | writing 14:16 |
| vicious 86:3 | 155:14 160:16 | 88:5 89:5,18 | 153:5 | 33:14 |
| videos 115:13 | wanted 16:12 19:2 | 93:16 102:16 | word 25:21 26:12 | written 15:16 26:1 |
| viewpoint 140:14 | 35:20 91:13,15 | 107:11 109:8 | 26:14,23 27:2 | 33:10,10 56:7 |
| virginia 1:1,16,18 | 148:24 | 134:17 | 53:6,12 67:6 | 58:2 140:17 |
| 1:20 2:8 17:19 | wants 56:20 73:8 | wexler 2:4 | 70:12 93:3 103:4 | 161:22 |
| 18:1,9 19:9 20:6 | 73:10 137:6 | wexlerwallace 2:5 | 116:9 118:6 | wrong 36:3 53:2,3 |
| 22:4 23:22 62:23 | warn 162:22 | whatevers 91:15 | 148:3 149:17 | wrote 26:2 |
| 64:5 164:2,4 | warnings 68:5 | whats 4:4 18:12 | words 6:13 8:10 | wvu 18:19 19:6 |
| vision 87:22 | 111:15 112:1 | 33:20 54:23 | 29:4 32:17 33:9 | 23:15,24 |
| visits 86:13 | 117:21 118:22,24 | 57:12 74:10 79:9 | 34:13 38:14 | wvuh 10:7 |
| visualization | 119:1,2,5,6,7,10 | 93:18 95:4 | 43:14 53:1 65:21 | |
| 113:17 | 119:14,15,22 | 109:16 112:14 | 69:18 75:11 78:6 | X |
| visualized 129:13 | 120:1,2,4,8,9 | 120:20 121:9 | 90:24 100:9 | |
| vivo 102:10 103:12 | 156:7,8 157:10 | 123:5 145:18 | 108:12 110:4 | <u>Y</u> |
| voiding 18:15 20:5 | 159:20 | whatsoever 140:7 | 113:8 114:7 | yeah 9:1 23:24 |
| 20:12 37:17 | wasnt 80:4 | whens 9:23 67:17 | 132:23 140:8 | 29:16 30:5 38:12 |
| 50:19 54:24 | waste 23:18 | 67:23 | 142:11 148:18,21 | 47:6 54:10 83:23 |
| 91:14 147:19 | waterfront 1:18,19 | whereof 164:15 | 149:9 150:22 | 84:16 87:11 |
| | way 14:22 21:1,18 | wheres 64:2 103:1 | 153:23 161:16 | 94:24 105:21 |
| W | 29:15 30:11 | whistle 24:2 | work 15:6 16:3,4 | 106:19 148:11 |
| waived 10:10 | 32:22 36:20,21 | whos 33:12 47:13 | 20:20 33:13 37:5 | 149:19 152:22 |
| 163:16 | 46:6,9 57:21 | 51:13 61:9 62:8 | 38:4 55:17,23 | year 18:14,17,18 |
| wall 79:5 116:6 | 59:9 61:5 68:3 | 66:11 115:16 | 72:15 154:6 | 18:23 19:5,6 |
| 148:22 | 71:11 85:16,24 | whove 60:4 63:12 | worked 13:23 16:7 | 20:7 21:14 43:22 |
| wallace 2:3,4,13 | 93:21 95:8 106:4 | widely 44:10 45:17 | 36:13 89:20 | 68:1 75:3 113:16 |
| 2:14 3:9 8:23 9:1 | 113:10 135:2,22 | withholding 4:22 | 155:10,23,23 | 132:8 133:16 |
| 9:2 47:6,8 50:5 | 140:4,17,17 | witness 1:14 2:11 | workflow 24:10 | years 9:7 14:3 21:8 |
| 73:7,12 74:3 | 161:23 162:18 | 3:4 73:18,23 | working 14:23 | 31:14,16 38:19 |
| 107:6,10 110:24 | ways 92:13 118:15 | 74:5 164:8,9,15 | 15:14,15 17:6 | 42:5,15,17 43:16 |
| 123:1 135:4 | weaver 10:7 | woman 40:14 | 22:1 35:18 36:12 | 45:3 47:14 49:4 |
| 141:6 154:11,15 | web 134:20 | 56:14 57:5 58:3 | world 60:24 61:13 | 55:10 59:15 62:6 |
| 155:21 157:13 | webinars 94:2 | 58:5 59:4 64:23 | 99:5 | 62:22 63:19,23 |
| 160:19 162:10 | wed 27:1,4 36:12 | 76:6,16 77:19 | worsening 113:14 | 64:16 65:23 |
| 163:11 | 82:18 | 78:21 79:4 80:23 | wouldnt 30:13 | 71:12,22,22 75:7 |
| wang 148:13,13 | week 24:1 67:18 | 85:1 97:23 98:6 | 40:13 54:19 76:7 | 80:6 90:22 |
| want 24:24 25:9 | weeks 16:18 24:3 | 152:17 153:12,23 | 76:19 77:1,2 | 108:21 137:16 |
| 25:11 26:2,3 | 70:23 | 161:7 162:11 | 83:15,15 93:11 | 138:10 139:23 |
| 30:15,16,17 | wellaccepted | women 35:4 44:4 | 97:16,17 99:22 | 142:5,6,22 155:8 |
| 31:19 39:3 41:5 | 105:20 | 44:12 45:18 | 134:7,14,15,24 | yep 35:13 |
| 41:10 52:5 57:10 | wellestablished | 47:20,23 62:23 | 135:3 136:15,19 | york 17:22 18:2 |
| 57:11 60:6,8 | 104:12 132:6 | 65:8,18 71:21 | 138:7 139:6,18 | youd 16:9 25:24 |
| 61:15,20 66:17 | west 1:1,15,18,19 | 80:7,14 82:23 | 140:1 142:3 | 37:18 60:21 |
| 73:10 76:7 83:21 | 2:4,8 17:19 18:1 | 84:4,10 85:4 | 146:12 156:2 | 83:23 101:1 |
| 85:15 88:2,8 | 18:9 19:9 20:6 | 91:23 152:14,15 | wouldve 42:16 | 103:12 129:13 |
| 117:5 121:19 | 22:4 23:21 62:23 | 152:16 153:9 | wound 96:18 | 151:2 |
| 134:7,14,15 | 64:5 164:2,4 | wonderfully 62:1 | wow 100:16 | youll 33:15 65:5 |
| 136:19 139:6,18 | wet 24:1,2 | wont 65:1 102:12 | wrap 97:12 | 78:20 86:12 |
| | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

| | | | | Page 10 |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| 00 14 100 11 | 122 1 126 12 16 | 161 04 | 200.2.0 | |
| 92:14 123:11 | 132:1 136:13,16 | 161:24 | 300 2:8 | |
| 132:4 135:21,22 | 141:12 156:10,16 | 1st 98:8 | 31st 98:8 | |
| 143:9 145:11 | 162:19 | 2 | 3300 2:4 | |
| youre 3:18 7:20 | $\overline{\mathbf{z}}$ | - | 37 50:4 | |
| 8:12 15:13 17:6 | | 2 1:5,9,19 2:16 7:7 | 382 111:22 | |
| 17:12,13,19 20:1 | zaslau 1:10,13 | 7:8,10 132:24 | 383 111:22 | |
| 20:21 21:19 23:3 | 2:12 3:3,16,17 | 154:13,14 163:15 | | |
| 23:6 24:18 26:7 | 5:22 73:13 | 20 16:15 126:3 | 4 | |
| 26:22 27:22 28:5 | 154:21 160:15 | 164:21 166:19 | 4 2:17 8:19,20,24 | |
| 29:7 33:14,17 | 164:5 166:14 | 200 48:13 89:11,22 | 9:3 14:4 62:14 | |
| 39:15 40:18 46:4 | | 90:6 91:1 94:17 | 65:21 66:7 74:22 | |
| 52:6,7,11,15 53:2 | 0 | 101:6 | 123:11 | |
| 53:12 61:5 63:7 | 00301741 2:21 | 2000 18:6,7 | 41 107:8 | |
| 65:10 71:21 73:3 | 00301742 2:21 | 2001 18:9 19:20 | 43 154:13 | |
| 78:7,8,11,11,15 | 01822361 2:22 | 20:14,20 21:4,22 | 44 107:9 | |
| 79:11,12 80:22 | 01822363 2:22 | 22:3,12 35:21 | 45 154:14 | |
| 82:9,11 83:24 | 05225354 2:18 | 59:19 157:12,19 | | |
| · · | 05225385 2:18 | 162:18 | 5 | |
| 84:8,13 86:11 | | 2003 74:24 | 5 2:18 111:2,4 | |
| 88:22 89:2 94:15 | 1 | | 117:11 123:10,11 | |
| 97:4 98:11 99:1 | 1 2:16 3:6,11 107:8 | 2004 20:14,20 35:9 | 123:13 | |
| 104:15,18,22 | 107:9 147:23 | 35:21 36:4,16 | 50 65:8 | |
| 106:24 109:17 | 10 2:22 141:7,9 | 41:15 42:4 59:21 | 54 163:15 | |
| 112:16 118:24 | 100 29:4,8 30:24 | 2005 23:13 24:3 | | |
| 119:2,4,21 | 31:24 107:3 | 2007 101:5 138:11 | 55 2:4 | |
| 121:10 122:16 | 11 1:20 | 20072008 101:9 | 6 | |
| 126:20 127:1,9 | | 2008 101:5 | - | |
| 129:24,24 130:1 | 111 2:18 | 2010 21:2,4,22 | 62:19 123:3,6 | |
| 133:7,11 139:18 | 12 50:3,4 | 22:4,7 60:20,21 | 145:1 | |
| 140:8,9 142:12 | 123 2:19 | 2013 75:1 | 60 15:7 | |
| 142:21,22 146:4 | 12cv01121 1:9 | 2014 9:14 10:21 | 60603 2:5 | |
| 148:9,19 150:6 | 12md02327 1:5 | 74:11,13,18,18 | | |
| 155:18 157:3,5 | 131 2:20 | 134:17 | 7 | |
| 158:18 160:9 | 135 2:21 | 2015 2:19 74:19 | 7 2:16,20 131:23 | |
| | 1380 2:8 | 117:14,19 123:7 | 132:2 133:19 | |
| youve 4:13,18,20 | 139 2:22 | 123:22 124:4 | 70 82:3,21 | |
| 5:1,13,17 11:12 | 14 65:23 | 2016 1:11 98:8,8 | 75 89:16 | |
| 14:3 15:19 19:16 | 141 2:22 | 164:16 | | |
| 23:6 25:1 26:14 | 15 38:21 63:19 | | 8 | |
| 26:17 27:7 33:1 | 64:16 138:10 | 2020 164:21 | 8 2:17,17,20 74:21 | |
| 40:19 50:7 62:5 | 139:23 142:5,6 | 22nd 164:16 | 135:5,7 147:24 | |
| 62:22 63:22 | 142:22 155:8 | 25301 2:8 | 148:4 | |
| 65:22 69:9 73:24 | 150 101:9 | 26501 1:20 | | |
| 77:18 84:9 85:4 | 150 101.9 154 2:13 | 29 50:3 | 9 | |
| 86:3,20 87:3,4 | 16 62:22 63:23 | | 9 2:21 139:4 | |
| 89:12 94:18,21 | | 3 | 149:14,17 | |
| 95:9,10,13 96:18 | 162 2:14 | 3 2:13,16,17 7:24 | 90 72:15 | |
| 101:4,7 105:3,7 | 17 1:11 | 8:2,4,16 62:15 | | |
| 106:17,20 107:1 | 18 75:2 | 74:22 | | |
| 111:4 112:11 | 197 90:24 | 30 1:20 14:11 | | |
| 120:10 123:5 | 198 90:24 | 15:11 81:21,24 | | |
| 120.10 123.3 | 1998 20:17 115:13 | 82:14,19,20 | | |
| 147.0,0 131.20 | | , , | | |
| | 1 | • | 1 | 1 |